

## MATH 676. SOME VERTICAL FACTORIZATIONS

Let us recall the general result on “vertical factorization” as proved in class. We let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with fraction field  $F$ , and let  $F'/F$  be a finite separable extension such that the integral closure  $A'$  of  $A$  in  $F'$  is monogenic; that is,  $A' = A[a']$  for some  $a' \in A'$ . (After we study the theory of completions later on, we will see that the monogenicity hypothesis is *always* satisfied when  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring.) The minimal polynomial  $f$  of  $a'$  over  $F$  lies in  $A[X]$ , and the map of  $A$ -algebras  $A[X]/(f) \rightarrow A'$  uniquely determined by  $X \mapsto a'$  is an *isomorphism*. Indeed, it is visibly surjective, and to check injectivity we note that the source is a finite free  $A$ -module and so it is enough to verify injectivity after applying  $F \otimes_A (\cdot)$ . Such extension of scalars gives rise to the map  $F[X]/(f) \rightarrow F'$ , and this is indeed injective (even an isomorphism) because it is a map of fields (as  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$ ).

The result from class was that if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a (nonzero) prime ideal of  $A$  and the reduction  $\bar{f} \in \kappa(\mathfrak{p})[X]$  of  $f$  has factorization  $\bar{f} = \prod_{i=1}^g \bar{f}_i^{e_i}$  for pairwise distinct monic irreducibles  $\bar{f}_i$  then upon choosing monic lifts  $f_i \in A[X]$  of  $\bar{f}_i$  for all  $i$  then there is the prime factorization

$$\mathfrak{p}A' = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{e_g}$$

with  $\mathfrak{P}_i = (\mathfrak{p}, f_i(a'))$  and  $\kappa(\mathfrak{P}_i) \simeq \kappa(\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{f}_i)$  over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  for all  $i$ . In particular,  $[\kappa(\mathfrak{P}_i) : \kappa(\mathfrak{p})] = \deg \bar{f}_i$  for all  $i$ . In view of the fact that monogenicity always holds when  $A$  is local, and that the problem of factoring  $\mathfrak{p}A'$  may be worked out after first localizing throughout at the multiplicative set  $A - \mathfrak{p}$ , it follows that by first localizing to  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the problem of vertical factorization of a prime may always be carried out by the above procedure (provided that we can find an  $a'$  whose existence is guaranteed by general theory; in practice, this amounts to carrying out an instance of weak approximation).

We wish to work out some examples of this theorem with  $A = \mathbf{Z}$ . In class, the general case of factorization of  $p\mathbf{Z}$  in quadratic fields  $K$  was discussed; recall that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is always monogenic over  $\mathbf{Z}$  in such cases. In Homework 4, Exercise 1, you showed that when carrying out prime factorization in a Galois extension one has that  $e(\mathfrak{P}_i|\mathfrak{p})$  and  $f(\mathfrak{P}_i|\mathfrak{p})$  are independent of  $i$ . We will illustrate the case of a non-Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  as well as the general case of cyclotomic extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

### 1. A NON-GALOIS EXAMPLE

Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha^3 + 10\alpha + 1 = 0$ . The cubic polynomial  $f = X^3 + 10X + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$  because it does not have a rational root, and  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  is an order in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . A direct calculation shows  $\text{disc}(\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbf{Z}) = -4027$ , and this is prime. Hence,  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  is monogenic and so the preceding general technique is applicable and the only ramified prime is 4027.

The prime  $p = 2$  is unramified, and in fact

$$X^3 + 10X + 1 \equiv (X + 1)(X^2 + X + 1) \pmod{2}$$

is the irreducible factorization in  $\mathbf{F}_2[X]$ . We use the obvious lifts of these monic irreducibles to  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ , so  $2\mathcal{O}_K = (2, \alpha + 1)(2, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1) = \mathfrak{P}_1\mathfrak{P}_2$  with  $f(\mathfrak{P}_1|2\mathbf{Z}) = \deg(X + 1) = 1$  and  $f(\mathfrak{P}_2|2\mathbf{Z}) = \deg(X^2 + X + 1) = 2$ . Note that  $\sum e(\mathfrak{P}_i|2\mathbf{Z})f(\mathfrak{P}_i|2\mathbf{Z}) = 1 + 2 = 3 = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ , as it should be.

The prime  $p = 4027$  is ramified, and in fact one checks

$$X^3 + 10X + 1 \equiv (X + 2215)^2(X + 3624) \pmod{4027}$$

in  $\mathbf{F}_{4027}[X]$ . Using the obvious lifts of these monic linear factors to  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ , we get

$$4027\mathcal{O}_K = (4027, \alpha + 2215)^2(4027, \alpha + 3624) = \Omega_1^2\Omega_2,$$

so  $e(\Omega_1|4027\mathbf{Z}) = 2$  and  $e(\Omega_2|4027\mathbf{Z}) = 1$  with both  $\Omega_i$ 's having residue field degree 1 over  $\mathbf{F}_{4027}$ . Note that  $\sum e(\Omega_i|4027\mathbf{Z})f(\Omega_i|4027\mathbf{Z}) = 2 + 1 = 3 = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ , as it should be.

