

## MATH 152 Problem set 3 solutions

As usual,  $p$  denotes a prime number.

1. Since  $g$  is a primitive root mod  $p$ , as  $i$  runs through  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ,  $g^i$  takes each value  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$  exactly once, possibly in a different order. This gives  $(p-1)! \equiv g \cdot g^2 \cdot \dots \cdot g^{p-1} \pmod{p}$ . Also, by the identity  $1+2+\dots+p-1 = p(p-1)/2$ , we have  $g \cdot g^2 \cdot \dots \cdot g^{p-1} \equiv g^{p(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}$ .

Wilson's theorem follows if we show that  $g^{p(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . When  $p = 2$ , this is trivial. When  $p$  is odd,  $g^{p(p-1)/2} \equiv g^{(p-1)/2}$ , and it follows that this equals  $-1$ , since it squares to 1 and there are only two numbers mod  $p$  that squares to 1 (because  $x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has at most two solutions, no other numbers than 1 and  $-1$  square to 1).

2. If  $k = 1$  the result is trivial so assume  $k \geq 2$ .

$(a, a^k - 1) = 1$ , so  $a$  is contained in the reduced residue class  $(\text{mod } a^k - 1)$ . (For those of you who have group theory background,  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/(a^k - 1)\mathbb{Z})^*$ .) Obviously  $a^k - 1 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{a^k - 1}$ , so the order of  $a$  divides  $k$ . However, any smaller power of  $a$  is strictly smaller than  $a^k - 1$  and hence cannot be  $1 \pmod{a^k - 1}$ . Therefore the order of  $a$  is precisely  $k$ . By Lagrange's theorem,  $k \mid \phi(a^k - 1)$ .

3. First suppose that  $(p-1) \mid k$  i.e.  $k = c(p-1)$  for some integer  $c$ . Then for every nonzero  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $n^k \equiv n^{c(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  by Fermat's little theorem. Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n^k \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Next suppose  $(p-1) \nmid k$ . Recall that there exists a primitive root  $g \pmod{p}$ , and that as  $i$  runs through  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ,  $g^i$  assumes each of  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$  exactly once. Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n^k \equiv g^k + g^{2k} + \dots + g^{(p-1)k} \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $(g^k + g^{2k} + \dots + g^{(p-1)k})(1 - g^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But then  $1 - g^k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by our assumption on  $k$ . Therefore  $g^k + g^{2k} + \dots + g^{(p-1)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

4. The idea is to consider the Taylor expansion of  $f(x)$  around  $x = a$ :

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + f''(a)(x-a)^2/2! + \dots + f^{(d)}(a)(x-a)^d/d!.$$

In each of the sub-problems, our goal is to find  $0 \leq t < p$  such that

$$f(a+tp) = f(a) + f'(a)tp + f''(a)t^2p^2/2! + \dots + f^{(d)}(a)t^d p^d/d!$$

is an integer multiple of  $p^2$ . (We're restricting the possible value of  $t$  here because  $a + tp$  and  $a + (t + Cp)p = a + tp + Cp^2$  are considered the same mod  $p^2$ .)

As a lemma, we claim that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f^{(n)}(a)/n!$  is an integer. Write  $f(x) = x^d + c_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0$ . Then

$$f^{(n)}(x) = d(d-1)\dots(d-n+1)x^{d-n} + c_{d-1}(d-1)\dots(d-1-n+1)x^{d-1-n} \dots + c_n n!,$$

and  $n!$  divides all the coefficients of  $f^{(n)}(x)$  since  $n!$  divides any product of  $n$  consecutive integers. Therefore our lemma is established, which immediately implies

$$f(a + tp) \equiv f(a) + f'(a)tp \pmod{p^2}.$$

We use this identity to solve the problem. We already have that  $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.  $f(a) = Cp$  for some integer  $C$ .

(i) If  $f'(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ : then  $f(a) + f'(a)tp = p(C + f'(a)t)$ , and since  $f'(a)$  is not a multiple of  $p$ , we can find exactly one  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that  $C + f'(a)t$  is a multiple of  $p$ . For this  $t$  we have  $f(a + tp) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ .

(ii) If  $f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $f(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ : then  $f(a) + f'(a)tp \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  for any  $t$ , because by our assumptions  $f'(a)tp$  is divisible by  $p^2$  but  $f(a)$  is not. Hence no solutions.

