

# THE EQUIVALENCE OF ARTIN–TATE AND BIRCH–SWINNERTON-DYER CONJECTURES

ZHIWEI YUN

## CONTENTS

1. Statement of the conjectures	1
2. Néron-Severi structures	2
3. Reformulation of the conjectures	5
4. Structure of the proof	6
5. More details	7
References	10

We follow the ideas of Gordon [3] (who seems to follow the sketch of Tate [6, p.427-430]), with minor modifications:

- (1) We make a simplifying assumption that the fibered surface  $X \rightarrow C$  has a section;
- (2) We introduce the notion of Néron-Severi structures to clean up the combinatorics.

### 1. STATEMENT OF THE CONJECTURES

**1.1. Setup.** Let  $k$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements. Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  denote the geometric Frobenius. Let  $p = \text{char}(k)$  and let  $\ell \neq p$  be a prime number.

Let  $C$  be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over  $k$  of genus  $g$ . Let  $F = k(C)$  be the function field of  $C$ . Let  $|C|$  be the set of closed points of  $C$ . For  $v \in |C|$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_v, F_v, k(v)$  be the completed local ring at  $v$ , its fraction field and residue field.

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface over  $k$  and  $f : X \rightarrow C$  be a flat morphism such that the generic fiber  $X_F$  is a smooth and geometrically connected curve over  $F$ . Assume also:

- $X(F) \neq \emptyset$ ; equivalently  $f$  admits a section  $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $\text{NS}(X)$  be the Néron-Severi group of  $X$ , i.e., divisors on  $X$  modulo algebraic equivalence. This is a finitely generated abelian group equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear pairing into  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Notation: for any free abelian group  $\Lambda$  with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we denote

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Disc}(\Lambda) := |\det(\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle)|$$

be the absolute value of the Gram matrix formed by any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{\lambda_i\}$  of  $\Lambda$ .

**1.2. Fact** (Raynaud [5, Th 7.2.1]). In our situation,  $f$  is cohomologically flat, i.e., for any geometric point  $s \in C$ , we have  $h^0(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) = 1$  and  $h^1(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) = n$  is independent of  $s$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is locally free of rank  $n$  over  $C$ .

**1.3. Conjecture** (Artin-Tate [6, p. 426, (C)]).

- (1) Let  $P_2(X, q^{-s}) := \det(1 - \sigma q^{-s} | H^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . Then

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} P_2(X, q^{-s}) = \text{rkNS}(X).$$

- (2) *The Brauer group*  $\mathrm{Br}(X) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$  *is finite;*  
(3) *As*  $s \rightarrow 1$ , *we have*

$$P_2(X, q^{-s}) \sim (1 - q^{1-s})^{\mathrm{rkNS}(X)} \frac{\mathrm{Disc}(\mathrm{NS}(X)/\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tor}})}{|\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2} \cdot q^{-\alpha(X)} \cdot |\mathrm{Br}(X)|.$$

where  $\alpha(X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - 1 + \dim \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ$ .

**1.4. Jacobian and Néron model.** Let  $A_F = \mathrm{Pic}_{X_F/F}^0$  be the Jacobian of the curve  $X_F$ . This is a principally polarized abelian variety over  $\mathrm{Spec} F$ . Let  $A$  be its Néron model over  $C$ . Let  $A^\circ$  be the fiberwise neutral component of  $A$ . Let  $\omega_{A/C}$  be the sheaf of relative top differential forms on  $A \rightarrow C$ , viewed as a line bundle on  $C$  via the identity section.

For each place  $v$  of  $C$ , let  $A_v$  denote the fiber of  $A$  over  $\mathrm{Spec} k(v)$ . Let  $c_v = [A_v(k(v)) : A_v^\circ(k(v))]$  be the Tamagawa factor at  $v$ .

**1.5. Fact ([1]).** In our situation, the relative Picard functor  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/C}$  is represented by an algebraic space. Let  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/C}^\circ$  be the fiberwise neutral component of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/C}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/C}^\circ$  is a smooth group scheme of finite type over  $C$ . The canonical map  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/C}^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ$  (by the Néron mapping property) is an isomorphism.

**1.6. Conjecture** (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer [6, p.419, (B)]).

- (1) *Let*  $L(s, A_F)$  *be the complete*  $L$ -*function for the abelian variety*  $A_F$  *over*  $F$ . *Then*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A_F) = \mathrm{rk} A(F).$$

- (2)  $\mathrm{III}(A_F)$  *is finite.*  
(3) *As*  $s \rightarrow 1$ , *we have*

$$(1.2) \quad L(s, A_F) \sim (s-1)^{\mathrm{rk} A(F)} \frac{\mathrm{Disc}_{NT}(A(F)/A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}})}{|A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2} \cdot \left( \prod_v c_v \right) \cdot q^{-\deg \omega_{A/C} - n(g-1)} \cdot |\mathrm{III}(A_F)|$$

