

MATH 216A. HOMEWORK 8

“You can wave your hands as much as you want, but it will never make you fly.” M. Kisin

**Exercise A.** A ring map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is faithfully flat if and only if it is flat and  $\text{Spec}(\varphi)$  is surjective (see [Mat, Thm. 7.3] with  $M = B$ ), so a map of schemes  $X \rightarrow S$  is called *faithfully flat* if it is flat and surjective.

(i) If  $X \rightarrow S$  is flat (resp. faithfully flat), show so is  $X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$  for any  $S$ -scheme  $S'$ .

(ii) If  $S' \rightarrow S$  is faithfully flat and quasi-compact (fpqc: *fidèlement plat et quasi-compact*), prove  $S$  acquires the quotient topology from  $S'$ . (Hint: Use that a finite disjoint union of affines is affine to reduce to the affine case, and check the quotient topology using closed sets rather than open sets. If  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is faithfully flat with reduced  $A$  and a dense  $\Sigma \subset \text{Spec}(A)$  has closed preimage  $\text{Spec}(B/I)$  with radical  $I$ , show  $A \rightarrow B/I$  is injective and study the image of  $\text{pr}_1 : \text{Spec}(B \otimes_A (B/I)) = \text{Spec}(B) \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{Spec}(B/I) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ .)

(iii) If  $A \rightarrow B$  is flat and  $X$  is a quasi-compact quasi-separated  $A$ -scheme, for  $X_B := X \times_A B$  show the natural map  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Gamma(X_B, \mathcal{O}_{X_B})$  is an isomorphism. (Hint: Express global sections as a certain kernel involving *finite* direct products.)

(iv) If  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat and  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra such that  $A' \otimes_A B$  is finitely generated over  $A'$  prove  $B$  is finitely generated over  $A$ . (Hint: Write  $A'$ -algebra generators as finite sums of elementary tensors.)

(v) (fpqc descent for properties of morphisms) Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism, and let  $f' : X' \rightarrow S'$  be its base change by an fpqc map. Prove  $f$  has property **P** if  $f'$  does, where **P** is: quasi-compact, quasi-separated, open immersion, locally of finite type (use (iv)), finite type, quasi-finite, universally injective, surjective, flat, affine (use quasi-separatedness and (iii)), closed immersion, separated, finite, integral (use affineness and apply “finite” with finite type subalgebras), isomorphism. (Hint: reduce to  $S$  and  $S'$  both affine.)

**Remark.** A deeper part of descent theory is to give criteria on an fpqc map  $S' \rightarrow S$  and  $S'$ -scheme  $X'$  that specify an  $S$ -scheme  $X$  (the “descent”) and an  $S'$ -isomorphism  $\theta : X \times_S S' \simeq X'$  (and similarly for “descending”  $S'$ -morphisms to  $S$ -morphisms). It is beyond the level of this course, but the technique involves  $S' \times_S S'$  (as a “generalized overlap for gluing”) and this is often *not* noetherian even when  $S$  and  $S'$  are noetherian but  $S' \rightarrow S$  is not of finite type (or a localization thereof). A typical such case is  $S = \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $S' = \text{Spec}(\hat{A})$  for a noetherian local ring  $A$  and completion  $\hat{A}$ , since  $\hat{A} \otimes_A \hat{A}$  is rarely noetherian. This is one of the reasons for trying to avoid noetherian hypotheses in the foundations.

**Exercise B.** Let  $C$  be a category with a final object  $*$  and direct products for all pairs of objects. (In the category of  $S$ -schemes,  $S$  is a final object and fiber products over  $S$  are direct products in this category.) A *group in  $C$*  is a 4-tuple  $(G, m, e, i)$  consisting of an object  $G$  and morphisms  $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$  (“multiplication”),  $e : * \rightarrow G$  (“identity”), and  $i : G \rightarrow G$  (“inversion”) such that (with  $\pi : G \rightarrow *$  the unique map) the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \times m} & G \times G & & G & \xrightarrow{(e\circ\pi, \text{id}_G)} & G \times G & & G & \xrightarrow{(i, \text{id}_G)} & G \times G \\
 m \times \text{id}_G \downarrow & & \downarrow m & & (\text{id}_G, e\circ\pi) \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow m & & (\text{id}_G, i) \downarrow & \searrow e\circ\pi & \downarrow m \\
 G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G & & G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G & & G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G
 \end{array}$$

In the category of sets,  $*$  is the 1-point set and so these axioms recover the usual concept.

(i) For an object  $G$ , show that specifying a group structure on it in  $C$  is the same as giving the set  $G(T) := \text{Hom}(T, G)$  a group structure functorially in  $T$ .

