## Math 210A. Homework 7

1. Read the handout on tensorial maps, and do the following exercises involving a commutative ring $R$.
(i) Prove that there is a unique linear isomorphism $R \otimes_{R} R \simeq R$ satisfying $a \otimes b \mapsto a b$.
(ii) Let $M, M^{\prime}, N, M^{\prime}$ be $R$-modules. Consider linear maps $T: M \rightarrow N$ and $T^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow N^{\prime}$. These define a linear map $T \otimes T^{\prime}: M \otimes_{R} M^{\prime} \rightarrow N \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}$ uniquely characterized by $m \otimes m^{\prime} \mapsto T(m) \otimes T^{\prime}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. Show that there is a unique $R$-linear map $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M \otimes_{R} M^{\prime}, N \otimes_{R} N^{\prime}\right)$ carrying the elementary tensor $T \otimes T^{\prime}$ to the linear map denoted above by the same notation! Prove that if $M, N, M^{\prime}$, and $N^{\prime}$ are finite free $R$-modules then it is an isomorphism. Interpret the case $N=N^{\prime}=R$ using (i).
(iii) For any four $R$-modules $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{4}$ (not necessarily finite free), prove the existence and uniqueness of an $R$-linear isomorphism $\left(M_{1} \otimes M_{2}\right) \otimes\left(M_{3} \otimes M_{4}\right) \simeq M_{1} \otimes\left(\left(M_{2} \otimes M_{3}\right) \otimes M_{4}\right)$ satisfying $\left(m_{1} \otimes m_{2}\right) \otimes\left(m_{3} \otimes m_{4}\right) \mapsto$ $m_{1} \otimes\left(\left(m_{2} \otimes m_{3}\right) \otimes m_{4}\right)$ for all $m_{i} \in M_{i}$.
(iv) For any $R$-module $N$, an element of $N \otimes N$ is symmetric if it is invariant under the "flip" automorphism characterized by $n \otimes n^{\prime} \mapsto n^{\prime} \otimes n$. Let $M$ be a finite free $R$-module, and consider the composite linear isomorphism $M^{\vee} \otimes M^{\vee} \simeq(M \otimes M)^{\vee} \simeq \operatorname{Bil}(M \times M, R)$ (the final term given the natural $R$-module structure via pointwise linear combinations of bilinear forms). By working with elementary tensors, check that the "flip" automorphism on the left is carried over to the automorphism of the right defined by swapping variables (i.e., $B$ is carried to $\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \mapsto B\left(m^{\prime}, m\right)$ ). Deduce from this (without any explicit mention of bases) that symmetric bilinear forms on the right correspond to symmetric tensors on the left and vice-versa.
2. For ideals $I, J$ in a commutative ring $R$, show $(R / I) \otimes_{R}(R / J) \simeq R /(I+J)$ via $a \otimes b \mapsto a b \bmod I+J$. Deduce for $n, m \geq 1$ that $(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})=0$ precisely when $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. Also prove directly that there is no nonzero $\mathbf{Z}$-bilinear map $(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}) \times(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow M$ to a $\mathbf{Z}$-module $M$ when $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$.
3. Let $A$ be a commutative ring, and $M$ an $A$-module.
(i) For any multiplicative set $S$ in $A$, prove the existence and uniqueness of an $A$-linear isomorphism $S^{-1} A \otimes_{A} M \simeq S^{-1} M$ satisfying $(a / s) \otimes m \mapsto a m / s$.
(ii) Let $B$ be an $A$-algebra, and $M$ an $A$-module. Prove that the $A$-module $B \otimes_{A} M$ admits a unique $B$-module structure respecting its $A$-module structure and satisfying $b^{\prime} \cdot(b \otimes m)=b^{\prime} b \otimes m$ for all $b, b^{\prime} \in B$ and $m \in M$. This is called the scalar extension of $M$ to $B$. In case $B=A / I$ show that this is the usual $A / I$-module structure on $M / I M$ via the $A$-linear isomorphism $(A / I) \otimes_{A} M \simeq M / I M$, and show that it makes the isomorphism in (i) become $S^{-1} A$-linear.
(iii) Let $T: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be an $A$-linear map, and $B$ an $A$-algebra. Prove that there is a unique $B$-linear $\operatorname{map} T_{B}: B \otimes_{A} M^{\prime} \rightarrow B \otimes_{A} M$ satisfying $b \otimes m^{\prime} \mapsto b \otimes T(m)$. This is called the scalar extension of $T$ over $B$. For $A$-linear $T^{\prime}: M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ prove $\left(T \circ T^{\prime}\right)_{B}=T_{B} \circ T_{B}^{\prime}$, and explain how scalar extension is related to the ring map $\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n^{\prime}}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n^{\prime}}(B)$.
(iv) Let $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C$ be ring maps, and $T: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ an $A$-linear map. Prove the existence and uniqueness of a $C$-linear isomorphism $C \otimes_{B}\left(B \otimes_{A} M\right) \simeq C \otimes_{A} M$ satisfying $c \otimes(b \otimes m) \mapsto c f(b) \otimes m$, and show it is functorial in $M$ in the sense that it carries $\left(T_{B}\right)_{C}$ over to $T_{C}$.
4. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be objects in a category $C$, and let $h_{X}=\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(\cdot, X)$ and $h_{X^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(\cdot, X^{\prime}\right)$ be the associated contravariant functors from $C$ to the category of sets.
(i) For any morphism $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, define a natural transformation $h_{f}: h_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow h_{X}$ via composition with $f$. Check that this really is a natural transformation, that $h_{\mathrm{id}_{X}}$ is the identity transformation of $h_{X}$, and that $h_{f \circ g}=h_{g} \circ h_{f}$ for any $g: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$.
(ii) Prove Yoneda's Lemma: every natural transformation $h_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow h_{X}$ has the form $h_{f}$ for a unique $f$. (Hint: chase identity morphisms of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$.) This simple-looking fact is extremely useful.
(iii) For a contravariant functor $F$ from $C$ to the category of sets, and $\xi \in F(X)$, define $h_{X} \rightarrow F$ via $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(Y, X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ carrying $f: Y \rightarrow X$ to $F(f)(\xi) \in F(Y)$. (Here we used contravariance of $F$.) Prove that this is a natural transformation, and that every natural transformation $h_{X} \rightarrow F$ has this form for a unique $\xi \in F(X)$. In the special case that $h_{X} \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism, we call the pair $(X, \xi)$ - and not just $X$ ! - a universal object for $F$. (There is an evident analogue for covariant $F$.) How does this generalize (ii)?