In (1.2) we put  $\mathrm{Disc}_{NT}$  to emphasize that we are using the Néron-Tate pairing on  $A(F)/A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}}$ , which takes values in  $\mathbb{Q} \log(q)$  (see §5.3). If we divide the Néron-Tate by  $\log(q)$  (we call it the modified NT pairing), we denote the discriminant of the resulting pairing on  $A(F)/A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}}$  simply by  $\mathrm{Disc}(A(F)/A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}})$ . After change of variables  $s \mapsto q^{-s}$ , (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad L(s, A_F) \sim (1 - q^{1-s})^{\mathrm{rk} A(F)} \frac{\mathrm{Disc}(A(F)/A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}})}{|A(F)_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2} \cdot \left( \prod_v c_v \right) \cdot q^{-\deg \omega_{A/C} - n(g-1)} \cdot |\mathrm{III}(A_F)|.$$

We will sketch a proof of the following result.

**1.7. Theorem** (Gordon, conjectured by Tate [6, p.427, (d)]). *Conjectures 1.3 and 1.6 are equivalent.*

## 2. NÉRON-SEVERI STRUCTURES

**2.1. Definition.** A *Néron-Severi structure* (NS structure for short) is a triple  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$  where

- (1)  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated abelian group;
- (2)  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space with a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -linear automorphism  $\sigma$ ;
- (3)  $\iota$  is a map of abelian groups  $\Lambda \rightarrow V^\sigma$ .

A NS structure  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$  satisfies the *Tate condition* if  $\iota$  induces an isomorphism

$$\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\sigma.$$

There is an obvious notion of morphisms between NS structures, making NS structures an abelian category  $\mathcal{NS}$  with a monoidal structure given by the tensor product.

**2.2. Definition.** A *polarization* on a NS structure  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$  is a symmetric bilinear  $\sigma$ -invariant perfect pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

such that its pullback to  $\Lambda \times \Lambda$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and is perfect on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Note that a polarization on  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$  forces  $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow V^{\sigma}$  to be injective. Polarized NS structures form an exact category  $\mathcal{PNS}$  under the following definition of short exact sequences.

**2.3. Definition.** Let  $(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$  and  $(\Lambda_i, V_i, \iota_i, \langle -, - \rangle_i)$  be polarized NS structures. An exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_1, V_1, \iota_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda, V, \iota) \rightarrow (\Lambda_2, V_2, \iota_2) \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{NS}$  is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{PNS}$  if the  $\langle -, - \rangle$  restricts to  $\langle -, - \rangle_1$  on  $V_1$ , whose orthogonal complement maps isometrically to  $(V_2, \langle -, - \rangle_2)$ . In this case we have a canonical orthogonal decomposition  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  and  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Lambda_{1, \mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Lambda_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ .

**2.4. Lemma.** *If  $(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is a polarized NS structure which satisfies the Tate condition, then the generalized  $\sigma$ -eigenspace  $V^{(\sigma)}$  of  $V$  for eigenvalue 1 is equal to  $V^{\sigma}$  (eigenspace for eigenvalue 1).*

*Proof.* Replacing  $V$  by its generalized eigenspace for eigenvalue 1, the pairing is still perfect there. So we may assume  $\sigma$  is a unipotent element in  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ . By Jacobson-Morosov, one can find a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$  such that  $\phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma$ . The diagonal torus in  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  gives a grading  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$  such that  $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq -j$ . Clearly  $V^{\sigma} \subset V_{\geq 0}$ . If  $\sigma \neq 1$ ,  $V^{\sigma}$  has nonzero intersection with  $V_{>0}$ , then  $\langle V_{>0} \cap V^{\sigma}, V^{\sigma} \rangle = 0$ , i.e., the pairing on  $V^{\sigma}$  is degenerate. This contradicts the non-degeneracy of the pairing on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = V^{\sigma}$  (by Tate's condition).  $\square$

**2.5. Example.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface over a finite field  $k$ . Then

$$(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)), cl)$$

is a NS structure where  $cl : \mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1))^{\sigma}$  is the cycle class map. The intersection pairing on  $\mathrm{NS}(X)$  and the cup product pairing on  $\mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1))$  with values in  $\mathrm{H}^4(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  make  $(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)), cl, \cup)$  a polarized NS structure. It satisfies the Tate condition if and only if the Tate conjecture holds for divisors on  $X$ .

**2.6. Example.** Let  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a finitely generated abelian group with an action of  $\sigma$ . Then  $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}, V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, \iota)$  (where  $\iota$  is the obvious embedding) is a NS structure that satisfies Tate's condition.

**2.7. Definition.** Let  $(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a polarized NS structure. Define

$$\Delta(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle) := \det(1 - \sigma|V/V^{(\sigma)}) \cdot \frac{|\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2}{\mathrm{Disc}(\Lambda/\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}}, \langle -, - \rangle)}.$$

Here  $V^{(\sigma)}$  is the generalized eigenspace of  $\sigma$  on  $V$  for eigenvalue 1. We often abbreviate the above quantity as  $\Delta(\Lambda, V)$ .

