

1. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT

Fix an integer  $N \geq 1$  and a prime  $p \nmid N$ . We wish to prove that  $Y_1(N, p)$  is normal and  $\mathbf{Z}[1/N]$ -flat (hence of pure relative dimension 1), and even regular when  $N \geq 4$ . In what follows we will assume familiarity with basic ideas and results concerning  $p$ -divisible groups. In Exercise 4 of HW9,  $p$ -divisible groups were used to reduce the problem to the following regularity assertion (in which  $N$  drops out of the picture):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a connected  $p$ -divisible group of dimension 1 and height 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $R \simeq W(k)[[t]]$  be the universal deformation ring of  $\Gamma_0$ , and  $\Gamma$  over  $R$  be the universal deformation. Let  $R \rightarrow R'$  be the 2-dimensional finite local algebra classifying order- $p$  finite flat subgroup schemes of  $\Gamma[p]$ . Then  $R'$  is regular.*

We assume the reader has worked through Exercise 4 of HW9 to see why  $R'$  is identified with a completed strictly henselized local ring on  $Y_1(N, p)$  at a supersingular geometric closed point  $y$ , and why it is 2-dimensional. To prove the regularity, following Katz–Mazur we will produce a pair of elements that generate the maximal ideal. The reader is referred to Chapters 5 and 6 of Katz–Mazur for a very broad discussion of the topics below. We focus our attention narrowly on what is needed to prove the Theorem above.

As a preliminary step, we record the following crucial fact (which is true in much greater generality: see Theorem 6.8.1 in Katz–Mazur):

**Proposition 1.2.** *The natural map  $R \rightarrow R'$  is flat.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $R$  is the universal deformation ring for a supersingular elliptic  $E_0$ . In this respect,  $R'$  is the universal deformation ring for the pair  $(E_0, G_0)$  where  $G_0 = \ker F_{E_0/k}$  is the unique infinitesimal  $k$ -subgroup scheme of order  $p$ . (Note that the universal formal deformations are algebraizable formal schemes, due to the canonical projectivity of elliptic curves, so we may and do treat the universal structure over  $R$  as an actual elliptic curve over  $R$ , not just a formal elliptic curve.) Using the theory of isogenies for elliptic curves over schemes as developed in §1 of the handout “Isogenies and level structures”, the specification of an order- $p$  finite locally free subgroup scheme  $G$  in a deformation  $E$  of  $E_0$  is the same as specifying a deformation  $E'$  of  $E_0^{(p)} = E_0/G_0$  along with a degree- $p$  isogeny  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  lifting  $F_{E_0/k}$ . In other words, we can interpret  $R'$  as the universal deformation ring for triples  $(E, E', f)$  consisting of an infinitesimal deformation  $E$  of  $E_0$ ,  $E'$  of  $E_0^{(p)}$ , and an isogeny  $f$  lifting  $F_{E_0/k}$ . This new interpretation is useful because the rigidity lemma ensures that when  $E$  and  $E'$  are given, the isogeny  $f$  lifting  $f_0 = F_{E_0/k}$  is unique if it exists.

That is, the deformation functor for such triples is a subfunctor of the deformation functor for pairs  $(E, E')$ , which in turn is pro-represented by the completed tensor product  $W(k)[[t, t']] = W(k)[[t]] \widehat{\otimes}_{W(k)} W(k)[[t']]$ . But a map between complete local noetherian rings with a common residue field is surjective when the induced map on artinian points is always injective (a “complete local” version of the fact that a proper monomorphism of schemes is a closed immersion), so  $R'$  is a quotient of  $W(k)[[t, t']]$ . Writing  $R' = W(k)[[t, t']]/J$ , we have that  $J$  is a nonzero proper ideal since  $\dim R' = 2$ . We claim that  $J$  is principal. Once this is proved, it follows that  $R'$  is Cohen-Macalay, yet  $W(k)[[t]] \rightarrow R'$  is module-finite, hence injective (due to equality of dimensions), so by the regularity of  $W(k)[[t]]$  we can apply Miracle Flatness Theorem (23.1 in Matsumura’s “Commutative Ring Theory”) to conclude!

