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Last day:
Lemma: All rank 2 locally free sheaves are filtered nicely by invertible sheaves. Suppose $E$ is a rank 2 locally free sheaf on a curve $C$.
(i) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $L, M \in \operatorname{Pic} C$. Terminology: $E$ is an extension of $M$ by $L$.
(ii) If $h^{0}(E) \geq 1$, we can take $L=\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)$, with $D$ the divisor of zeros of a section of $E$. (Hence $D$ is effective, i.e. $D \geq 0$.)
(iii) If $h^{0}(E) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{deg} E>0$, we can assume $D>0$.
(i) is the most important one.

We showed that extensions $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ are classified by $H^{1}\left(C, L \otimes M^{*}\right)$. The element 0 corresponded to a splitting. If one element is a non-zero multiple of the other, they correspond to the same $E$, although different extensions.

As an application, we proved: Proposition. Every rank 2 locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is a direct sum of invertible sheaves.

I can't remember if I stated the implication:
Every geometrically ruled surface over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface

$$
\mathbb{F}_{n}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(n)\right)
$$

for $n \geq 0$.
Date: Friday, November 8.
(We don't yet know that they are all different yet, but we will soon.)
We then began to study :

1. GEOMETRIC FACTS ABOUT A GEOMETRICALLY RULED SURFACE $\pi: S=\mathbb{P}_{C} E \rightarrow C$ FROM GEOMETRIC FACTS ABOUT $C$

In particular, we found the intersection theory of $S$ in terms of Pic $C$. One of the players was the class $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of the projective bundle. Let me repeat the definition of this.

There is a "tautological" subline bundle of $\pi^{*} E$; this is defined to be $\mathcal{O}_{P}(-1)$ (and $\mathcal{O}_{P}(n)$ is defined to be the appropriate multiple $=$ tensor power of this).

You can check:

## Exercise.

(a) This agrees with the definition of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on a projective space (in the case where $E$ is a point).
(b) In the general case, the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{P}(1)$ to a fiber of $\pi$ is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the fiber.
(c) In the case where $\operatorname{dim} C=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} P=2, \mathcal{O}(1) \cdot F=1$ for any fiber $F$. (This generalizes to projective bundles of arbitrary dimension once we have intersection theory.)
(d) Hence if $L$ is any invertible sheaf on $C$, then $\pi^{*} L \cdot \mathcal{O}(1)=\operatorname{deg} L$.

Once you check (a), the rest follow quickly in order.
With this definition, we have:
Proposition. Suppose $\pi: S \rightarrow C$ is a geometrically ruled surface, corresponding to rank 2 locally free sheaf $E$. Let $h=\mathcal{O}_{S}(1) \in \operatorname{Pic} S$ or $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ Then:
(i) $\operatorname{Pic} S=\pi^{*} \operatorname{Pic} C \oplus \mathbb{Z} h$,
(ii) $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z} h+\mathbb{Z} f$, where $f$ is the class of a fiber,
(iii) $h^{2}=\operatorname{deg} E$,
(iv) $[K]=-2 h+(\operatorname{deg} E+2 g(C)-2) f$ in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

I gave a proof of this, but my proof of (iv) needs to be edited. The corrected version is in the Class 11 notes. (Remark: The reason for the discrepancy is because there are two possible definitions of the projectivization of a vector space. The traditional one is that of one-dimensional subspaces of a vector space. That's the one that most of the world uses. An alternate one is that of one-dimensional quotients of a vector space. That's the one that Grothendieck used, because it makes certain statements cleaner, and as a result much but not of the algebraic geometry community uses this definition. So be warned.)

Recall that the outer entries of a Hodge diamond are birational invariants. I should have proved this earlier, and will now. (Move to earlier notes at some point.)

Theorem. $h^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}\right)=h^{1,0}$ is a birational invariant. (Similarly for $h^{2,0}$, and also so for pluricanonical forms $P_{n}=H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{K}_{S}^{\otimes n}\right)$.) (This works for smooth projective varieties of any dimension.)

Proof. Suppose $\phi: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is a birational map, which is a morphism from $S^{\prime}-F \rightarrow S$, where $F$ is a finite set. Thus we have a map $H^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}-F, \Omega_{S^{\prime}-F}\right)$. In fact, this extends: the poles of a differential form are pure codimension 1. Thus we have $H^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega_{S^{\prime}}\right)$ which takes a preserves the restriction of the form to their common open set. The same argument works for the rational map $S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, so we get an isomorphism.

Hence the numerical invariants of a ruled surface $\pi: S \rightarrow C$ are as follows. The outer entries of the Hodge diamond are the same as for $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, which can be checked directly to be

$$
h^{2,0}=0 \begin{array}{ccc} 
& h^{1,0}=g & h^{0,0}=1 \\
& h^{1,1} & h^{0,1}=g \\
& h^{2,1}=g & \\
& h^{1,2}=g
\end{array} h^{0,2}=0
$$

The central number is the rank of the Picard group (by the Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem), or over $\mathbb{C}$ can be found using the Euler characteristic.

Also, $P_{n}=H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{K}_{S}^{\otimes n}\right)=0$. Important fact: this characterizes ruled surfaces. (I doubt we'll get to this fact in this course, but we might.)

Second important fact: if $q=P_{2}=0$, then $S$ is rational. (Castelnuovo's Rationality Criterion, to be discussed in a few classes.)

