MODERN ALGEBRA (MATH 210) MIDTERM SOLUTIONS

POKMAN CHEUNG

This solution set was written by Pokman; Ravi has added some comments and hopefully hasn't introduced too many errors!

1. Show that a ring in which all ideals are finitely generated cannot have an infinite sequence of ideals

$$I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \dots.$$

Conversely, show that if a ring has no infinite sequence of ideals, then all ideals are finitely generated.

Solution. Suppose all ideals of a ring R are finitely generated, and we have an increasing sequence of ideals, $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \dots$. The union

$$I = \cup_{i \geq 1} I_i$$

is also an ideal. (Not true for any union of ideals. The sequence being increasing is important.) By assumption, $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is finitely generated. Since the sequence $\{I_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is increasing, all a_i are contained in I_N whenever N is big enough, which implies $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset I_N \subset I \Rightarrow I_N = I$ for all sufficiently large N. Hence, the increasing sequence of ideals stabilizes after a finite number of steps.

Conversely, suppose R satisfies the ascending chain condition on its ideals, and let I be an ideal of R. Choose a sequence of ideals inductively as follows: Pick some $a_1 \in I$ and let $I_1 = (a_1)$. Suppose $I_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset I$ has been chosen. If $I_n \subsetneq I$, pick some $a_{n+1} \in I - I_n$, and let $I_{n+1} = I_n + (a_{n+1}) \subset I$. Note that $I_n \subsetneq I_{n+1}$. By assumption, this procedure must terminate after a finite number of steps, which happens only when $I = I_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence any ideal is finitely generated.

(You can't start with: let $(a_1, a_2, \dots,)$ be a generating set. Do you see why? How do you know there *is* a countable generating set? Another common mistake is talk about "the" generating set.)

2.

(a) Show that if $n \neq 4$, the only normal subgroups of S_n are $\{e\}$, A_n, and S_n.
(b) Describe all group homomorphisms from $S_7 \to S_5$.

Solution. (a) The cases $n = 2, 3$ are easily checked. Consider $n \geq 5$. Let N be a normal subgroup of S_n. Then $N \cap A_n$ is a normal subgroup of A_n. Since A_n is simple, $N \cap A_n$ must equal $\{e\}$ or A_n. In the latter case, $N \supset A_n$. As $|S_n : A_n| = 2$, the only subgroups of S_n containing A_n are A_n and S_n, and both of them are normal.

Date: Thursday, November 7, 2002.

Suppose $N \cap A_n = \{e\}$. Assume $N \neq \{e\}$ and let $\sigma, \tau \in N - \{e\}$. Since $\sigma, \tau \notin A_n$, they are odd permutations. Since the product of two odd permutations is even, A_n consists of all even permutations and $N \cap A_n = \{e\}$, we have $\sigma^2 = e = \sigma\tau$, which implies $\sigma = \tau$. Hence N contains at most one nontrivial element. [This is a proof of problem 2.95(i) in Rotman.] However, since N is normal, whenever N contains an element, N contains all its conjugates, and the conjugacy class of any nontrivial element of S_n consists of more than one elements (true for any $n > 2$). Thus N cannot contain exactly one nontrivial element. We conclude $N = \{e\}$.

(b) Let $\varphi : S_7 \to S_5$ be a homomorphism. By (a), $\ker\varphi = \{e\}, A_7$ or S_7. The first case is impossible since $|S_7| > |S_5|$. If $\ker\varphi = A_7$, we must have $\operatorname{im}\varphi = \{\mathrm{e}, \tau\}$, where $\tau \in S_5$ is of order 2. Conversely, for any such τ, since $S_7/A_7 \cong \{e, \tau\}$, there exists a homomorphism with A_7 as the kernel and $\{e, \tau\}$ as the image. Finally, we have $\ker\varphi = S_7$ if and only if φ maps all elements of S_7 to $e \in S_5$.