So it remains to prove that  $J$  is principal. By successive approximation (using  $J$ -adic completeness and separatedness), an element  $r' \in J$  is a generator if it generates  $J/J^2$ . Let  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}')$  be the universal pair of deformations over  $W(k)[[t, t']]$ , so the functor on complete local noetherian  $W(k)[[t, t']]$ -algebras  $A$  (with residue field  $k$ ) representing the condition that  $F_{E_0/k}$  lifts to  $A$  is represented by the quotient  $W(k)[[t, t']]/J$ . Hence, for  $A = W(k)[[t, t']]/J^2$  and the elliptic curves  $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{E}_A$  and  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathbf{E}'_A$  and the “universal” isogeny  $\phi_0 : \mathcal{E}_{A/J} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_{A/J}$  modulo the square-zero ideal  $I = J/J^2 \subseteq A$ , the condition on a complete local noetherian  $A$ -algebra  $A'$  (with residue field  $k$ ) that  $(\phi_0)_{A'/I}$  lifts to an isogeny over  $A'$  is exactly the condition that  $A \rightarrow A'$  kills  $J$ . But by using finer deformation theory arguments, in Proposition 6.8.6 of Katz–Mazur (whose proof does not involve earlier material from that book) it is shown that the obstruction to such a lifting is

always given by annihilation of a single element of the base ring  $A$ . This proves that  $I$  is principal, so  $J/J^2$  is monogenic, and so we are done.  $\blacksquare$

## 2. AN AUXILIARY MODULI PROBLEM

To get a handle on the moduli scheme for order- $p$  finite flat subgroup schemes of  $\Gamma[p]$ , we need to introduce a finer moduli problem whose associated deformation ring for  $\Gamma_0$  will be finite flat over  $R'$ . By Theorem 23.7 in Matsumura's "Commutative Ring Theory", a noetherian local ring is regular if it admits a flat local extension that is regular. Thus, once we construct a finer moduli problem whose deformation ring is finite flat over  $R'$ , it will suffice to prove regularity for those deformation rings.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $C \rightarrow S$  be a smooth separated commutative group scheme with 1-dimensional fibers. For a closed  $S$ -subgroup scheme  $G$  in  $C$  such that  $G \rightarrow S$  is finite locally free of constant rank  $n$ , a *generator* of  $G$  is an element  $g \in G(S)$  such that the invertible ideal sheaves  $\mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  of the points  $[a](g) \in G(S)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  satisfy the condition that the invertible ideal sheaf  $\prod \mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{S}_G \subset \mathcal{O}_C$ .

We will develop some properties of this notion only when  $n$  is a prime. The case of more general  $n$  requires much more work (essentially because groups of prime order are cyclic, whereas groups of other orders can fail to be cyclic); it is discussed thoroughly in Katz–Mazur.

Note that the formation of the invertible ideals  $\mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  commutes with base change on  $S$  (as we saw in class quite generally for sections of smooth separated maps with fibers of pure dimension 1), as does the formation of  $\mathcal{S}_G$  (due to the *flatness* of  $\mathcal{O}_G$  over  $S$ , which ensures that the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow 0$  on  $C$  remains short exact after any base change on  $S$ ). Thus, the property of being a "generator" of  $G$  commutes with any base change on  $S$ .

