

1. MOTIVATION

Let  $K$  be a number field. The class group  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  of  $K$  (or rather, of the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ) is a finite group, and Minkowski's Lemma gives a concrete set of generators: the classes  $[\mathfrak{p}]$  of the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  lying over rational primes  $p$  not exceeding the "Minkowski constant"  $\lambda_K$ . In general there are a lot of relations among such prime ideals, and so to compute the class group one needs a method to find the relations. There is no simple procedure for doing so (as best I am aware), and especially to prove that certain prime ideals are *not* principal can require some real technique, but one useful way to at least cut down the possibilities is to use norms to find some nontrivial relations among various prime ideals.

The basic idea is this: if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is nonzero then  $n = N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  is a nonzero integer, and from the prime factorization of  $n$  we can deduce some information about the prime ideal factorization of  $x\mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $n$  has only "small" prime factors and these appear with only "small" multiplicities in the prime factorization of  $n$  then we can often restrict the possibilities for the prime factorization  $x\mathcal{O}_K = \prod \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i}$ , and this in turn becomes the relation  $\prod [\mathfrak{p}_i]^{m_i} = 1$  in the class group  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ . If we succeed in finding enough  $x$  with "small" norm then we can get many relations. There are a few other useful tricks to make this strategy work, as we illustrate below, and it is most effective when trying to prove that the class group is trivial. If we eventually get the class group down to a very small size but can't find some more relations (and so suspect we may have found the class group but it is nontrivial) then there are other methods (called "class number formulas") which can sometimes (e.g., in the quadratic case) tell us the exact size of the class group and thereby confirm that there are no more relations to be found.

2. WORKED EXAMPLE

Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha$  a root of the 3-Eisenstein polynomial  $f(X) = X^3 - 9X - 6 \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ . By calculus we check that  $f$  has three real roots, so  $K$  is totally real. That is,  $r_2 = 0$ . This simplifies the computation of the Minkowski constant  $\lambda_K$ , as the term involving  $\pi$  is trivial. Is the order  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  equal to the full ring of integers? Since  $f$  is 3-Eisenstein, we know that the index  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  is not divisible by 3. Also, one computes that  $\text{disc}(\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbf{Z}) = 2^3 \cdot 3^5$ , so the only prime that can divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  is 2. In other words,  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]] = 2^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . But then its square  $4^m$  divides  $\text{disc}(\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbf{Z})$ , so  $m \leq 1$ . In other words, either  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] = \mathcal{O}_K$  or it is an order of index 2 inside of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus,  $\text{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbf{Z})$  is equal to either  $2^3 \cdot 3^5$  or  $2 \cdot 3^5$ . In particular, 2 and 3 are precisely the ramified primes of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (or rather, of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ).

From the upper bound on the discriminant we get  $\lambda_K = (2/9)\sqrt{|\text{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbf{Z})|} \leq 4\sqrt{6} < 10$ , so by Minkowski's Lemma we deduce that the class group of  $K$  is generated by the classes  $[\mathfrak{p}]$  of the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  lying over the rational primes  $p < 10$ , which is to say  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ . We now seek to do two things: deduce information about the prime ideal factorization of  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  for such small  $p$ , and to find nonzero elements  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  (in fact, in  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ ) such that  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(x) \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$  has only such small prime factors (and with low multiplicity, preferably 1).

To get started, we see from the constant term of the cubic  $f$  that  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha) = 6$ , so  $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3$  for some prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_3$  lying over 2 and 3 respectively. We will now find more such principal ideal relations by calculating  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha + r)$  for some small nonzero  $r \in \mathbf{Z}$  (i.e., this is the negative  $-f(-r)$  of the constant term of  $f(X - r)$ ) and hope for the best (with some luck and cleverness). A basic strategy is to hope that a prime  $q$  admits a prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  over it with residue field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , for then  $\alpha + r$  will vanish mod  $\mathfrak{q}$  for some  $0 \leq r < q$ , which is to say that  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha + r)$  would be divisible by  $q$  for some such  $r$ . For example, for  $q = 5$  we would try to compute  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha + r)$  for  $-2 \leq r \leq 2$  and hope that one of these is divisible by 5. If we get 5-divisibility more than once, then the corresponding prime ideal factors over 5 cannot be the same (as they are dividing numbers  $\alpha + r$  and  $\alpha + s$  whose difference  $r - s$  is a unit mod 5). On the other hand, if no such 5-divisibility occurs for  $0 \leq r < 5$  then  $\mathbf{F}_5$  cannot arise as a residue field and so *all* primes over 5 must have residue field degree  $> 1$ , which can also be useful information when trying to figure out how many primes there are over 5.

