

# INTRODUCTION TO ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, CLASS 16

RAVI VAKIL

## CONTENTS

1. Valuation rings (and non-singular points of curves)	1
1.1. Completions	2
1.2. A big result from commutative algebra	3

Problem sets back. 2 points extra to everyone who did the last problem set, because of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$  issue. If you didn't do problems 5 and 6 (on Hilbert polynomials), come ask me about it.

Warning: a morphism of varieties that gives a bijection of points isn't necessarily an isomorphism. Example 1: the morphism from  $\mathbb{A}^1$  to the cuspidal curve  $y^2 = x^3$ , given by  $t \mapsto (t^2, t^3)$ . Example 2: Frobenius morphism from  $\mathbb{A}^1$  to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , over a field of characteristic  $p$ , given by  $t \mapsto t^p$ .

## 1. VALUATION RINGS (AND NON-SINGULAR POINTS OF CURVES)

**Get rid of zero-valuation problem. Valuation examples: I should have said that you have valuations over  $\bar{k}$ .**

Dimension 1 varieties, or curves, are particularly simple, and most of the rest of the course will concentrate on them.

We saw that nonsingularity has to do with local rings, so we'll discuss *one-dimensional local rings*.

First we'll recall some facts about discrete valuation rings and Dedekind domains.

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a field. A *discrete valuation* of  $K$  is a map  $v : K \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that for all  $x, y$  non-zero in  $K$ , we have:  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ ,  $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$ . It is **trivial** if it is the 0-valuation. From now on, assume all discrete valuations are non-trivial. Then the image of  $v$  is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}n$  for some non-zero  $n$ ; by dividing by  $n$ , we may as well consider the image of  $v$  to be all of  $\mathbb{Z}$  from now on. Notice that the set  $R = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$  is a subring of  $K$ ; call this the *discrete valuation ring*, or *DVR*, of  $K$ . The subset

---

*Date:* Tuesday, November 2, 1999.

$\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\} \cup \{0\}$  is an ideal in  $R$ , and  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring. A *discrete valuation ring* is an integral domain which is the discrete valuation ring of some valuation of its quotient field. If  $\bar{k}$  is a subfield of  $K$  such that  $v(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{k} \setminus \{0\}$ , then we say  $v$  is a *discrete valuation of  $K/\bar{k}$* , and  $R$  is a *discrete valuation ring of  $K/\bar{k}$* .

*Example.* Let  $K = \bar{k}(t)$ , and for  $f \in K$ , let  $v(f)$  be the order of the zero of  $f$  at  $t = 0$  (negative if  $f$  has a pole). Check all properties. Notice that *discrete valuation ring* of  $v$  are those quotients of polynomials whose denominator doesn't vanish at 0, i.e.  $\bar{k}[t]_{(t)}$ . In geometric language, it is the stalk of the structure sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  at the origin.

Similarly,  $\bar{k}[t]_{(t)}$  is a discrete valuation ring: it is indeed an integral domain, and it is the valuation ring of some valuation in its quotient field  $\bar{k}(t)$ .

Similarly, we could get other valuations by replacing 0 with any other element of  $\bar{k}$ . Have we found all the valuations? No:

*Example.* Let  $K = \bar{k}(t)$  as before. For  $f \in K$ , write  $f$  in terms of  $u = 1/t$ , and let  $v(f)$  be the order of zero of  $f$  at  $u = 0$ . Again, it is indeed a valuation, and it has geometric meaning. (Ask them.) It corresponds to the point of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  “at  $\infty$ ” (when looking at it with respect to the  $t$ -coordinate).

Roya pointed out that  $v(f(t)/g(t)) = \deg g - \deg f$ .

*Exercise.* These are all the non-trivial valuations of  $\bar{k}(t)$  over  $\bar{k}$ , the function field of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . They naturally correspond to the points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Hint: if  $v$  is a valuation, consider the possible values of  $v(t - a)$  be for all  $a \in \bar{k}$ .