In the case of  $\mathbf{Z}[1/n]$ -schemes, there are no surprises:

*Example 2.2.* Suppose  $S$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[1/n]$ -scheme, so  $G \rightarrow S$  is finite étale. Then we claim that  $g$  is a generator of  $G$  in the sense defined above if and only if  $g(\bar{s})$  generates  $G(\bar{s})$  for all geometric points  $\bar{s}$  of  $S$ . To prove this, first suppose that  $g$  is a generator of  $G$ . By preservation of this condition under base change to geometric fibers, we reduce to the case when  $S = \text{Spec } k$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic not dividing  $n$ . Then the finite étale  $G$  consists of  $n$  distinct  $k$ -points (and is reduced). But  $\prod \mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  is the ideal sheaf of the closed subscheme of  $C$  supported at the multiples of  $g$ , with multiplicities equal to  $n/\text{order}(g)$ . But reducedness of  $\mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_C / \prod \mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  then forces  $g$  to have order  $n$ , and hence it generates  $G(k)$  since the size of  $G(k)$  is  $n$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $g(\bar{s})$  generates  $G(\bar{s})$  for all  $\bar{s}$ . It follows that  $g(\bar{s})$  has order exactly  $n$ , so the sections  $[a](g) \in G(S)$  are pairwise disjoint. Hence, the ideal sheaf  $\prod \mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$  is the ideal sheaf of the disjoint union of the  $[a](g)$  as a closed subscheme of  $C$ . But each of these lies in  $G$ , so their disjoint union does as well. That disjoint union is a rank- $n$  finite étale closed subscheme of  $G$ , which is itself finite étale of rank  $n$  over  $S$ , so for rank reasons the  $[a](g)$ 's exhaust  $G$ . That is,  $G$  inside of  $C$  is the disjoint union of the  $[a](g)$ 's, whence its ideal sheaf in  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is the product of the  $\mathcal{S}_{[a](g)}$ 's.

The next example is more interesting.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $G = \ker F_{E/k}$  be the kernel of the Frobenius isogeny  $E \rightarrow E^{(p)}$ . This is an order- $p$  infinitesimal subgroup scheme of  $E$ , and it is the only closed subgroup scheme of  $E$  supported at the origin and of degree  $p$  over  $k$ . (Indeed, for any smooth curve over a field, at a rational point there is a unique closed subscheme of any desired finite degree supported there. This expresses the fact that a discrete valuation ring has a unique quotient of each positive length.) But there is another way to make such a closed subscheme: the  $p$ th power of the invertible ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{S}_e$  of the identity section. This says exactly that  $e$  generates  $G$ . Likewise,  $e$  also generates  $E[p]$  when  $E$  is supersingular (as then  $E[p]$  is infinitesimal of order  $p^2$ )!

The case of prime order has the following special feature:

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $(E, G)$  over  $R'$  denote the universal deformation of  $(E_0, \ker F_{E_0/k})$ . There is a finite faithfully flat local extension of  $R'$  that is universal for the property of  $G$  acquiring a generator after base change.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.2, note that  $R'$  is  $W(k)$ -flat, hence  $\mathbf{Z}$ -flat. Our problem makes sense more generally for any pair  $(E, G)$  over any ring  $A$ , and we will consider it in that generality. (The locality property in the case of initial interest is automatic, since  $\ker F_{E_0/k}$  in  $E_0$  has a unique generator, namely 0.) Let  $F$  be the functor on  $A$ -algebras  $A'$  that assigns the set of generators of  $G_{A'}$  in  $E_{A'}$ . We will prove that this is represented by a finite  $A$ -scheme (to be denoted  $G^\times$ ; e.g., for  $G = \mu_p = \text{Spec } A[t]/(t^p - 1)$  the scheme  $G^\times$  turns out to be the scheme  $\text{Spec } A[t]/(\Phi_p)$  of “primitive  $p$ th roots of unity, proved in 1.12.9 in Katz–Mazur). Then when  $A$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -flat (as in the case of interest) we will prove that  $G^\times \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is a flat surjection, so this will complete the proof.

