

Math 120 Homework 2 Solutions

April 17, 2008

p. 60

10.) By theorem 7, $\langle \overline{30} \rangle = \langle \overline{(30, 54)} \rangle = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$ and since $\overline{30}$ and $\overline{6}$ generate the same cyclic group, they must have equal orders. But $|\overline{6}| = 9$ since all smaller multiples of 6 lie between 0 and 54 while $9 \cdot \overline{6} = \overline{54} = \overline{0}$.

13.) The proof is the same in both a and b: The groups $\mathbb{Z} \times Z_2$ and $\mathbb{Q} \times Z_2$ each contain the element $(0, 1)$ of order 2, while neither \mathbb{Z} nor \mathbb{Q} contains an element of order 2 (such an element would be equal to its negative, hence would be 0 and have order 1).

p. 65

6.) The collection of elements $id, (1\ 2), (3\ 4), (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ forms a group (as can be checked by making the group multiplication table) and contains $(1\ 2)$ and $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$. Any group containing these elements must contain both the identity and $(3\ 4)$, hence this is the group generated by $(1\ 2)$ and $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$. The exhibited group has order 4, but every non-identity element has order 2, hence the group is not cyclic.

14.) a. Let A consist of the set of elements in the group (this is finite). Then these elements generate a subgroup of G , since they are contained in G , but any subgroup containing all of them contains G . Hence $\langle A \rangle = G$ and G is finitely generated.

b. $\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle$ since for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m = m \cdot 1$ if $m > 0$ and $m = |m| \cdot (-1)$ if $m < 0$ (while $0 = 1 - 1$).

c. Let $H = \langle q_1, \dots, q_r \rangle \leq \mathbb{Q}$ and write $q_i = m_i/n_i$ with $(m_i, n_i) = 1$. Let $k = n_1 \dots n_r$. We claim $H \leq \langle \frac{1}{k} \rangle$. Indeed, any element x of H is generated by q_1, \dots, q_r , and as \mathbb{Q} is abelian, is of form $c_1 q_1 + \dots + c_r q_r$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each i . Hence $x = \sum_i \frac{c_i m_i}{n_i} = \sum_i \frac{c_i m_i \hat{n}_i}{k} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_i c_i m_i \hat{n}_i$ where $\hat{n}_i = \frac{k}{n_i}$. But $\sum_i c_i m_i \hat{n}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ so $x \in \langle \frac{1}{k} \rangle$. Then H is a subgroup of a cyclic group, hence is cyclic.

d. We'll show that \mathbb{Q} is not cyclic. Suppose $\mathbb{Q} = \langle q \rangle$ with $q = \frac{m}{n}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ we would have $x = cq = \frac{cm}{n}$ so that the denominator of x (in lowest terms) divides n . Take $x = \frac{1}{n+1}$ for a contradiction.

15.) Take H the subgroup of reduced fractions having odd denominators. H is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} (see HW 1, p.21, #6a). H is not cyclic for the same reason that \mathbb{Q} is not cyclic, i.e. because the denominators of fractions in H are not bounded.

p. 71

7.) Every element of D_{16} may be (uniquely) represented as $x = s^i r^n$ with $i = 0$ or 1 and $0 \leq n < 8$. First consider x with $i = 1$. Then $rx = r s r^n = s r^{-1} r^n = s r^{n-1}$ while $xr = s r^n r = s r^{n+1}$ so $rx \neq xr$ and $x \notin Z$. Next consider $x = r^n$. Then x commutes with r . We have $sx = s r^n$ while $xs = r^n s = s r^{-n}$ so if $x \in Z$ then $r^n = r^{-n}$ which implies $r^{2n} = 1$ or $n = 0$ or $n = 4$. Since r^n commutes with both r and s if $n = 0$ or 4 , it is in the center (i.e. because r, s generate) so $Z = \{1, r^4\}$.

12.) Every subgroup of order 2 in A is cyclic, so is generated by a single element of order two, hence is one of $\langle a \rangle$, $\langle ab^2 \rangle$ or $\langle b^2 \rangle$. The only subgroup of order 1 is $\langle 1 \rangle$. Since $|A| = 8$ every subgroup of A has order 1, 2, 4, or 8. Thus given the three subgroups of order 4, we know all the subgroups. They are: $\langle 1 \rangle$, of order 1, $\langle a \rangle$, $\langle ab^2 \rangle$, $\langle b^2 \rangle$, of order 2, $\langle a, b^2 \rangle \cong V_4$, $\langle b \rangle \cong Z_4$ and $\langle ab \rangle \cong Z_4$ of order 4 and $A = \langle a, b \rangle$ of order 8. Now $\langle ab \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ share the lone element b^2 of order 2, hence $\langle b^2 \rangle$ is the only subgroup of order 2 contained in these groups. Meanwhile, $\langle a, b^2 \rangle$ contains a , ab^2 and b^2 so it contains all three subgroups of order 2. It follows that in the lattice diagram, we have A at the top. In the next level below A are $\langle a, b^2 \rangle$, $\langle ab \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$. In the third level, $\langle a \rangle$, $\langle ab^2 \rangle$ and $\langle b^2 \rangle$ are all below $\langle a, b^2 \rangle$ while $\langle b^2 \rangle$ is also below $\langle ab \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$. Finally $\langle 1 \rangle$ is in the fourth level, under all three subgroups of order 2.

p. 85

1.) Take $x, y \in \phi^{-1}(E)$ with $x = \phi^{-1}(x')$ and $y = \phi^{-1}(y')$. Then $\phi(xy^{-1}) = \phi(x)\phi(y)^{-1} = x'(y')^{-1} \in E$ since $x', y' \in E$ and E is a subgroup. Hence $xy^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}(E)$ so $\phi^{-1}(E)$ is a subgroup by the subgroup criterion. Now suppose that E is normal in H . Take $g \in G$ and $x \in \phi^{-1}(E)$ with $\phi(x) = x' \in E$. Then $\phi(gxg^{-1}) = \phi(g)x'\phi(g)^{-1} \in E$ since $x' \in E$ and E normal. Hence $gxg^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}(E)$ so $g\phi^{-1}(E)g^{-1} \subset \phi^{-1}(E)$. To show the equality, observe that for $y \in \phi^{-1}(E)$, $g^{-1}yg = x \in \phi^{-1}(E)$ (by above) so $gxg^{-1} = y$ and $g\phi^{-1}(E)g^{-1} \supset \phi^{-1}(E)$. Thus $\phi^{-1}(E)$ is normal. Since $\ker \phi = \phi^{-1}(0)$ and 0 is normal in H , we get $\ker \phi$ normal in G .

3.) B is normal since A is abelian. Take $x, y \in A$. Then $\bar{x} + \bar{y} = \overline{x + y} = \overline{y + x} = \bar{y} + \bar{x}$, where the middle equality is computed in A . This proves A/B is abelian.

For an example of non-abelian G with abelian quotient, take $G = D_8$ and $N = \langle r^2, s \rangle \cong V_4$. Then N is normal because it is index 2 (the argument is, there is only one choice for both its left and right cosets). The group G/N has order 2, hence is cyclic, abelian. In fact, N is abelian so we have shown that we may have non-abelian G with normal subgroup N with both N and G/N abelian.