(iii) If  $f'(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ : then  $f(a) + f'(a)tp \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  for all  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Therefore  $a, a+p, \dots, a+(p-1)p$  are all solutions to  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ .

5. To prove the first statement, use induction on  $k$ .

Case  $k = 0$ :  $5^{2^k} = 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+2} = 4}$ , and  $5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+3} = 8}$  are all easily verified.

General case: assume the truth of the statement for  $k-1$ . We have  $5^{2^{k-1}} = 1 + C \cdot 2^{k+1}$ ,  $2 \nmid C$ . Therefore  $5^{2^k} = (5^{2^{k-1}})^2 = 1 + C \cdot 2^{k+2} + C^2 \cdot 2^{2k+2}$ . Clearly this is congruent to 1 mod  $2^{k+2}$ , but not to 1 mod  $2^{k+3}$ , since  $2 \nmid C$  (in fact, it is  $1 + 2^{k+2} \pmod{2^{k+3}}$ ).

Next, the order of 5 mod  $2^\alpha$ : by the above result, we know that  $5^{2^{\alpha-2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^\alpha}$ . So the order of 5 divides  $2^{\alpha-2}$ . But it does not divide  $2^{\alpha-3}$  since  $5^{2^{\alpha-3}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^\alpha}$ . Therefore the order of 5 is precisely  $2^{\alpha-2}$ .

For the final part: there are  $\phi(2^\alpha) = 2^{\alpha-1}$  reduced residue classes mod  $2^\alpha$ . The powers of 5 already accounts for  $2^{\alpha-2}$  of them. To show that -1 and 5 generate all of the reduced

residue classes—in the language of group theory,  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^\alpha\mathbb{Z})^*$ —it suffices to show that  $-1$  is not a power of 5. This is trivial when  $\alpha = 2$ , so assume  $\alpha \geq 3$  (so as to avoid some tricky computational issues below).

Suppose by contradiction that  $-1 \equiv 5^d \pmod{2^\alpha}$  for some  $d < 2^{\alpha-2}$ . Then  $1 \equiv 5^{2d} \pmod{2^\alpha}$ , so  $2^{\alpha-2} \mid 2d \Rightarrow 2^{\alpha-3} \mid d$ . This forces  $d = 2^{\alpha-3}$ .

Recall that  $5^d = 5^{2^{\alpha-3}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}$  by what we proved above. Therefore

$$5^d = -1 + A \cdot 2^\alpha = 1 + B \cdot 2^{\alpha-1}$$

for some integers  $A, B$ . But then this implies  $-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}$ , which is impossible since  $\alpha \geq 3$ . This proves that  $-1$  is not a power of 5.

6. We will verify that the (least) period  $l = p(p-1)$ . (This conjecture is not totally out of the blue. One experiments on many values of  $n$  to see what  $n^n$  looks like, and finds that there's something special about the behavior  $p$  and  $p-1$  with respect to the sequence  $n^n$ .)

First we show  $(n+l)^{n+l} \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$  for all  $n$ :  $(n+l)^{n+l} \equiv (n+p(p-1))^{n+p(p-1)} \equiv n^{n+p(p-1)} \equiv n^n n^{p(p-1)} \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$ .

Next suppose  $l'$  is any number satisfying  $(n+l')^{n+l'} \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$  for any  $n$ . We will show that  $p \mid l'$  and  $p-1 \mid l'$ , thereby showing  $l = p(p-1)$  is indeed the least value of  $l'$  satisfying the condition. For the former, let  $n = 0$ ; then we have  $l'^{l'} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore  $p \mid l'$ , and we can write  $l' = pr$  for some  $r$ .<sup>1</sup> For the latter, note that our assumption on  $l'$  implies that, for all  $n$ ,  $(n+l')^{n+l'} \equiv (n+pr)^{n+pr} \equiv n^n n^r \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$ . This implies that  $n^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for every nonzero  $n$ . Exercise 3 in this problem set shows that this cannot be true unless  $p-1 \mid r$ . This completes the proof.

---

<sup>1</sup>If you insist that  $n$  has to start from 1, take  $n = p$  and we will have the same conclusion.