**2.8. More on discriminants.** Why is  $\frac{|\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2}{\mathrm{Disc}(\Lambda/\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}})}$  a natural quantity to consider? Recall for a map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of abelian groups with finite kernel and cokernel, we can define  $z(f) = |\ker(f)|/|\mathrm{coker}(f)|$ . For any complex  $C_{\bullet}$  of abelian groups with bounded and finite homology, we may define  $z(C_{\bullet}) = \prod_i |\mathrm{H}_i C|^{(-1)^i}$ . Applying this construction to the complex  $A \rightarrow B$  in degrees 0 and 1 recover  $z(A \rightarrow B)$ . This descends to a homomorphism  $z : K_0(D_f(\mathfrak{Ab})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ , where  $D_f(\mathfrak{Ab})$  is the derived category of complexes in abelian groups with bounded and finite homology.

A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued pairing on  $\Lambda$  gives a map  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$  whose cone has finite cohomology if the pairing is perfect on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to check that

$$\frac{|\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2}{\mathrm{Disc}(\Lambda/\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}})} = z(\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})).$$

This measures how far the pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is from being perfect. We define the discriminant of the pairing on  $\Lambda$  to be

$$\mathrm{Disc}(\Lambda) := z(\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}))^{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{Disc}(\Lambda/\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}})}{|\Lambda_{\mathrm{tor}}|^2}.$$

This is consistent with the old definition of  $\mathrm{Disc}$  in (1.1) for free abelian groups.

If the pairing on  $\Lambda$  is only  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued, we may choose  $n$  such that the pairing is  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ -valued. Then we define

$$\text{Disc}(\Lambda) := \frac{z(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}))}{z(\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}))}$$

The map on the numerator is given by the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ; the map on the denominator is given by the pairing on  $\Lambda$ . One can check that this is still equal to  $\frac{\text{Disc}(\Lambda/\Lambda_{\text{tor}})}{|\Lambda_{\text{tor}}|^2}$  (hence independent of  $n$ ).

More generally, for a pairing  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  between finitely generated abelian groups that is perfect after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we may define

$$\text{Disc}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) := \frac{z(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda_2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda_2, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}))}{z(\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(\Lambda_2, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}))}$$

for sufficiently divisible  $n$ .

**2.9. Example.** In the situation of Example 2.6, suppose further that  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  carries a symmetric bilinear  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$  that is perfect on  $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then we get a polarized NS structure  $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}, V = V_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $V^{(\sigma)} = V^{\sigma}$ . One easily calculates

$$\det(1 - \sigma|V/V^{\sigma}) = \pm z(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}, \sigma})^{-1}.$$

The sign is positive if  $\sigma$  has finite order. Therefore we have

$$\Delta(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}, V_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}) = \pm z(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}, \sigma})^{-1} \text{Disc}(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma})^{-1} = \pm \text{Disc}(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma}, V_{\mathbb{Z}, \sigma})^{-1}.$$

which measures how far the pairing  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\sigma} \times V_{\mathbb{Z}, \sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  (induced from  $\langle -, - \rangle$ ) is from being perfect.

For a smooth projective variety  $X$  over  $k$  and  $V = \mathbf{H}^{2i}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(i))$ , we may dream for a ‘‘motivic cohomology lattice’’  $V_{\mathbb{Z}} = ‘‘\mathbf{H}^{2i}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}(i))’’$  that makes  $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, V)$  into a situation like the one discussed above. Although  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  does not exist in general, its completion  $V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  exists naturally (as  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -cohomology if  $V$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -cohomology, for various  $\ell$ ), which sometimes works as well as  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

The following lemma, which is an easy exercise, describes the behavior of  $\Delta(\Lambda, V)$  under ‘‘isogeny’’.

**2.10. Lemma.** *Let  $(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a polarized NS structure. Let  $\alpha : \Lambda' \rightarrow \Lambda$  be a map of abelian groups with finite kernel and cokernel. Then  $(\Lambda', V, \iota \circ \alpha, \langle -, - \rangle)$  is also a polarized NS structure. Moreover, we have*

$$\Delta(\Lambda', V, \iota \circ \alpha, \langle -, - \rangle) = \Delta(\Lambda, V, \iota \circ \alpha, \langle -, - \rangle) z(\Lambda' \rightarrow \Lambda)^2.$$

The next two lemmas, although both trivial to prove, are the key properties of  $\Delta(\Lambda, V)$  that link the leading term formulae in Conjectures 1.3 and 1.6.

