

# SERRE'S CONSTRUCTION

3/15/05, Hui Xue

In this note we will describe Serre's tensor construction with the aim of applying it to abelian varieties with CM.

## 1. THE CONSTRUCTION

In this note  $R$  always denotes a commutative algebra (with unit), and  $M$  denotes a finite projective  $R$ -module. We let  $A$  denote an  $R$ -module scheme over  $S$ . Also, if  $X \rightarrow S$  and  $T \rightarrow S$  are  $S$ -schemes,  $X_T$  denotes the  $T$ -scheme  $X \times_S T$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *The functor*

$$T \rightarrow M \otimes_R A(T) = \text{Hom}_R(M^\vee, A(T))$$

on  $S$ -schemes is represented by an  $R$ -module scheme over  $S$ , denoted by  $M \otimes_R A$ . Here  $M^\vee$  is the dual  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  of  $M$ .

*Proof.* First if  $M = R^n$  is a free  $R$ -module, then it is clear that  $M \otimes_R A = A^n$ . For general  $M$ , as  $M^\vee$  is finite projective, we choose a finite presentation of  $M^\vee$ :

$$R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

The functor  $\text{Hom}_R(M^\vee, A)$  is the kernel of the induced morphism from  $\text{Hom}_R(R^n, A) = A^n$  to  $\text{Hom}_R(R^m, A) = A^m$ , so it is representable. It is obvious that  $M \otimes_R A$  carries a natural  $R$ -module structure as a functor.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *For  $T \rightarrow S$ , we have a natural isomorphism  $(M \otimes_R A)_T \cong M \otimes_R A_T$  as  $R$ -module schemes over  $T$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $T$ -scheme  $X$  one has

$$(M \otimes_R A)_T(X) = M \otimes_R \text{Hom}_S(X, A) = M \otimes_R (A_T(X)),$$

which proves the claim.  $\square$

It is obvious that for two finite projective  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  we have a natural isomorphism of  $R$ -module schemes

$$(1.1) \quad (M \oplus N) \otimes_R A \cong (M \otimes_R A) \times (N \otimes_R A).$$

**Proposition 1.3.** *The following properties of  $A \rightarrow S$  are preserved by the tensor construction: locally finite type, quasi-compactness, locally finite presentation, separatedness, properness, smoothness, flatness, and geometric connectedness of fibers.*

*Proof.* Preservation of the properties of being locally finite type, quasi-compact, or locally of finite presentation are clear by construction, so we only show the preservation of the last five properties.

Suppose  $A$  is separated over  $S$ . For any valuation ring  $V$  over  $S$ , the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathrm{Spec} V, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathrm{Spec} Q_V, A)$$

is injective, where  $Q_V$  is the quotient ring of  $V$ . The map is still injective when tensoring with the flat  $R$ -module  $M$ , so  $M \otimes_R A$  is proper over  $S$ . The case of properness goes similarly.

For flatness we use the following argument of Serre. As  $M \otimes_R A$  is a direct factor of the  $S$ -flat scheme  $A^n$ , it suffices to check that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $S$ -schemes with  $Y(S)$  nonempty and  $X \times_S Y$  is  $S$ -flat, then  $X$  is  $S$ -flat. We may assume that  $S = \mathrm{Spec} D$  is local and that both  $X = \mathrm{Spec} B$  and  $Y = \mathrm{Spec} C$  are affine. Thus, we get two ring extensions  $D \rightarrow B$  and  $D \rightarrow C$  with  $B \otimes_D C$  flat over  $D$ . Since  $D \rightarrow C$  has a section we have a splitting  $C = D \oplus I$  as  $R$ -modules. Thus,  $B$  is a direct  $D$ -module summand of the flat  $D$ -module  $B \otimes_D C$ .