Recall that  $\mathcal{I}_G$  is an invertible ideal sheaf in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and its formation commutes with any base change on  $A$  (due to the  $A$ -flatness of  $G$ ), so any power  $\mathcal{I}_G^n$  defines a closed  $A$ -subscheme  $G^{(n)} \subset E$  that is  $A$ -finite (due to being quasi-finite and proper) and also  $A$ -flat (due to the local flatness criterion from Matsumura, also used in the proof of the fibral flatness criterion from HW7). Thus, for any  $g \in G(A')$  the “generator” condition is a problem of equality for two  $A'$ -points of the projective  $A$ -scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{G^{(p)}/A}^p$  from Exercise 3 in HW8. As such, it follows that the functor  $F$  on  $A$ -algebras is represented by a projective  $A$ -scheme  $G^\times$ . But  $F$  is also a subfunctor of  $G$  since  $F(A')$  is the subset of elements in  $G(A') = G_{A'}(A')$  that are generators of  $G_{A'}$  in  $E_{A'}$ , so  $G^\times$  represents a subfunctor of  $G$ . The  $A$ -scheme  $G^\times$  is proper, and any proper monomorphism is a closed immersion (since proper quasi-finite maps are finite by Zariski’s Main Theorem, and finite monomorphisms are closed immersions due to Nakayama’s Lemma). Thus,  $G^\times$  is a finite  $A$ -scheme.

**Lemma 2.5.** *All geometric fibers of  $G^\times \rightarrow A$  have rank at most  $p - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Passing to geometric points, we may assume that  $A = k$  is an algebraically closed field. Thus,  $G$  is either the constant group  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  or  $\text{char}(k) = p$  and  $G$  is  $\mu_p$  or  $\alpha_p$ . If  $G = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  then its closed subscheme  $G^\times$  is readily seen to be  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times = G - \{0\}$ . Now suppose  $\text{char}(k) = p$  and  $G = \mu_p$  or  $\alpha_p$  (so the elliptic curve  $E$  is respectively ordinary or supersingular). We have to prove that the closed subscheme  $G^\times$  in  $G$  is not equal to  $G$ . In other words, we just have to construct some  $k$ -algebra  $B$  and  $g \in G(B)$  that is not a generator of  $G_B$  in  $E_B$ . Consider any  $k$ -finite  $B$  and  $g \in G(B)$  corresponding to some  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_B$ , so it generates  $G_B$  inside of the formal group precisely when  $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (X - [i](z))$  is not a unit multiple of  $X^p$  in  $B[[X]]$ . The term for  $i = 0$  is  $X$ , and the others are  $X - zu_i$  for  $u_i \in B$  lifting  $i \in k^\times$ , so  $u_i \in B^\times$ . Thus, the product has linear term that is a  $B^\times$ -multiple of  $z^{p-1}$ , so provided that  $z^{p-1} \neq 0$  in  $B$  we are done. Take  $B = k[t]/(t^p)$  and  $z = t$ . ■

Now assume that  $A$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -flat. The restriction of  $G^\times \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  over  $\text{Spec } A[1/p]$  is étale surjective. Indeed, over  $A[1/p]$  the group  $G$  becomes finite étale of order  $p$ , and its geometric fibers are all  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  there. Hence, over some étale cover of  $\text{Spec } A[1/p]$  the pullback of  $G$  becomes the constant group  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  (HW8, Exercise 4(iii)), for which it is clear by inspection that the scheme  $G^\times$  is the constant scheme associated to  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$  (check!).

The fiber ranks of  $G^\times \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  over geometric points  $s$  of  $\text{Spec } A$  are all at most  $p - 1$  (Lemma 2.5), yet over  $\text{Spec } A[1/p]$  the map is finite flat of degree  $p - 1$ . By a special case of Mumford’s theorem on flattening stratifications (see 6.4.3 in Katz–Mazur for an exposition), a finite map between noetherian schemes with all fiber ranks at most some integer  $n$  universally becomes finite locally free of rank  $n$  over some closed subscheme of the base. Thus, there is a closed subscheme  $Z$  of  $\text{Spec } A$  that is universal for the property of  $G^\times \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  becoming finite locally free of rank  $p - 1$  after base change. But over  $\text{Spec } A[1/p]$  this map is already finite locally free of rank  $p - 1$ , so  $Z[1/p] = \text{Spec } A[1/p]$ . In other words, the ideal in  $A$  that cuts out  $Z$  becomes 0 in  $A[1/p]$ . But  $A \rightarrow A[1/p]$  is *injective*, so the ideal of  $Z$  vanishes. That is,  $Z = \text{Spec } A$ , so  $G^\times \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is finite locally free of rank  $p - 1$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4. ■