### 2. CYCLOTOMIC FIELDS

Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$  be a splitting field of  $X^n - 1$  for a positive integer  $n$ . Letting  $\Phi_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  denote the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial, this is the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_n$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n] \simeq \mathbf{Z}[X]/(\Phi_n)$  is an order in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We have proved earlier that  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n] = \mathcal{O}_K$  if  $n$  is a prime power, and we will soon prove that this equality

holds in general, with  $\text{disc}(K/\mathbf{Q})$  divisible by exactly the primes that divide  $n$  (so the primes of  $\mathbf{Q}$  that ramify in  $K$  are precisely the prime factors of  $n$ , though this latter fact can be proved by other methods once one knows a bit more about general ramification theory). For now we will grant the equality  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n] = \mathcal{O}_K$ , so in particular  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is monogenic over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We wish to work out how most primes of  $\mathbf{Z}$  factor in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The case of ramified primes is a little complicated, so we just work out one ramified case and then we work out the general unramified case.

Let us first consider the special case  $n = p^e$  with  $e \geq 1$  and we wish to study how  $p$  factors in  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}]$ . (Recall that we have already proved that this is the full ring of integers in  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^e})$ .) The general procedure for monogenic cases tells us that we should first factor  $\Phi_{p^e}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ . Since  $X^{p^e} - 1 = \Phi_{p^e} \cdot (X^{p^{e-1}} - 1)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ , by passing to  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$  we get  $(X - 1)^{p^e} = \Phi_{p^e}(X - 1)^{p^{e-1}}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ , and so  $\Phi_{p^e} \equiv (X - 1)^{p^{e-1}(p-1)} \pmod{p}$ . Thus,  $p\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}] = \mathfrak{P}^{p^{e-1}(p-1)}$  with  $\mathfrak{P} = (p, \zeta_{p^e} - 1)$ , and so  $e(\mathfrak{P}|p\mathbf{Z}) = p^{e-1}(p-1)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{P}|p\mathbf{Z}) = 1$ .

In fact, we can describe  $\mathfrak{P}$  more succinctly:  $\mathfrak{P} = (\zeta_{p^e} - 1)$ . That is, we claim that  $p$  already lies in the principal ideal  $(\zeta_{p^e} - 1)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}]$ . To see this most easily, we just have to show that the quotient  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}]/(\zeta_{p^e} - 1)$  is killed by  $p$ . In fact, via the isomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}] \simeq \mathbf{Z}[X]/(\Phi_{p^e})$  we have

$$\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{p^e}]/(\zeta_{p^e} - 1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[X]/(\Phi_{p^e}, X - 1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/(\Phi_{p^e}(1)) = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$$

because  $\Phi_{p^e}(1) = p$  (as  $\Phi_{p^e}(X) = \Phi_p(X^{p^{e-1}})$  with  $\Phi_p(T) = (T^p - 1)/(T - 1) = \sum_{0 \leq j < p} T^j$ ).

Now we turn to the general unramified case with  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . We take  $p$  to be a prime not dividing  $n$ , so

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_g$$

with  $\phi(n) = [K : \mathbf{Q}] = fg$  with  $f$  denoting the common residue field degree  $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_i) : \mathbf{F}_p]$  over  $\mathbf{F}_p = \kappa(p\mathbf{Z})$ . We need to determine  $f$  (and then we know  $g$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** *The order of  $p$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$  equals  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the factorization  $\Phi_n = h_1 \cdots h_g$  into monic irreducibles in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ , with no extra multiplicities. (Note that *a priori*  $\Phi_n \pmod{p}$  is separable over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  because  $\Phi_n$  divides  $X^n - 1$  and  $p \nmid n$ ). We have  $f = \deg(h_j)$  for any  $j$ , so we need to compute the common degree of the  $h_j$ 's. By construction, the finite field  $k = \mathbf{F}_p[X]/(h_j)$  with order  $p^f$  is a quotient of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$  and so  $k$  is generated over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  by a primitive  $n$ th root of unity  $\zeta$  (that is, an  $n$ th root of unity whose powers provide a splitting of  $X^n - 1$  into monic linear factors). By Galois theory for finite fields, since  $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p)$  is generated by the Frobenius element whose order is  $f$  we have  $\zeta^{p^i} = \zeta$  if and only if  $f|i$ . However, since  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity in characteristic  $p \nmid n$  we have  $\zeta^a = \zeta^b$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ . Hence,  $f|i$  if and only if  $p^i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . This says exactly that  $f$  is the order of  $p \in (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$ . ■

Of course, in practice if we were to want to actually compute the primes over  $p$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$  (for  $p \nmid n$ ) we would have to compute the  $h_j$ 's in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$  and lift each  $h_j$  to a monic  $H_j \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ . The ideals  $(p, H_j(\zeta_n))$  of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$  would then be the primes over  $p\mathbf{Z}$ .