**2.11. Lemma.** *The map  $\Delta : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{PNS}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\times}$  descends to a homomorphism  $\Delta : K_0(\mathcal{PNS}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\times}$ .*

*Proof.* We need to check that for an exact sequence (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(\Lambda, V) = \Delta(\Lambda_1, V_1) \Delta(\Lambda_2, V_2).$$

Since  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Lambda_{1, \mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Lambda_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$  isometrically, there is a subgroup  $\Lambda'_2 \subset \Lambda_2$  of finite index such that  $\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda'_2 \subset \Lambda$  isometrically, with cokernel  $\Lambda_2/\Lambda'_2$ . By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\frac{\Delta(\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda'_2, V_1 \oplus V_2)}{\Delta(\Lambda, V)} = [\Lambda_2 : \Lambda'_2]^{-2} = \frac{\Delta(\Lambda'_2, V_2)}{\Delta(\Lambda_2, V_2)}.$$

Since  $\Delta(\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda'_2, V_1 \oplus V_2) = \Delta(\Lambda_1, V_1) \Delta(\Lambda'_2, V_2)$ , we conclude (2.2) from the above identity.  $\square$

Consider the following situation. Let  $(\Lambda, V, \iota, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be a polarized NS structure. Let  $(\Gamma, W, j')$  be an isotropic subobject of the NS structure  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$  with the trivial  $\sigma$ -action on  $W$ . Let  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma^{\perp} \subset \Lambda$  be a subgroup of finite index, then  $(\Gamma', W^{\perp}, j')$  ( $j'$  is the restriction of  $\iota$  to  $\Gamma'$ ) is a sub NS structure of  $(\Lambda, V, \iota)$ . The subquotient  $(\Gamma'/\Gamma, W^{\perp}/W, \bar{j}, \langle -, - \rangle)$  carries a polarization induced from that of  $V$ , hence it is an object of  $\mathcal{PNS}$ . The following lemma is an easy exercise.

2.12. **Lemma.** *In the above situation, we have*

$$\Delta(\Lambda, V) = \Delta(\Gamma'/\Gamma, W^\perp/W) \cdot \text{Disc}(\Gamma, \Lambda/\Gamma')^{-2}.$$

*In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is free and saturated in  $\Lambda$ , and  $\Gamma' = \Gamma^\perp$ , then*

$$\Delta(\Lambda, V) = \Delta(\Gamma^\perp/\Gamma, W^\perp/W).$$

### 3. REFORMULATION OF THE CONJECTURES

Using the notation  $\Delta(\Lambda, V)$ , we may reformulate Conjecture 1.3 as

3.1. **Conjecture** (Reformulation of Artin-Tate).

- (1) *The NS structure  $(\text{NS}(X), \text{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), cl)$  satisfies the Tate condition.*
- (2)  *$\text{Br}(X)$  is finite.*
- (3) *We have*

$$\Delta(\text{NS}(X), \text{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), cl, \cup) = q^{-\alpha(X)} |\text{Br}(X)|.$$

Next we give a reformulation of the Conjecture 1.6 in terms of  $\Delta(\Lambda, V)$ .

3.2. **Fact** (The  $F/k$ -trace, for more details see [2]). There is an (unique) abelian variety  $B$  over  $k$  with a map  $B \otimes_k F \rightarrow A_F$  and is final for such maps from abelian varieties over  $k$ . The above map has finite infinitesimal kernel. We have  $B \cong \text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ / \text{Pic}_{C/k}^\circ$  (note  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ$  means the neutral component of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ , for proof see [3, Prop 4.4]). Moreover, if we view  $V_\ell(B)$  as a  $\text{Gal}(F^s/F)$ -module via  $\text{Gal}(F^s/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ ,  $V_\ell(B)$  is the maximal submodule of  $V_\ell(A)$  (which is semisimple as  $\text{Gal}(F^s/F)$ -module) on which the action of  $\text{Gal}(F^s/F)$  factors through  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ .

We assume the following statement, which will be proved in §5.

3.3. **Proposition.** *There is a natural map  $\iota : A(F)/B(k) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^\sigma$  which makes*

$$(A(F)/B(k), \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), \iota)$$

*a NS structure. Moreover, up to a sign,  $\iota$  is an isometry with respect to the modified NT pairing on  $A(F)/B(k)$  and the cup product on  $\text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ , the latter giving a polarization  $\langle -, - \rangle_A$  of the above NS structure.*

Note that  $A(F)/B(k)$  is a finitely generated abelian group by the theorem of Lang-Néron.

3.4. **Conjecture** (Reformulation of B-SD).

- (1) *The NS structure  $(A(F)/B(k), \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), \iota)$  satisfies the Tate condition.*
- (2)  *$\text{III}(A_F)$  is finite;*
- (3) *We have*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta(A(F)/B(k), \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), \iota, \langle -, - \rangle_A) = \left( \prod_v c_v \right) \cdot q^{-\alpha(A)} \cdot |\text{III}(A_F)|$$

where  $\alpha(A) := \deg \omega_{A/C} + n(g-1) + \dim B$ .