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

By Proposition 2.4, to prove the regularity of the universal deformation ring  $R'$  for  $(E_0, \ker F_{E_0/k})$ , it suffices to instead prove regularity for its finite faithfully flat cover given by the deformation ring  $R''$  classifying pairs  $(E, g \in E[p])$  consisting of a deformation  $E$  of  $E_0$  and a section  $g$  of  $E[p]$  such that  $g$  generates an order- $p$  finite flat closed subgroup scheme of  $E[p]$  (necessarily lifting  $\ker F_{E_0/k}$ ). This latter condition means that the invertible ideal sheaf  $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{I}_{[i](g)}$  on  $E$ , whose zero scheme is a finite flat subscheme of  $E$  containing 0, is a subgroup scheme. (See the paragraph preceding Lemma 2.5 for a discussion of why this ideal sheaf has zero scheme that is finite flat over the base.)

Over  $R''$  there is a universal deformation  $(E'', P \in E''[p](R''))$ . Under the Serre–Tate equivalence between  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R''$  and commutative formal groups over  $R''$  on which  $[p]$  is an isogeny, consider the formal group corresponding to the connected  $p$ -divisible group  $E''[p^\infty]$ . (This is the same as the formal group of  $E''$ .) Upon choosing a formal coordinate  $X$ , it makes sense to evaluate this on the universal point  $P$  to get an element  $X(P) \in \mathfrak{m}_{R''}$ . The natural local composite map  $W(k)[[t]] = R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow R''$  is finite flat, and provides another element  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_{R''}$ . Since  $\dim R'' = 2$ , its regularity (and hence that of  $R'$ ) will follow from:

**Proposition 3.1.** *The maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{R''}$  is generated by  $X(P)$  and  $t$ .*

*Proof.* We first claim that  $p$  vanishes in the quotient  $R''/(X(P))$ . Over this ring, the *origin* generates a finite flat subgroup scheme. That is, the  $p$ th infinitesimal neighborhood of the identity section (which is finite flat over the base) is a subgroup scheme of the elliptic curve, or equivalently of its formal group. So to prove  $p = 0$  in this ring, it suffices to prove more generally over any ring  $A$  that if  $X^p = 0$  is a formal subgroup scheme of a formal group law  $A[[X]]$  over  $A$  then necessarily  $p = 0$  in  $A$ . The group law  $m(X, Y) \in A[[X, Y]]$  must have the form  $m(X, Y) = X + Y + \dots$  where the omitted terms are in degrees  $\geq 2$ . The condition that  $X^p = 0$  is a formal subgroup scheme implies that  $m(X, Y)^p \subseteq (X^p, Y^p)$ . (This encodes stability under composition, omitting stability under inversion.) But  $m(X, Y)^p = (X + Y)^p + \dots$  where the omitted terms are in degrees  $\geq p + 1$ . Hence, membership in  $(X^p, Y^p)$  implies that  $(X + Y)^p - X^p - Y^p \in (X^p, Y^p)$  over  $A$ . The coefficient of  $X^{p-1}Y$  is  $p$ , so we get  $p = 0$  in  $A$  as desired.

Since  $R''/(X(P))$  is a  $k$ -algebra, and  $R/(p) = k[[t]]$  classifies deformations of  $E_0$ , the quotient  $R''/(X(P), t)$  classifies *trivial* deformations of the type classified by  $R''$ . Hence, this quotient must be  $k$  (i.e., it represents the 1-point functor). ■