

MATH 249B. HOMEWORK 4

In this homework, accept the existence of the Hilbert class field of a number field K . That is, assume that the maximal everywhere-unramified abelian extension H_K of K is a finite extension, with $\text{Cl}(K) \simeq \text{Gal}(H_K/K)$ by an isomorphism that carries $[\mathfrak{p}]$ to $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K . The aim of Exercises 1–3 is to use this to deduce some truly non-obvious facts about class numbers!

In Exercise 4(iii) you will find it useful to also accept the existence of the *narrow Hilbert class field*, which is a finite abelian extension H_K^+/K that is maximal for being abelian and unramified at all *finite* places, with $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{R}}}(K) \simeq \text{Gal}(H_K^+/K)$ carrying $[\mathfrak{p}]$ to $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the unique modulus of K supported at precisely the real places. (Of course, $H_K^+ = H_K$ if K is totally complex. In general the finite abelian group $\text{Gal}(H_K^+/H_K)$ is of exponent 2 since it is generated by decomposition groups at real places of H_K ; why?)

1. (i) Let F'/F be a finite extension of fields and E/F a finite Galois extension. Explain why the “composite field” EF' over F is well-defined up to F -isomorphism (hint: first prove that the ring $E \otimes_F F'$ is a finite product of fields, all of which are F -isomorphic to each other), and if E/F is merely finite separable but not Galois show by example with $F = \mathbf{Q}$ and with $F = \mathbf{F}_p(t)$ that the F -isomorphism class of a composite field EF' can admit more than one possibility.

(ii) Let K'/K be a finite extension of number fields. Prove that $H_K K'$ is an abelian everywhere unramified extension of K' and deduce that $H_K \subseteq H_{K'}$ over the given inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K'$.

(iii) Deduce via field-degree considerations that h_K divides $h_{K'}[K' : K]$.

(iv) Prove that if two number fields K and L are embedded in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ (so $K \cap L$ makes sense) and if $h_K = h_L = 1$ then $h_{K \cap L} = 1$.

2. Let K'/K be a finite extension of number fields.

(i) Show that the “norm map” $\text{Cl}(K') \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)$ carrying $[\mathfrak{a}]$ to $[\text{N}_{K'/K}(\mathfrak{a})]$ is a well-defined homomorphism, and by checking on classes of prime ideals prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cl}(K') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Gal}(H_{K'}/K') \\ \text{N}_{K'/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Cl}(K) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Gal}(H_K/K) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the horizontal maps are the natural isomorphisms (described by Frobenius elements on classes of primes) and the right vertical map is the natural “restriction” map induced by the inclusion $H_K \subseteq H_{K'}$ from Exercise 1(ii).

(ii) Using the compatibility just proved, identify the cokernel of the norm map on class groups with the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ for $L \subseteq K'$ the maximal subextension over K that is abelian and *everywhere* unramified over K . (Hint: show $L = H_K \cap K'$ inside of $H_{K'}$.) In particular, deduce that this norm map is surjective (and hence $h_K | h_{K'}$!) when $L = K$.

(iii) Prove that if K'/K is totally ramified at some place (perhaps archimedean in case $[K' : K] = 2!$) then $h_K | h_{K'}$. As a special case, prove that if F is a CM field and F^+ is its maximal totally real subfield (a notable example being $F = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and $F^+ = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1})$ with $m > 2$) then $h_{F^+} | h_F$. Can you prove such divisibility relations without class field theory?

3. The *Hilbert class field tower* of a number field K is the increasing tower $\{H^{(n)}(K)\}$ of iterated Hilbert class fields: $H^{(0)}(K) = K$ and $H^{(n+1)}(K) = H_{H^{(n)}(K)}$ for $n \geq 0$. In the 1960’s Golod and Shafarevich found the first examples (with imaginary quadratic K) for which this tower does not terminate.

(i) Prove that each $H^{(n)}(K)$ is Galois and everywhere unramified over K . Deduce that for $n > 0$ we have $H^{(n+1)}(K) = H^{(n)}(K)$ if and only if $H^{(n+1)}(K)$ is abelian over $H^{(n-1)}(K)$, in which case $H^{(m)}(K) = H^{(n)}(K)$ for all $m \geq n$.