3.5. **Lemma.** *Conjecture (3.4) is equivalent to Conjecture 1.6.*

*Proof.* The  $L$ -function  $L(s, A_F)$  is attached to the constructible sheaf  $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  over  $C$ . By Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point formula, we have

$$L(s, A_F) = \frac{\det(1 - \sigma q^{-s} | \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell))}{\det(1 - \sigma q^{-s} | \text{H}^0(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \det(1 - \sigma q^{-s} | \text{H}^2(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell))}.$$

Let  $V = \text{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ . By Fact 3.2,  $V_\ell(B)^*$  as a geometrically constant sheaf on  $C$  exhausts the geometrically constant constituents of  $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  (as a shifted perverse sheaf on  $C_{\bar{k}}$ ). Therefore  $\text{H}^0(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong V_\ell(B)^*$  as  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules, and by duality  $\text{H}^2(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong V_\ell(B)^*(-1)$  as  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules. We get

$$(3.2) \quad L(s, A_F) = \frac{\det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s} | V)}{\det(1 - \sigma q^{-s} | V_\ell(B)^*) \det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s} | V_\ell(B)^*)}.$$

Consider the rank part of the conjectures. Since the eigenvalues of  $\sigma$  on  $V_\ell(B)$  are  $q$ -Weil numbers of weight  $-1$ , the denominator above does not vanish at  $s = 1$ . Therefore  $L(s, A_F)$  and  $\det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s}|V)$  have the same vanishing order at  $s = 1$ , which is  $r = \dim V^{(\sigma)}$  (generalized eigenspace). From the fact that  $(A(F)/B(k), V, \iota)$  is a NS structure we see that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{rk}A(F) = \text{rk}A(F)/B(k) \leq \dim V^\sigma \leq \dim V^{(\sigma)} = r$$

If the  $L(s, A_F)$  has order  $\text{rk}A(F)$ , then all equalities hold, and in particular  $\text{rk}A(F)/B(k) = \dim V^\sigma$  which says that  $(A(F)/B(k), V, \iota)$  satisfies the Tate condition. Conversely, if  $(A(F)/B(k), V, \iota)$  satisfies the Tate condition, then  $V^\sigma = V^{(\sigma)}$  by Lemma 2.4, hence again all inequalities (3.3) are equalities, and in particular the vanishing order  $r$  of  $L(s, A_F)$  is  $\text{rk}A(F)$ .

Now we may assume the rank part of both conjectures and compare the leading coefficients of  $L(s, A_F)$  and  $\det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s}|V)$ . By (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(s, A_F)}{(1 - q^{1-s})^r} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s}|V)}{(1 - q^{1-s})^r} \cdot \frac{1}{\det(1 - \sigma q^{-1}|V_\ell(B)^*) \det(1 - \sigma|V_\ell(B)^*)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\det(1 - \sigma q^{1-s}|V)}{(1 - q^{1-s})^r} &= \det(1 - \sigma|V/V^{(\sigma)}) = \det(1 - \sigma|V/V^\sigma); \\ \det(1 - \sigma|V_\ell(B)^*) &= |B(k)|; \\ \det(1 - \sigma q^{-1}|V_\ell(B)^*) &= q^{-\dim B} |B(k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(s, A_F)}{(1 - q^{1-s})^r} = q^{\dim B} |B(k)|^{-2} \det(1 - \sigma|V/V^\sigma).$$

By definition,

$$\Delta(A(F)/B(k), V) = \frac{\det(1 - \sigma|V/V^{(\sigma)})}{\text{Disc}(A(F)/B(k))} = \frac{\det(1 - \sigma|V/V^\sigma)}{\text{Disc}(A(F))|B(k)|^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(s, A_F)}{(1 - q^{1-s})^r} &= q^{\dim B} \text{Disc}(A(F)) \Delta(A(F)/B(k), V) \\ &= q^{\dim B} \frac{\text{Disc}(A(F)/A(F)_{\text{tor}})}{|A(F)_{\text{tor}}|^2} \Delta(A(F)/B(k), V) \end{aligned}$$

whence the equivalence of two leading term formulae (1.3) and (3.1).  $\square$

#### 4. STRUCTURE OF THE PROOF

The proof of Theorem 1.7 is accomplished by the following steps.

**4.1. NS structures attached to bad fibers.** Let  $v \in |C|$  be a place. Let  $X_{v,1}, \dots, X_{v,h_i}$  be the reduced irreducible components, each being an integral curve with field of constants  $k(v)_i/k(v)$ . Inside  $\text{NS}(X)$ , write  $X_v = \sum_i m_i X_{v,i}$  where  $m_i$  is the length of  $X_v$  at the generic point of  $X_{v,i}$ . We may assume that the section  $\gamma(C)$  passes (only) through  $X_{v,1}$  (at necessarily a  $k(v)$  point in the smooth locus of  $X_{v,1}$ ), forcing  $k(v)_1 = k(v)$  and  $m_1 = 1$ .