Let  $A$  be smooth over  $S$ , so  $M \otimes_R A \rightarrow S$  is also locally of finite presentation. Thus, we only need to check the following functorial criterion: if  $T_0 \subset T$  is a closed subscheme of an affine scheme  $T$  over  $S$ , defined by an ideal  $I$  on  $T$  with  $I^2 = 0$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad (M \otimes_R A)(T) \rightarrow (M \otimes_R A)(T_0)$$

is surjective. But the map  $A(T) \rightarrow A(T_0)$  is surjective, so (1.2) is surjective as well (as it is obtained via the right exact functor  $M \otimes$ ).

The geometric connectedness property for fibers is obvious, since  $M \otimes_R A$  is a direct factor  $A^n$ .  $\square$

We conclude that if  $A/S$  is an abelian scheme, then  $M \otimes_R A$  is also an abelian scheme. Furthermore we have the following result on the  $\ell$ -adic Tate modules of  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $T_\ell(A)$  is the Tate module of  $A$  then  $M \otimes_R T_\ell(A) \cong T_\ell(M \otimes_R A)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to establish the fact for finite levels, namely one needs to show the natural isomorphism

$$M \otimes_R (A[\ell^n]) \cong (M \otimes_R A)[\ell^n]$$

as group schemes.

For any  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[\ell^n](T) \rightarrow A(T) \xrightarrow{\ell^n} A(T).$$

Tensoring with the flat  $R$ -module  $M$  we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R A[\ell^n](T) \rightarrow M \otimes_R A(T) \xrightarrow{\ell^n} M \otimes_R A(T),$$

which implies that  $M \otimes_R (A[\ell^n]) \cong (M \otimes_R A)[\ell^n]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** *Assume that  $\mathrm{End}_{R,S}(A, A) = R$ . The natural map*

$$M \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R,S}(S, M \otimes_R A)$$

*is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules.*

*Proof.* When  $M$  is a free  $R$ -module the statement is clear. In general let  $M \oplus N \cong R^n$ , so one has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{R,S}(A, A^n) \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ M \oplus N & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{R,S}(A, M \otimes_R A) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{R,S}(A, N \otimes_R A) \end{array}$$

Thus, the lower horizontal map is also an isomorphism, so its direct summand is as well.  $\square$

## 2. CLASSICAL THEORY

Now we relate the abstract tensor construction with the classical construction over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this section  $A$  is a complex abelian variety with CM by the maximal order  $R = \mathcal{O}_F$  in  $F$ , where  $F$  is a CM field. Let  $M$  be a projective  $R$ -module. We will be interested in the case that  $M$  is a fractional ideal of  $F$ , but for some preliminary proofs it is convenient not to restrict to the case of rank 1.

Suppose  $A = V/\Lambda$  is the canonical uniformization of  $A$ , so both  $V$  and  $\Lambda$  are equipped with  $R$ -action (which is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear on  $V$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** *The  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ -module  $M \otimes_R V$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, and  $M \otimes_R \Lambda$  is naturally a lattice in it.*

*Proof.* The claim is obvious if  $M$  is a free  $R$ -module. In general,  $M$  is a direct summand of a free one, namely,  $M \oplus N \cong R^n$  for some  $N$ . Therefore the corresponding decomposition  $(M \otimes_R \Lambda) \oplus (N \otimes_R \Lambda)$  proves the claim.  $\square$

By Lemma 2.1 we can form a new Lie group,  $A' = (M \otimes_R V)/(M \otimes_R \Lambda)$ . By identifying  $T_0(G)$  with  $\ker(G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{C}))$  for any Lie group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have by  $R$ -flatness of  $M$  that  $M \otimes_R V = M \otimes_R T_0(A)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $T_0(M \otimes_R A)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The exponential map*

$$M \otimes_R V = T_0(M \otimes_R A) \xrightarrow{\exp} M \otimes_R A$$

*has the kernel equal to  $M \otimes_R \Lambda$ , so  $A'$  is isomorphic to  $M \otimes_R A$ .*

*Proof.* The claim is clear if  $M$  is free. For general  $M$  one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0(A^n) = T_0(R^n \otimes_R V) & \xrightarrow{\exp} & A^n \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ (M \oplus N) \otimes_R V & \xrightarrow{\exp_M \oplus \exp_N} & (M \otimes_R A) \oplus (N \otimes_R A) \end{array}$$