3. Prove that every nonzero prime ideal in a Principal Ideal Domain is a maximal ideal.

Solution. Let $(p) \neq (0)$ be prime and $(a) \supsetneq (p)$. Since $p \in (a)$, we have $p = ab$ for some $b \in R$. Then $ab \in (p)$ but $a \notin (p)$ implies $b \in (p)$, or $b = cp$ for some $c \in R$. Hence we have $p = ab = acp \Rightarrow 1 = ac$, since R is a domain and $p \neq 0$. Therefore, a is a unit and (a) is the whole ring. This proves (p) is maximal.

(Many peope never explicitly used the fact that $(p) \neq (0)$. It is necessary — do you see where?)

4.

(a) Note that $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ is a cube root of 1, and $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$. Prove that the subset $\{x + y\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] : x + y$ is divisible by 3$\} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is an ideal. Is it prime? .

(b) Describe the set of integers of the form $a^2 - ab + b^2$ (a, b integers).

Solution. (a) Let I be the subset defined in the question. It is straightforward to directly check that I is an ideal. Instead, observe that the following are equivalent:

$$\text{(i) } 3|a+b, \qquad \text{(ii) } 3|N(a+b\omega), \qquad \text{(iii) } a+b\omega \in (1-\omega).$$

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): since $N(a+b\omega) = a^2 - ab + b^2 = (a+b)^2 - 3ab$. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): since $N(1-\omega) = 3$. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): since $a + b\omega = a + b - b(1-\omega) = (1-\omega)\left[\frac{a+b}{3}(1-\bar{\omega}) - b\right]$. Hence by (iii), $I = (1-\omega)$ is an ideal. Also, (ii) implies I is prime: $3|N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta) \Rightarrow 3|N(\alpha)$ or $3|N(\beta)$.

(b) We first determine when a prime integer p can be so expressed. If $p = a^2 - ab + b^2$, by reducing to $\bmod 3$, it is easy to see that we must have $p \equiv 0, 1 (\bmod 3)$. Conversely, if $p = 3$, take $a = 1, b = -1$. If $3|p-1$, by Theorem 2.78 in Rotman, there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ of order 3. Hence we have (in $\mathbb{F}_p$) $(\tau - 1)(\tau^2 + \tau + 1) = \tau^3 - 1 = 0$ but $\tau - 1 \neq 0$, thus $\tau^2 + \tau + 1 = 0$. This means there exist $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t^2 + t + 1 = pk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In particular, we may require $1 < t \leq p-1$, so that

$$0 < pk = t^2 + t + 1 = \left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} \leq \left(p - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} = p^2 - p + 1 < p^2,$$

and therefore $0 < k < p$. In $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, we have

$$p \,|\, pk = t^2 + t + 1 = (t - \omega)(t - \bar{\omega}).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a UFD, some (nonunit) irreducible factor α of p must divide, say, $t - \omega$. Then, $N(\alpha)$ divdes both $N(p) = p^2$ and $N(t-\omega) = pk$. Since $0 < k < p$ and $N(\alpha) \neq 1$, we conclude $N(\alpha) = p$. Let $\alpha = a + b\omega$. We then have $p = a^2 - ab + b^2$. Therefore, p can be expressed in the desired form if and only if $p \equiv 0, 1 (\bmod 3)$.

Alternatively, here is a little more concise proof, paralleling our proof of which numbers are expressible as the sum of two squares: If $p \neq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p = a^2 - ab + b^2 = (a + b\omega)(a + b\bar{\omega}) \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & (p) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \text{ is not prime} \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & \mathbb{Z}[\omega]/(p) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + x + 1, p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2 + x + 1) \text{ has zerodivisors} \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & x^2 + x + 1 \text{ is reducible over } \mathbb{F}_p \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & \tau^3 = 1 \text{ for some } \tau \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times - \{1\} \quad (\text{since } p \neq 3) \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & 3 \,|\, p - 1 \quad (\text{since } \mathbb{F}_p^\times \text{ is cyclic of order } p - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $S = \{q \text{ a prime integer} : q \equiv 2 (\bmod 3)\}$. Suppose $n = a^2 - ab + b^2 = (a + b\omega)(a + b\bar{\omega})$ and $q \in S$ divides n. Since q stays irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, we must have, say, $q | a + b\omega$, which implies $q^2 = N(q) | N(a + b\omega) = n$. Then, if we let $n = q^2 n'$, $a + b\omega = q(a' + b'\omega)$, we have $n' = (a' + b'\omega)(a' + b'\bar{\omega})$. By the above argument, if $q | n'$, then $q^2 | n'$. Therefore, every $q \in S$ divides n exactly an even number of times. Conversely, suppose

