

We first  
 $P_0 \rightarrow A$   
 $P'_0 \rightarrow$

construct a map  $\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$ .  
 two loc free res.  
 loc. free  
 $K$  theory

Jesse Silliman  
 1/16/15

Check: kernels of the exact sequences agree.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & K' & = & K' & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & P_0 \times_A P'_0 & \rightarrow & P'_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

$$P'' \rightarrow P_0 \times_A P'_0 = \{ (m, n) \in P_0 \oplus P'_0 \mid \bar{m} = \bar{n} \text{ in } A \}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & K \times_{P_0 \times_A P'_0} P'' & \rightarrow & P'' & \rightarrow & P'_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & & & \\
 & & K_0 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

$K_0$  is ~~not~~ locally free, since  $P'' \rightarrow P'_0$  a surjection  
 (resp  $K'_0$ ) of locally free sheaves.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & K'_0 & \rightarrow & K' \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K_0 & \rightarrow & P'' & \rightarrow & P'_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

check:  $\exists$  maps  $K_0 \rightarrow K$   
 $K'_0 \rightarrow K'$   
 whose kernels then agree.

We have two resolutions of  $K^\bullet; K'$   
 $P_{i+1} \rightarrow K^\bullet$  and  $P'_{i+1} \rightarrow K'$   
 and  $K_0 \rightarrow K$  and  $K'_0 \rightarrow K'$   
 and two resolutions of  $Q$   
 $K_{i+1}, K'_{i+1}$ .

By induction on lengths of locally free resolutions,  
 (by general results we should be able to ensure  
 length of  $K_0 \leq$  length of  $P_{0+1}$ )  
 we may assume that these resolutions give the  
 same objects in locally free  $K$ -theory.

Thus  $[K], [K'], [Q]$  are defined in  $K$ -theory,  
 and we have the relations

$$[K] = [K_0] - [Q], \text{ using resolutions } K_0 \rightarrow K$$

$$[K'] = [K'_0] - [Q], \text{ using resolutions } K_{0+1} \rightarrow Q$$

Using the two SES of loc. frees in the "cross" of  
 the above diagram, we obtain

$$[K_0] + [P_0] = [P''] = [K'_0] + [P'_0]$$

Thus

~~$$[K_0] + [P_0] = [P'']$$~~

~~$$[K] + [P_0] = [P'_0]$$~~

$$[P_0] - [K] = [P'_0] - [K']$$

Using the resolutions  $P_{0+1} \rightarrow K$ ,  
 $P'_{0+1} \rightarrow K'$ , we obtain

$$\sum (-1)^i [P_{i0}] = \sum (-1)^i [P'_{i0}]$$

Thus  $\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$  is well defined.

Note that we proved, along the way, that

given

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \text{ w/ } P \text{ loc. free,}$$

$$[A] + [C] = [P] \text{ in } K_0(X).$$

For ~~more~~ general SES of coh sheaves  $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ ,  
 and a ~~projective~~ loc. free  $P \rightarrow A$ ,

the same "projective over fiber product" trick  
 shows  $\exists Q$  loc. free

st.  $\longrightarrow$   
 holds.

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

Thus, as before,  $R$  is locally free, and this diagram exists:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & C' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & A' \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & P \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

By induction, we may assume

$$[C'] + [A'] = [B'],$$

and we have proven, since  $P, Q, R$  loc. free, that the additivity also holds in the columns.

This implies  $[C] + [A] = [B]$ , as desired.

Thus  $\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$  Factors through  $G_0(X)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Coh}(X) & \rightarrow & K_0(X) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 G_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & 
 \end{array}$$