As  $\mathrm{Ker}(\exp) = \mathrm{Ker}(\exp_M) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(\exp_N)$ , we have  $M \otimes_R \Lambda = \mathrm{Ker}(\exp_M)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The set  $CM(\mathcal{O}_F)$  of isomorphism classes of complex abelian varieties with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and a fixed CM type  $\Phi$  is a principal homogeneous space under  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  via the Serre operation  $A \mapsto \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} A$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that all such complex abelian varieties are of the form  $(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F)_{\Phi}/\mathfrak{a}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a nonzero integral ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . By Proposition 2.2 this is  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} A$  with  $A = (\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F)_{\Phi}/\mathcal{O}_F$ . By Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{a} \otimes A, \mathfrak{b} \otimes A) \cong \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{b}.$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} A$  is an abelian variety with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and CM type  $\Phi$ . Also,  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} A$  is  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -linearly isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{b} \otimes A$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$  has a generator as an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module, which is to say that it is trivial in  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ .  $\square$

### 3. FROBENIUS LIFTING

Let  $(A, \iota)$  be an abelian variety with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of type  $\Phi$  over a number field  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\iota: \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \text{End}_K(A)$  denotes the  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -action on  $A$ . Thus,  $K$  contains the reflex field  $E$  of the CM type  $\Phi$ . Let  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now we take  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E)$  and choose  $L/E$  a finite Galois extension inside  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  containing  $K$ , such that all geometric morphisms between  $A_L$  and  $A_L^\sigma$  are defined over  $L$ . By Chebotarev one can choose a prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\sigma|_L = \left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$  (the Artin symbol) and  $A_L$  has good reduction at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . We write  $k = \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}$  and let  $q = \#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathfrak{P}$ , so  $\sigma$  reduces to the arithmetic  $q$ -Frobenius on  $k$ .

As  $A_L$  and  $A_L^\sigma$  are  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -linearly isogenous over  $L$  (since they have the same CM type and so are  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -linearly isogenous over  $\mathbb{C}$ ), they both have good reduction at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . We write  $(\mathcal{A}, \iota)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \iota^\sigma)$  to denote the Néron models of  $(A, \iota)$  and  $(A^\sigma, \iota^\sigma)$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}$  respectively. We write  $(\bar{A}, \bar{\iota})$  to be denote the reduction of  $(\mathcal{A}, \iota)$  at  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The reduction map*

$$\text{Hom}_L((A_L, \iota), (A_L^\sigma, \iota^\sigma)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}}((\mathcal{A}, \iota), (\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \iota^\sigma)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k((\bar{A}, \bar{\iota}), (\bar{A}^{(q)}, \bar{\iota}^{(q)}))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2, there is an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -linear isomorphism  $A_L^\sigma \cong \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} A_L$  over  $L$  for some fractional  $F$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  (strictly speaking, such an isomorphism is built over  $\mathbb{C}$ , but it descends to  $L$  by our choice of  $L$ ). Therefore, the Néron model  $\mathcal{A}^\sigma$  (over  $\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}$ ) of  $A_L^\sigma$  is given by the abelian scheme  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{A}$ . Lemma 1.4 applied over  $\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}$  and  $k$  gives the following commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}}((\mathcal{A}, \iota), (\mathcal{A}^\sigma, \iota^\sigma)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_k((\bar{A}, \bar{\iota}), (\bar{A}^{(q)}, \bar{\iota}^{(q)})) \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathfrak{a} \end{array}$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The relative Frobenius map  $F_{\mathfrak{P}}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{(q)}$  over  $k$  can be lifted into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{P}}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\sigma) = \text{Hom}_L(A_L, A_L^\sigma)$  uniquely.*

*Proof.* We only need to notice that  $F_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \text{Hom}_k((\bar{A}, \bar{\iota}), (\bar{A}^{(q)}, \bar{\iota}^{(q)}))$ , which is to say  $F_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -linear: this is clear due to the definition of  $\bar{\iota}^{(q)}$  and the universal functoriality of relative Frobenius morphisms.  $\square$