## 3. THE SURFACES $\mathbb{F}_{n}$

We already know that Pic $\mathbb{F}_{n}=\mathbb{Z} h \oplus \mathbb{Z} f(n \geq 0)$ with $f^{2}=0, f \cdot h=1$, and $h^{2}=n$. All geometrically ruled surfaces over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ are of this sort. But are they all different? Yes!:

Proposition. If $n>0$, there is a unique irreducible curve $E$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ with negative selfintersection. If $e$ is its class in Pic $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, then $e=h-n f$.
(This curve is often called $E$.)

Corollary. $e^{2}=-n$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ has no curves of negative self-intersection, as they all "move" (under the automorphisms of both $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ s). Hence $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ are not isomorphic unless $n=m$. Also, all $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ are minimal except for $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, which has a ( -1 )-curve. In fact, $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at a point. Reason: the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at a point is a rational geometrically ruled surface with a (-1)-curve, so it must be $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ !

Proof of the proposition. First, I'll produce the curve $E$ of negative self intersection, which is a section. Consider the section $E$ of $\pi: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ corresponding to the subline bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$. Let $e$ be its class of this curve $E$ in $\operatorname{Pic} \mathbb{F}_{n} . e=h+r f$ for some $r$. Since $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n}}(1)\right|_{E}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$, we know that $h \cdot e=\left.\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n}}(1)\right|_{E}=0$, from which $r=-n$, and then $e^{2}=(h-n f)^{2}=-n$.

Next, I'll show that this is the only curve of negative self-intersection. If $C$ is irreducible on $\mathbb{F}_{n} .[C]=a h+b f . C \cdot f=0$ implies $a \geq 0 . C \cdot E \geq 0$ implies $(b f \cdot(h-n f))=b \geq 0$. Finally, $C \cdot C=(a h+b f)=a^{2} n+2 a b \geq 0$.
3.1. Getting from one $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ to another by elementary transformations. Consider $\mathbb{F}_{n}$. Blow up a point on $E$, and blow down the proper transform of the fiber. We again have a rational ruled surface. Show that you have $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$.

Instead, blow up a point not on $E$, and blow down the proper transform of the fiber. Show that you have $\mathbb{F}_{n-1}$. What goes wrong when $n=0$ ?

## 4. Fun with rational surfaces (beginning)

The surface $F_{0}$. This is $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By the above determination of the intersection theory of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, the intersection theory of $\mathbb{F}_{0}$ is $\mathbb{Z}[h, f] / h^{2}=f^{2}=0, h f=1$. $h$ and $f$ are the classes of fibers of the two projections to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $([x ; y],[u ; v])$ be co-ordinates to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then $x u, x v, y u, y v$ are sections of $\mathcal{O}(h+f)$, that separate points and tangent vectors, and hence give a closed immersion into $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. The degree of the immersed surface is $(h+f)^{2}=h^{2}+$ $2 h f+f^{2}=0+2+0=2$, so we have a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Conversely, any two smooth quadrics over an algebraically closed field are isomorphic. The reason is linear algebra: quadratic forms in 4 variables are classified, and are (up to change of basis):

$$
0, \quad x_{0}^{2}, \quad x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}, \quad x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}, \quad x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} .
$$

Only the last one is smooth. (The rest are: all of projective space, a double plane, the union of two planes, and a quadric cone.)

Thus all smooth quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (over an algebraically closed field) are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Many of the other surfaces corresponds to blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at a certain number of points. Before discussing them, here is a

Useful proposition. Consider the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at $n$ general points, giving exceptional divisors $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$. Then the intersection ring on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[H, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right] / H^{2}=1, H E_{i}=0, E_{i} \cdot E_{j}=0, E_{i}^{2}=-1
$$

We can understand divisors and sections of divisors in terms of divisors on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with certain multiplicities at the $E_{j}$. More precisely: the vector space of sections of $a H-b_{1} E_{1}-\cdots-$ $b_{n} E_{n}$ is naturally isomorphic to the vector space of degree $a$ polynomials in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ vanishing with multiplicity at least $b_{i}$ on $E_{i}$.

I'll prove this next class.
The surface $\mathbb{F}_{1}$. As observed before, $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ is (isomorphic to) the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at a point.
Consider the divisor class $2 H-E_{1}$. This corresponds to conics in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ through $p_{1}$, which gives a five-dimensional vector space. It separates points and tangent vectors (somewhat obviously away from $E_{1}$, and not so obviously along $E_{1}$ ). Thus we get an immersion of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Its degree is $(2 H-E) \cdot(2 H-E)=3$. We get a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$.

Blow up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at two points. Now blow up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at two points. Where are the $(-1)$-curves? There are obviously two: our two exceptional divisors. But there is one more: the proper transform of the line joining the two points. It has self-intersection number ( $H-E_{1}-$ $\left.E_{2}\right)^{2}=-1$.

When you blow down that "bonus" rational curve, what do you get? In fact: $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Eric saw this by interpreting what we just did as an elementary transformation of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$.

Here's another way of seeing it. Conic through 2 points $\left(2 H-E_{1}-E_{2}\right)$ gives a map to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, and it is degree 2 . Get smooth quadric surface.

What are the maps to the two $\mathbb{P}^{1 /}$ s? Answer: projection from each of two points. You can see why the proper transform of the line gets sent to a point under these two projections.