Let  $D_v$  be the free abelian group with basis  $\{X_{v,1}, \dots, X_{v,m}\}$ . Let

$$\Lambda_v := D_v / \mathbb{Z} \cdot X_v.$$

Since  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_v$  is a free-abelian group with a basis given by the images of  $\{X_{v,2}, \dots, X_{v,h_i}\}$ . Similarly, base changing to  $X_v \otimes_k \bar{k}$  (tensor over  $k$ , not  $k(v)$ ), we have similar lattices  $D_{v,\bar{k}}$  with basis given by the irreducible components of  $X_v \otimes_k \bar{k}$  and quotient

$$\Lambda_{v,\bar{k}} := D_{v,\bar{k}} / \text{Span}\{X_s; s \in C(\bar{k}) \text{ over } v\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda_{v,\bar{k}}$  is a free abelian group with a  $\sigma$ -action and an intersection pairing. By the Hodge Index Theorem, the intersection pairing on  $\Lambda_{v,\bar{k}}$  is negative definite. Clearly  $\Lambda_v = \Lambda_{v,\bar{k}}^\sigma$ . As in Example 2.6, we may form the polarized NS structure

$$(\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v,\bar{k}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

which is zero if  $X_v$  is irreducible.

**4.2. Proposition.** *There is a 3-step filtration of the object  $(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), cl) \in \mathcal{NS}$ :*

$$0 \subset \mathrm{Fil}^2 \subset \mathrm{Fil}^1 \subset \mathrm{Fil}^0 = (\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), cl)$$

with the following properties.

- $\mathrm{Fil}^2 = (\mathbb{Z}\Phi, \mathrm{H}^2(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \cdot cl(\Phi))$ , where  $\Phi \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$  is the class of any divisor  $f^{-1}(E)$ , where  $E$  is a divisor on  $C$  of degree 1;
- $\mathrm{Fil}^1 = (\mathrm{Fil}^0)^\perp$ ,  $\mathrm{Gr}^0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}\gamma(C), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{Gr}^0 \mathrm{NS}(X)$  is generated by the image of  $\gamma(C)$ ;
- $\mathrm{Gr}^1 = (\mathrm{Fil}^2)^\perp / \mathrm{Fil}^2$  is a polarized NS structure admitting a short exact sequence in the category  $\mathcal{PNS}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \oplus_v (\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v,\bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^1(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))) \rightarrow (A(F)/B(k), \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.12 to the above filtration and Lemma 2.11 to  $\mathrm{Gr}^1$  of the filtration, we get

**4.3. Corollary.** *The NS structure  $(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), cl)$  satisfies the Tate condition if and only if  $(A(F)/B(k), \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)), \iota)$  does. When they satisfy the Tate condition, we have*

$$\Delta(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))) = \Delta(A(F)/B(k), \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))) \prod_v \Delta(\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v,\bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Using this corollary, comparing the Conjectures 3.1 and 3.4, their equivalence then follows from the combination of the three statements below.

**4.4. Theorem** (Artin, Grothendieck [4, §4]). *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{III}(A_F) \cong \mathrm{Br}(X).$$

**4.5. Proposition.** *For each  $v \in |C|$  we have*

$$\Delta(\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v,\bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = c_v^{-1}.$$

**4.6. Proposition.** *We have*

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha(X) = \alpha(A).$$

## 5. MORE DETAILS

**5.1. Sketch of proof of Prop 3.3 and Prop 4.2.** Leray-spectral sequence for the fibration  $f : X_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow C_{\bar{k}}$  degenerates at  $E_2$ , giving a filtration on  $V := \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ :

$$0 \subset L^2 V \subset L^1 V \subset L^0 V = V$$

with associated graded

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}_L^2 V &= \mathrm{H}^2(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^0 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) = \mathrm{H}^2(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell; \\ \mathrm{Gr}_L^1 V &= \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)); \mathrm{Gr}_L^0 V = \mathrm{H}^0(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Note the argument for degeneration at  $E_2$  uses the Hodge Index theorem (negative definiteness of the intersection pairing on  $\Lambda_{v,\bar{k}}$ ).