*Example.* Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $f \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $v(x)$  be the highest power of 2 dividing  $x$ , so  $v(14) = 2$ ,  $v(3) = 0$ ,  $v(13/12) = -2$ . Check all properties. What's the discrete valuation ring? Those fractions with no 2's in the denominators. Geometrically,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the function field of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , and the valuations turn out to correspond to the maximal prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e. the “closed points” of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark.* Every element  $x$  of a local ring  $R$  that isn't in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is invertible. Reason: the ideal  $(x)$  is either all of  $R$ , or it isn't. If it isn't, then it is contained in a maximal ideal — but there's only one, and  $x$  isn't contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $(x) = R$ , so  $1 \in (x)$ , so  $1 = fx$  for some  $f \in R$ , i.e.  $x$  is invertible.

*Another example.* Consider the ring  $\bar{k}[[t]]$  of power series in one variable over  $\bar{k}$ . It's a discrete valuation ring, with valuation given by  $v(f)$  is the largest power of  $t$  dividing  $f$ . Its quotient field is denoted  $\bar{k}((t))$ ; you can check that elements of the quotient field are of the form  $t^{-n}g$ , where  $n$  is some integer, and  $g \in \bar{k}[[t]]$ .

This example looks very much like the example  $\bar{k}[t]_{(t)} \subset \bar{k}(t)$  above. You can make this precise by talking about *completions*.

1.1. **Completions.** Suppose  $R$  is a ring, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal. (Think:  $R$  is a DVR.) Then the completion  $\hat{R}$  is defined to be the inverse limit  $\lim_{\leftarrow n} R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ .

What this means: you can consider elements of  $\hat{R}$  to be elements  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in R/\mathfrak{m} \times R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \times \dots$  such that  $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^j}$  (if  $j > i$ ).

Note that there is a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ . Caution: This isn't always injective! But I think it is if  $R$  is a domain.

*Example: completion of  $\bar{k}[t]$  at  $(t)$  is  $\bar{k}[[t]]$ .* Let  $R = \bar{k}[t]$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal  $(t)$ . The function  $1/(1-t)$  defined near  $t=0$  is (after some work):  $(1, 1+t, 1+t+t^2, \dots) \in R/\mathfrak{m} \times R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \times \dots$  in the completion. For convenience, we write this as  $1+t+t^2+\dots$ .

*Example.* What's  $-1$  in the 5-adics? In the power-series representation? What is  $1/3$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ?

*More on completions appears in class 17; that should have been introduced here.*

1.2. **A big result from commutative algebra.** We'll need an amazing result from commutative algebra. The proof will come up in Commutative Algebra, but as usual, you can treat it as a black box.

**Theorem.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local domain of dimension one. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring;
- (ii)  $R$  is integrally closed (I'll speak about integral closures next day);
- (iii)  $R$  is a regular local ring;
- (iv)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a principal ideal.

To get you used to these ideas, let's see what this gives us.

Now  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal by (iv), so let  $x$  be a generator of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . (It is often called a *uniformizer*.) Note that  $v(x)$  must be 1. Note that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (x^n)$ .

Next,  $\mathfrak{m}^n = \{r \in R \mid v(r) \geq n\}$ . You can see this by induction. This is true when  $n=0$  and 1. Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (x^n) \subset \{r \in R \mid v(r) \geq n\}$ , so take any  $r \in R$  such that  $v(r) \geq n$ . Then  $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ , so  $r$  is a multiple of  $x$ , so  $r = xs$  for some  $s$ . Then  $v(s) \geq n-1$ , and by induction,  $s \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ . Hence  $r \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ .

Next,  $\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  is a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space of dimension 1: it is an  $R$ -module generated by  $x$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  annihilates it, so it is a  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -module generated by  $x$ , i.e. a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space generated by  $x$ . So its dimension is either 0 or 1. But  $x^n$  gives a non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ , so the dimension of this space must be 1.

So here's our picture: we have nested subsets  $\mathfrak{m}^n$  of  $R$ , and the difference between two adjacent ones is one-dimensional. You can see this in each of our examples.

The following lemma will let you know, partially, how to think about discrete valuation rings that come up geometrically.