$$n = \prod_{p_i \notin S} p_i^{k_i} \prod_{q_j \in S} q_j^{2\ell_j}$$

is the prime factorization in $\mathbb{Z}$. Since for any $p_i \notin S$, we have $p_i = N(\alpha_i)$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, we have

$$n = \prod_i N(\alpha_i)^{k_i} \prod_j N(q_j)^{\ell_j} = N\left(\prod_i \alpha_i^{k_i} \prod_j q_j^{\ell_j}\right) = a^2 - ab + b^2$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. Find (with proof) an ideal I of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ whose quotient F is a field of 9 elements. Is there an ideal whose quotient is a field of 25 elements?

Solution. Since 3 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ($a^2 + b^2 = 3$ has no integer solution) and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a UFD, $(3) \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a prime ideal, and thus a maximal ideal by problem 3. Hence, $F = \mathbb{Z}[i]/(3)$ is a field. It is easy to see that the nine elements $\{a + bi : 0 \leq a, b \leq 2\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ map to distinct elements, and all the elements of F. Therefore, F is a field of nine elements. [Alternatively, $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(3) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(3, x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^2 + 1)$ is a degree 2 field extension over $\mathbb{F}_3$, since $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_3$, and hence is a field of $3^2 = 9$ elements.]

(A common mistake was to miscount the number of elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(2)$, by abusing the division algorithm; there are 4, not 9!.)

For the second part, here are two solutions.

First solution. Suppose I is an ideal such that $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]/I$ is a field of 25 elements. Then every element of R has order dividing 25, so in particular $25 \in I$. As I is prime, $5 \in I$. However, $\mathbb{Z}/(5)$ has 25 elements (by the same method as above), so $I = (5)$. But then (5) isn't prime: $5 = (2+i)(2-i)$.

Second solution, using what we know now. Suppose we have a ring homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{F}_{25}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{25}$ is the field of 25 elements. If $\varphi \neq 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ (since $\varphi(1)^2 = \varphi(1)$), and thus

$$0 = \varphi(i^2+1) = \varphi(i)^2 + 1 = (\varphi(i) - 2)(\varphi(i) - 3).$$

The last equality holds since char$= 5$. Hence, $\varphi(i) = 2$ or 3, and for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varphi(a + bi) = a + b\varphi(i) \in \{0,1,2,3,4\} = \mathbb{F}_5 \subset \mathbb{F}_{25}$. Therefore, there doesn't exist any surjective homomorphism mapping $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ onto a field of 25 elements. In other words, there doesn't exist any ideal $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I \cong \mathbb{F}_{25}$.

By a slight extension of these arguments, you can show that if there is an ideal whose quotient is a field of q elements, then q is prime not 3 modulo 4, or the square of a prime that is 3 modulo 4.

6. How many abelian groups are there of order 288?

Solution. $288 = 32 \times 9$. In the direct sum decomposition into primary factors of an abelian group of order 288, the orders of the 2-primary ones can be:

$$\{2,2,2,2,2\}, \{2,2,2,4\}, \{2,2,8\}, \{2,4,4\}, \{2,16\}, \{4,8\}, \{32\}.$$

Those of the 3-primary ones can be:

$$\{3,3\}, \{9\}.$$

Hence there are $7 \times 2 = 14$ nonisomorphic abelian groups of order 288.

(Here is is most useful to use the version of the Fundamental Theorem of Abelian groups that separates the group into p-parts.)