The sheaf  $\mathbf{R}^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$  over  $C_{\bar{k}}$  admits a further filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \oplus_{s \in C(\bar{k})} i_{s,*} W_s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow 0.$$

Here each  $i_s : \text{Spec } \bar{k} \hookrightarrow C_{\bar{k}}$  is the inclusion of a geometric point, and  $W_s = \ker(\mathbf{H}^2(X_s, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  given by integration along  $X_s$ . We have a natural isomorphism of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules

$$\bigoplus_{C(\bar{k}) \ni s \text{ over } v} W_s \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

given by the pairing between the divisor group  $\Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}$  and the cohomology group  $\mathbf{H}^2(X_s, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ , which is perfect by the Hodge Index Theorem. In particular, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_L^2 V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow 0.$$

If we combine  $\text{Gr}_L^1 V$  with the  $\bigoplus_{s \in C(\bar{k})} W_s$  part of  $\text{Gr}_L^0 V$ , and renumber the filtration steps, we get a filtration

$$0 \subset \text{Fil}^2 V \subset \text{Fil}^1 V \subset \text{Fil}^0 V = V$$

with  $\text{Fil}^2 V = L^2 V$  and  $\text{Fil}^1 V = (\text{Fil}^2 V)^\perp$ , and

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}^1 V \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow 0.$$

We remark that this is the filtration on  $V$  induced from the perverse filtration of the complex  $\mathbf{R}f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . In general, the perverse filtration behaves better than the filtration  $\text{Fil}^i V$  in that it satisfies Poincaré duality and Hard Lefschetz.

We consider a similar filtration on  $\text{NS}(X)$ :

$$0 \subset \text{Fil}^2 \text{NS}(X) \subset \text{Fil}^1 \text{NS}(X) \subset \text{Fil}^0 \text{NS}(X) = \text{NS}(X)$$

as designated by Prop 4.2, i.e.,  $\text{Fil}^2 \text{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi$  and  $\text{Fil}^1 \text{NS}(X) = (\text{Fil}^2 \text{NS}(X))^\perp$ , which consists of all divisor classes with total degree 0 long fibers of  $f$ . Since  $\gamma(C) \cdot \Phi = 1$ ,  $\text{Gr}^2 \text{NS}(X)$  is freely generated by the image of  $\gamma(C)$ . We have a similar filtration on  $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}})$ .

Let  $\text{NS}(X)_{\text{ver}} \subset \text{NS}(X)$  be generated by the irreducible components of all fibers of  $f$ . Note that there is a canonical isomorphism.

$$\text{NS}(X)_{\text{ver}} / \mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong \bigoplus_v \Lambda_v$$

Then we have a further filtration of  $\text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X)$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \Lambda_v \rightarrow \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X)_{\text{hor}} \rightarrow 0.$$

where  $\text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X)_{\text{hor}}$  is defined to be the quotient. Similarly, we have an inclusion

$$\bigoplus_v \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}}).$$

**5.2. Theorem** (Shioda-Tate, see [3, Prop 4.5], [7, Prop 4.1]). *The above map induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X)_{\text{hor}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A(F)/B(k).$$

*Sketch of proof.* Let  $\text{Div}_0(X) \subset \text{Div}(X)$  be the divisors whose intersection number with any fiber of  $f$  is zero. Restricting a divisor on  $X$  to its generic fiber gives  $\tau : \text{Div}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X_F) = A(F)$ , which is surjective (set-theoretic inverse given by taking closure). Now let  $\text{Div}_{\text{alg}}(X) = \ker(\text{Div}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Fil}^1 \text{NS}(X))$  be those divisors algebraically equivalent to zero. Then  $\tau$  restricts to  $\text{Div}_{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow A(F)$ , the latter map comes from  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } F \rightarrow A_F$  hence lands in the  $F/k$ -trace  $B$ . Therefore  $\tau(\text{Div}_{\text{alg}}(X)) \subset B(k)$ , and  $\tau$  induces  $\bar{\tau} : \text{Fil}^1 \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow A(F)/B(k)$ . Since vertical divisors are mapped to 0 under  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  factors through

$$\text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X)_{\text{hor}} \rightarrow A(F)/B(k).$$

The fact this is an isomorphism follows from the surjectivity of  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ \rightarrow B$  (see [3, Prop 4.4]).  $\square$

To summarize, we have an exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \Lambda_v \rightarrow \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow A(F)/B(k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the cycle class map  $cl : \text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow V$ . It is easy to see that this map is strictly compatible with the filtrations denoted by  $\text{Fil}$ . Now we consider  $cl : \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}^1 V$ . The map

$$\bigoplus_v \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \subset \text{Gr}^1 \text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}}) \xrightarrow{cl} \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}^1 V \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$



is given by the intersection pairing is an isomorphism after tensoring the source by  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Therefore, the exact sequence (5.1) admits a canonical splitting

$$(5.3) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^1 V \cong (\oplus_v \Lambda_v \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)).$$

Moreover this is an orthogonal decomposition. The exact sequence (5.2) induces

$$\iota : A(F)/B(k) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^1 \mathrm{NS}(X) / \oplus_v \Lambda_v \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^1 V / (\oplus_v \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$$

whose image lies in the  $\sigma$ -invariants. This makes  $(A(F)/B(k), \mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)))$  into a NS structure.