**Lemma.** If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a discrete valuation ring over  $\bar{k}$ , such that  $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong \bar{k}$ , and there is an inclusion  $\bar{k} \hookrightarrow R$  such that the composition  $\bar{k} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \cong \bar{k}$  is an isomorphism, then  $\hat{R} \cong \bar{k}[[t]]$ .

Note: these hypotheses are satisfied by  $\bar{k}[t]_{(t)}$ , but not  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Proof.* Fix an element  $r \in R$ . I claim that for each  $n$ , there are unique elements  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  such that

$$r \equiv a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}.$$

This is certainly true if  $n = 1$ , so we work by induction.

First we show existence. Suppose

$$r \equiv a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}.$$

Call this polynomial  $f$ . Then  $r - f \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ ; hence  $r - f \equiv a_nx^n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}$  (for a *unique*  $a_n$ ). Hence

$$r \equiv a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}.$$

Thus we have existence.

Now for uniqueness. If  $r \equiv b_0 + \dots + b_nx^n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}$ , then by reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^n$ , we see that  $b_0 = a_0, \dots, b_{n-1} = a_{n-1}$ . Finally,  $b_n = a_n$  by the comment in the last paragraph.

Thus we've shown that  $r$  can be written in this unique way. Then its image in the completion can be written as

$$\hat{r} = (a_0, a_0 + a_1x, a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2, \dots)$$

for uniquely chosen  $a_i \in \bar{k}$ . At this point, it's clear what the isomorphism with the power series ring  $\bar{k}[[t]]$ , whose elements can be uniquely written as

$$(a_0, a_0 + a_1t, a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2, \dots).$$

We just need to check that the ring structures are the same, i.e. when you add  $r$  and  $s$  in our ring  $R$ , you end up adding the corresponding power series, and the same for multiplication. Addition is clear, and for multiplication: notice that if  $\hat{s} = (b_0, \dots)$ , then

$$\hat{r}\hat{s} = (a_0b_0, a_0b_0 + (a_1b_0 + a_0b_1)x, \dots)$$

which is the same multiplication rule as for power series.  $\square$

In conclusion any one of these nice local rings you can informally imagine as power series, although you lose some information in doing so.

*Fact.* Completion of dimension  $n$  regular local ring with this property (that the residue field is contained in ring) is isomorphic to  $\bar{k}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ .

Mild generalization to the  $p$ -adics: You don't need  $\bar{k}$  to lie in  $R$  for this to work (or indeed for  $\bar{k}$  to be algebraically closed). All you really need is a map  $\sigma : k \rightarrow R$  — not a ring map or anything, just a map of sets — such that the composition  $k \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$  is an isomorphism. (*Explain more.*)

*Example.* Let  $p$  be a nonsingular point on a curve  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$  is a regular local ring of dimension 1. Hence it is a valuation of  $k(Y)/\bar{k}$ . What's the valuation? Essentially, it's the same thing we say in the case of  $\bar{k}[t]$ . The maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the ideal of functions vanishing at  $p$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by a single element (often called the *uniformizer*). In a way that can soon be made precise, given a regular function on a curve, its valuation is the order of vanishing at the point  $p$ .

If you're willing to think analytically, over the complex numbers, you can already see it: there are classical neighbourhoods of nonsingular points look just like open sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and functions there can have zeroes or poles at  $p$ . And if you're thinking analytically, you'll want to think in terms of power series, which is precisely what the above Lemma allows you to do.

*How to think of the map  $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$  or  $R_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \hat{R}$ .* This is expanding out a locally defined function as a power series. Any function in a Zariski neighbourhood is an element of the localization. Any element of the localization is an element of the completion.

Smaller and smaller neighbourhoods of a point  $p$  in a variety  $V$ : Zariski-neighbourhoods (denominators are powers of some  $f$ ). Local ring (denominators are functions not vanishing at  $p$ . (Étale neighbourhoods.) Analytic neighbourhoods (convergent power series). Formal neighbourhoods (formal power series).

For example, all nonsingular varieties (of dimension  $n$ ) look the same formally.

**Coming next:** *Integral closure and Dedekind domains.* Definition of integral closure. Two examples:  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\bar{k}[t]$ . Integral closure is a “local property”. Definition of Dedekind domain.