To carry out this strategy, we first compute  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha-1) = 14$  and  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha+1) = 2$ . Hence,  $(\alpha+1) = \mathfrak{p}'_2$  is a prime over 2, and it is distinct from the prime  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  over 2 that divides  $(\alpha)$  since  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\alpha+1)$  cannot share a common prime factor (as together they generate the unit ideal). Since 2 is ramified in  $K$  and  $[K : \mathbf{Q}] = 3$ , we must have either  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}_2^2 \mathfrak{p}'_2$  or  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}_2 (\mathfrak{p}'_2)^2$ . But which one happens? Later techniques using local fields give a systematic way to figure this out (by thinking in terms of the completions  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$  and  $K_{\mathfrak{p}'_2}$  as extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ ), but for now we use a trick to show that it is the second option that occurs. The element  $\alpha-1$  has minimal polynomial  $f(X+1) = X^3 + 3X^2 - 6X - 14 = X^3 + 3X^2 - 2(3X - 7)$ , and so by working modulo  $(\alpha-1)^2$  the vanishing of  $f(X+1)$  at  $X = \alpha-1$  gives that  $2(3(\alpha-1) - 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\mathfrak{p}'_2)^2}$ . But  $3(\alpha-1) - 7$  is a unit modulo  $\mathfrak{p}'_2$ , so  $2 \equiv 0 \pmod{(\mathfrak{p}'_2)^2}$ . This shows that  $(\mathfrak{p}'_2)^2$  appears in the prime factorization of  $(2)$ , so indeed  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}_2 (\mathfrak{p}'_2)^2$ . But  $\mathfrak{p}'_2$  is principal (it equals  $(\alpha+1)$ ), so therefore the prime factorization of  $(2)$  shows that  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  is principal. We also have that  $\mathfrak{p}_2 \mathfrak{p}_3 = (\alpha)$  is principal, so  $\mathfrak{p}_3$  is principal as well. This is the only prime ideal over 3. Indeed, although a rational prime that is ramified in a cubic field (such as 3 in  $K$ ) may have two prime ideal factors over it in general (but not more than two), in our case there really is just one such prime ideal: since  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  divides 2 we have the equality  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]_{(3)} = (\mathcal{O}_K)_{(3)}$  after localizing at  $3\mathbf{Z}$  and so passing to the quotient modulo  $3\mathbf{Z}$  gives  $\mathcal{O}_K/(3) = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/(3) \simeq \mathbf{F}_3[X]/(f) = \mathbf{F}_3[X]/(X^3)$  since  $f$  is 3-Eisenstein. This ring has just one maximal ideal, so  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has only one prime ideal over 3 (and we have seen that it is principal).

Continuing with the norm calculations, we find  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha+2) = -f(-2) = -4$  and  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha-2) = -f(2) = 16$ . Since  $\alpha+r$  for  $-2 \leq r \leq 2$  never has a prime factor over 5, we conclude that *all* primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  over 5 satisfy  $f(\mathfrak{p}|5) \geq 2$ . But  $3 = \sum e_i f_i$ , so there is no room for two such primes, so in fact there is a single such  $\mathfrak{p}_5$ . That is,  $(5) = \mathfrak{p}_5^e$  for some  $e \geq 1$ , and in fact  $e = 1$  since 5 is unramified (so the residue field is  $\mathbf{F}_{5^3}$ ). In particular,  $\mathfrak{p}_5 = (5)$  is principal.

It remains to consider primes over 7. Recall that we have found one such prime  $\mathfrak{p}_7$  in the factorization of  $(\alpha-1)$ . We now compute some more norms:  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha+3) = 6$  and  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha-3) = 6$ . Thus, for  $-3 \leq r \leq 3$ ,  $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha+r)$  is divisible by 7 only for  $r = -1$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}_7$  is the *only* prime over 7 with residue field  $\mathbf{F}_7$  (i.e., all other primes have residue field degree  $\geq 2$  over  $\mathbf{F}_7$ ). Since 7 is unramified, we conclude that  $(7) = \mathfrak{p}_7 \mathfrak{p}'_7$  with  $f(\mathfrak{p}'_7|7) = 2$ . But  $(\alpha-1) = \mathfrak{p}_2 \mathfrak{p}_7$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  shown to be principal, so  $\mathfrak{p}_7$  is also principal. Then the equality  $(7) = \mathfrak{p}_7 \mathfrak{p}'_7$  forces  $\mathfrak{p}'_7$  to be principal as well.

We have shown that for all primes  $p < 10$ , every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  over  $p$  in  $K$  is principal, so  $K$  has trivial class group.