**5.3. Fact (Tate).** For  $\alpha, \beta \in A(F)$ , if we extend them to divisors  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$  (with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients in general) with zero intersection with all vertical divisors, then we have

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{NT} = -\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle \log(q).$$

Since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is orthogonal to all vertical divisors,  $cl(\tilde{\alpha})$  has image  $(0, \iota(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^1 V$  under the decomposition (5.3). Therefore,  $\iota$  respects the negated modified NT pairing on  $A(F)/B(k)$  and the cup product pairing on  $\mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ . This finishes the proof of Prop 3.3.

Using the splitting (5.3), we may view  $\oplus_v \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  as a sub of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^1 V$  with quotient  $\mathrm{H}^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ , forming a new filtration of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^1 V$  compatible with the filtration (5.2) on  $\mathrm{Gr}^1 \mathrm{NS}(X)$ . This proves Prop 4.2.  $\square$

**5.4. Proof of Prop 4.5.** For simplicity let  $L = \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}}$ . This is the free abelian group with basis given by irreducible components of  $X_v \otimes_k \bar{k}$ . The  $\sigma$ -action is by permuting this basis. In particular, both  $L^\sigma$  and  $L_\sigma$  are free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. The NS structure  $(\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = (L^\sigma, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  fits into Example 2.6. According to the calculations there, we have

$$\Delta(\Lambda_v, \Lambda_{v, \bar{k}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = z(L^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(L_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}))$$

is the reciprocal of the discriminant of the pairing between  $L^\sigma$  and  $L_\sigma$ . The pairing on  $L$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^\vee \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

for some finite abelian group  $Q$  whose order is  $\mathrm{Disc}(L)$ . Taking  $\sigma$ -invariants gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^\sigma \rightarrow (L^\vee)^\sigma \rightarrow Q^\sigma \rightarrow L_\sigma$$

Since  $L_\sigma$  is free while  $Q^\sigma$  is finite, the last map is zero hence  $Q^\sigma = (L^\vee)^\sigma / L^\sigma = \mathrm{Hom}(L_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}) / L^\sigma$  whose order is then  $z(L^\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(L_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}))^{-1}$ . We reduce the problem to showing that

$$|Q^\sigma| = c_v = [A_v(k(v)) : A_v^\circ(k(v))] = \pi_0(A_v \otimes_k \bar{k})^\sigma,$$

where the last equality uses Lang's theorem to  $A_v^0$ . This follows from the isomorphism of  $\sigma$ -modules ([1, 9.5, Thm 4])

$$Q \cong \pi_0(A_v \otimes_k \bar{k}).$$

Idea of proof: we may replace  $C$  by its strict henselization at  $v$ , therefore consider  $X$  over a strict henselian DVR  $R$  with fraction field  $K$  and closed point  $s$ , and define  $L$  accordingly. In this case, the Néron model  $A$  over  $R$  may be obtained as the quotient  $P/E$ , where  $P \subset \mathrm{Pic}_{X/R}$  is the open subgroup scheme consisting of line bundles with total degree zero on fibers of  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$ , and  $E$  is the closure of the identity section of  $P_K$  ( $P/E$  is the maximal separated quotient of  $P$ ). Then  $\pi_0(P_s)$  can be identified with  $L^\vee$  (by evaluating degrees along each component of  $X_s$ ) and  $E(R) \cong L$  (line bundles trivial on the generic fiber are of the form  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  for divisors  $D$  supported on the special fiber), and the natural map  $E(R) \rightarrow P(R) \rightarrow \pi_0(P_s)$  coincides with the map  $L \rightarrow L^\vee$  given by the intersection pairing.  $\square$

5.5. **Proof of Prop 4.6.** Since  $\text{Pic}_{X/C}^\circ \cong A^\circ$ , we have  $\det(\mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \omega_{A/C}^{-1}$ . Applying Riemann-Roch to  $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  we get

$$\chi(C, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X) = -\deg \omega_{A/C} - n(g-1).$$

Therefore

$$\alpha(X) = \chi(C, \mathcal{O}_C) - \chi(C, \mathbf{R}^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X) - 1 + \dim \text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ = \deg \omega_{A/C} + n(g-1) + \dim \text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ - g.$$

Since  $B \cong \text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ / \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ , we get  $\dim \text{Pic}_{X/k}^\circ - g = \dim B$ , hence the formula (4.1).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bosch, S.; Lütkebohmert, W.; Raynaud, M. *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [2] Conrad, B. Chow's  $K/k$ -image and  $K/k$ -trace, and the Lang-Néron theorem. *Enseign. Math.* (2) 52 (2006), no. 1-2, 37-108.
- [3] Gordon, W. J. Linking the conjectures of Artin-Tate and Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer. *Compositio Math.* 38 (1979), no. 2, 163-199.
- [4] Grothendieck, A. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, 88-188, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [5] Raynaud, M. Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard. *Publ. Math. IHES.* 38 (1970), 27-76.
- [6] Tate, J. On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 9, Exp. No. 306, 415-440, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [7] Ulmer, D. Curves and Jacobians over function fields. In *Arithmetic Geometry over Global Function Fields*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona (2014), 283-337.