(ii) A *homomorphism* is a map  $f : G \rightarrow H$  compatible with  $m$ 's,  $e$ 's, and  $i$ 's in the evident diagram sense; by Yoneda's Lemma, it is equivalent that for all  $T$  the map  $G(T) \rightarrow H(T)$  is a group homomorphism. Show  $e$  and  $i$  are uniquely determined by  $m$ , and that a map  $f : G \rightarrow H$  compatible with  $m_G$  and  $m_H$  (i.e.,  $m_H \circ (f \times f) = f \circ m_G$ ) must respect  $e$ 's and  $i$ 's. (In private, to appreciate Yoneda's Lemma, try to do this exercise without it!)

(iii) For a ring  $A$ , consider the functor  $T \rightsquigarrow \text{GL}_n(\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T))$  on  $A$ -schemes. Prove this is represented by  $\text{GL}_{n,A} = \text{Spec}(A[x_{ij}]_{\det})$  (with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) and write down the  $A$ -algebra maps corresponding to  $m$ ,  $e$ ,  $i$  (justified via Yoneda's Lemma).

(iv) Assuming  $C$  admits fiber products, the *kernel* of  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is  $\ker f := G \times_{H,e} *$ . Show its functor of points is  $T \rightsquigarrow \ker(G(T) \rightarrow H(T))$ , and in the category of  $A$ -group schemes for any ring  $A$  compute the coordinate rings of  $\text{SL}_{n,A} := \ker(\det : \text{GL}_{n,A} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{1,A})$  and  $\mu_{m,A} := \ker(t^m : \text{GL}_{1,A} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{1,A})$  for  $m \geq 1$  (defined functorially in the evident manner).

**Remark.** For any finite flat map  $X \rightarrow Y$  to a locally noetherian scheme, the function  $r(y) = \dim_{k(y)} \mathcal{O}(X_y)$  is locally constant on  $Y$  (why?); it is called the *rank* of  $X$  over  $Y$ . For a finite set  $\Sigma$ , the functor  $\text{Sch}_Y \rightarrow \text{Set}$  of locally constant  $\Sigma$ -valued functions is represented by  $\underline{\Sigma}_Y := \coprod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} Y$  which has  $r(y) = \#\Sigma$  for all  $y \in Y$ . If  $\pi : G \rightarrow Y$  is a finite flat group scheme with constant fiber-rank  $N$  (called its *order*, since for  $G = \underline{\Gamma}_Y$  for a finite group  $\Gamma$ , this is  $\#\Gamma$ ), by analogy with Cauchy's theorem on finite groups being killed by their order it is natural to wonder if the  $Y$ -morphism  $G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $g \mapsto g^N$  is trivial (i.e., equal to  $e_G \circ \pi$ ). For commutative  $G$  this is true and is due to Deligne (proved on the bus going to his year of service in the Belgian army); in the non-commutative case it is unsolved except when  $Y$  is the spectrum of a field. The commutative case is extremely useful in number theory.

**Exercise C.** Let  $X_1, X_2$  be  $S$ -schemes,  $U_i$  open in  $X_i$ , and  $j : U_1 \simeq U_2$  an  $S$ -isomorphism, so the gluing  $X$  of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  along  $j$  is an  $S$ -scheme.

(i) Prove  $X$  is separated over  $S$  if and only if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are  $S$ -separated and the graph morphism  $\Gamma_j : U_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times_S X_2$  is a closed immersion. (Hint: study  $\Delta_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  using the open cover  $\{X_i \times_S X_{i'}\}_{1 \leq i, i' \leq 2}$  of  $X \times_S X$ .)

(ii) Let  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$ ,  $X_1 = X_2 = \mathbf{A}_k^1$  and  $U_1 = U_2 = \mathbf{A}_k^1 - \{0\}$ . Let  $j : U_1 \simeq U_2$  be the identity map and  $j' : U_1 \simeq U_2$  be  $t \mapsto 1/t$ , and denote the respective gluings as  $X$  and  $X'$ , so  $X$  is the "line with doubled origin" and  $X' = \mathbf{P}_k^1$ . Use the graph criterion in (i) to explain why  $X$  is not separated and  $X'$  is separated.

**Exercise D.** (Correction of [H, Ch. II, Exer. 3.11(d)]) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be quasi-compact and quasi-separated. Show the closure  $\overline{f(X)}$  admits a closed subscheme structure  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  such that (i) it is initial among closed subschemes of  $Y$  through which  $f$  factors, and (ii) for open  $U \subset Y$ , the scheme-theoretic image of  $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is  $Z \cap U$ . Also check that the associated ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  is  $\ker(\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X))$ . [One calls  $Z$  the *scheme-theoretic image* of  $f$ , and for  $f$  a quasi-compact immersion it is called the *schematic closure* of  $X$  in  $Y$ .]

Hint: if  $\{U_i\}$  is an open cover of  $Y$  for which  $f_i : f^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$  admits such a  $Z_i \subset U_i$  satisfying the analogues of (i) and (ii) for  $f_i$ , show the  $Z_i$ 's glue to a solution to (i) and (ii) for  $f$ ; Exercise A(iii) and the Nike trick are useful for handling affine  $Y$ . For the equality of *subschemes* at the end, it suffices to equate sets of sections over a base of opens (why?).