

## MATH 106 HOMEWORK 2 SOLUTIONS

1. Let  $z = x + iy$  and

$$f(z) = x^2 - y^2 - 2y + i(2x - 2xy)$$

Write  $f(z)$  as a function of only  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ .

*Solution:* Using the formulas

$$x = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \text{ and } y = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i},$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= x^2 - y^2 - 2y + i(2x - 2xy) = \\ &= \left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}\right)^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i} + i\left(2 \cdot \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} - 2 \cdot \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \cdot \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}\right) \\ &= \frac{z^2 + \bar{z}^2 + 2z \cdot \bar{z}}{4} - \frac{z^2 + \bar{z}^2 - 2z \cdot \bar{z}}{-4} - \frac{z - \bar{z}}{i} + i(z + \bar{z}) - \frac{z^2 - \bar{z}^2}{2} \\ &= \bar{z}^2 + 2iz. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two complex valued functions with the properties

(i)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = 0$

(ii) there exists a positive number  $M$  such that  $|g(z)| \leq M$  for all  $z$

Prove that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)g(z) = 0$$

*Solution:* Since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 : (|z - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(z)| < \epsilon) \tag{1}$$

We would like to show that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 : (|z - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(z)g(z)| < \epsilon)$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By (1) we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{M} \text{ whenever } |z - a| < \delta.$$

Therefore,

$$|f(z)g(z)| = |f(z)||g(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{M} \cdot M = \epsilon \text{ whenever } |z - a| < \delta.$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)g(z) = 0.$$

**3.** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are two holomorphic functions with  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$  and  $g'(0) \neq 0$ . Explain why

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{f'(0)}{g'(0)}.$$

*Solution:* The lefthand side equals

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{f(z)}{z}}{\frac{g(z)}{z}}.$$

The numerator and denominator can be evaluated as follows. Using  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ , we compute

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z} = f'(0)$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z) - g(0)}{z} = g'(0).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{f'(0)}{g'(0)}.$$

**4.** Using only the definitions, check that the function  $f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z) + 2\bar{z}$  is not holomorphic. Explain why  $f(z)$  is continuous.

*Solution:* It suffices to check that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}$$

does not exist. First, observe that

$$f(z+h) - f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z+h) + 2 \cdot \overline{z+h} - \operatorname{Re} z - 2\bar{z} = \operatorname{Re} h + 2\bar{h}.$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  on the real axis we have

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re} h + 2\bar{h}}{h} = \frac{h + 2h}{h} = 3.$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  on the imaginary axis, we have

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re} h + 2\bar{h}}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 - 2h}{h} = -2.$$

This discrepancy shows that  $f$  cannot be holomorphic.

We check continuity at an arbitrary point  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ . Whenever  $|z - z_0| < \delta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(z_0)| &= |x - x_0 + 2(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0)| \leq |x - x_0| + 2|\overline{z - z_0}| \leq \\ &\leq |z - z_0| + 2|z - z_0| < 3\delta \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\epsilon > 0$  is fixed, taking  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ , we have

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

**5.** Compute the derivatives of the following functions

(i)  $f(z) = (1 - z)^5$  at  $z_0 = 1 + i$

(ii)  $f(z) = \frac{1-2z}{1-z}$  at  $z_0 = 2 + i$ .

*Solution:* Using the rules of differentiation, we have

(i)  $f'(z) = 5(1 - z)^4(-1) \Rightarrow f'(1 + i) = -5(-i)^4 = -5.$

(ii)  $f'(z) = \frac{(1-z)(-2) - (1-2z)(-1)}{(1-z)^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-z)^2}$ . Therefore

$$f'(2 + i) = -\frac{1}{(1 + i)^2} = -\frac{1}{2i}.$$

**6.** Check that the real and the imaginary parts of the function  $f(z) = (z + 1)^3$  satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

*Solution:* Let  $z = x + iy$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(z + 1)^3 &= (x + 1 + iy)^3 \\ &= (x + 1)^3 + 3(x + 1)^2(iy) + 3(x + 1)(iy)^2 + (iy)^3 \\ &= (x + 1)^3 - 3(x + 1)y^2 + i [3(x + 1)^2y - y^3]\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$u = (x + 1)^3 - 3(x + 1)y^2, \text{ and } v = 3(x + 1)^2y - y^3.$$

The partial derivatives satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations, since

$$\begin{aligned}u_x &= 3(x + 1)^2 - 3y^2 = v_y \\ u_y &= -6(x + 1)y = -v_x\end{aligned}$$

**7.** Do the real and imaginary parts of the function in Pr. 1 satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations? What does this imply about  $f(z)$ ?

*Solution:* The real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are

$$u = x^2 - y^2 - 2y, \text{ and } v = 2x - 2xy.$$

The partial derivatives are

$$\begin{aligned}u_x &= 2x \neq -2x = v_y \\ u_y &= -2y - 2 \neq -2 + 2y = -v_x\end{aligned}$$

with the only possible exception  $x = y = 0$ . This shows that the function  $f$  is not holomorphic.

**8.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real valued non-constant function. Using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, show that  $f$  cannot be holomorphic.

*Solution:* Suppose  $f = u + iv$  is holomorphic. Since  $f$  is real-valued,  $v = 0$ . The Cauchy-Riemann equations show that

$$u_x = v_y = 0, \text{ and } u_y = -v_x = 0.$$

Therefore  $u_x = 0$  which shows  $u$  is a function of  $y$  alone,  $u = \phi(y)$ . Since  $u_y = 0$ , we have  $\phi'(y) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\phi$ , and also  $u$ , must be constant. This is a contradiction.