(ii) Prove that the Hilbert class field tower terminates if and only if K is contained in a number field K' with $h_{K'} = 1$. In particular, if K embeds into a number field K' with class number 1 then deduce that K' can be chosen to be a *solvable* extension over K .

4. Let K be a number field and \mathfrak{m} a modulus for K . Let $h_{\mathfrak{m}}(K) = \#\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)$. In HW1, Exercise 3(*iv*) you showed that $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)$ maps onto $\text{Cl}(K)$ with finite kernel, so $h_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)$ is finite and in fact is a multiple of h_K .

(*i*) By using the adelic description of $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)$, analyze the structure of the kernel of the map $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(K) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)$ to deduce the following formula (which is painful to prove without the adelic viewpoint):

$$\frac{h_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)}{h_K} = \frac{\#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{f}}) \cdot 2^{\#\text{supp}(\mathfrak{m}_{\infty})}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : U(\mathfrak{m})]},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{f}}$ and \mathfrak{m}_{∞} are respectively the “finite” and “infinite” components of \mathfrak{m} and $U(\mathfrak{m})$ is the finite-index group of units $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ satisfying $u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ (including that $u > 0$ in K_v for all real $v \in \text{supp}(\mathfrak{m})$).

(*ii*) Assume that K has some real places (so $(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\text{tor}}^{\times} = \langle -1 \rangle$). If $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{m})$ has no archimedean places (i.e., it corresponds to a nonzero ideal of \mathcal{O}_K) and \mathfrak{m}_{∞} denotes the modulus obtained by adding in the r_1 real places then prove that

$$\frac{h_{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}}(K)}{h_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)} = \frac{2^{r_1}}{[U(\mathfrak{m}) : U(\mathfrak{m}_{\infty})]}.$$

More explicitly, construct an isomorphism of groups

$$\ker(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}}(K) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)) \simeq \text{coker}(U(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \langle -1 \rangle^{r_1}),$$

where the map in the cokernel construction is defined by forming signs of units.

(*iii*) Taking the special case $\mathfrak{m} = 1$, show that $h_{\infty}(K)/h_K$ divides 2^{r_1-1} with equality if and only if all elements of \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} are totally positive or totally negative and with $h_{\infty}(K) = h_K$ if and only if each collection of signs at the real places of K is attained by some element of \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} .

What does this say when K is a real quadratic field? In the special case that K is real quadratic with class number 1 (which conjecturally happens infinitely often) and has a totally positive fundamental unit ε (e.g., $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ but not $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$), use the existence of the Hilbert class field and narrow Hilbert class field to deduce that if 2 is unramified in K then $K(\sqrt{-\varepsilon})/K$ must be unramified at all finite places of K (including 2-adic ones) and is the narrow Hilbert class field of K , whereas $K(\sqrt{\varepsilon})/K$ and $K(\sqrt{-1})/K$ must be ramified at some 2-adic place of K . Can you predict which of $K(\sqrt{-\varepsilon})$ or $K(\sqrt{-1})$ is the narrow Hilbert class field of K (i.e., even unramified at 2-adic places) when 2 is ramified in K (e.g., $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{3})$)? It is very subtle how the ramification at 2-adic places of K is influenced by the archimedean hypothesis on ε !

5. Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $K = k((x))$ and $\mathcal{O} = k[[x]]$. Fix $f \in \mathcal{O}$, and let $f(0) \in k$ be the image of f in $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$. (That is, $f(0)$ is the constant term in the series expansion of f .) The following considerations arise in the treatment (by Artin–Tate) of the p -part of global class field theory in characteristic $p > 0$, with k a finite field.

(*i*) Show that if $f = h^p - h$ for some $h \in K$ then necessarily $h \in \mathcal{O}$.

(*ii*) Show in two ways that $f = h^p - h$ for some $h \in \mathcal{O}$ if and only if $f(0) = c^p - c$ for some $c \in k$: use Hensel’s Lemma, or reduce to the case $f(0) = 0$ (i.e., $f \in \mathfrak{m}$) and then consider $h = -\sum_{j \geq 0} f^{p^j}$. Are these two methods related?