## MATH 210A. HOMEWORK 5

1. Let  $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M''$  be a diagram of A-modules (A a commutative ring). Prove that it is exact if and only if the localized diagram of  $A_p$ -modules is exact for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of A. Show that it even suffices to check exactness after localization at all maximal ideals of A. This is very important in algebraic geometry.

2. Consider the setup of the snake lemma: a commutative diagram of A-modules

In class we constructed an associated complex

$$\ker f' \to \ker f \to \ker f'' \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{coker} f' \to \operatorname{coker} f \to \operatorname{coker} f'$$

and we claimed it is exact (and addressed it at ker f''). Check the exactness at coker f' (it is trivial elsewhere).

- 3. Consider a short exact sequence  $0 \to M'_{\bullet} \to M_{\bullet} \to M''_{\bullet} \to 0$  of complexes of A-modules.
  - (i) In class we associated to this a long exact sequence in homologies, conditional on the diagrams

$$M'_i/\operatorname{in}(f'_{i-1}) \to M_i/\operatorname{in}(f_{i-1}) \to M''_i/\operatorname{in}(f''_{i-1}) \to 0, \quad 0 \to \ker f'_{i+1} \to \ker f'_{i+1} \to \ker f''_{i+1}$$

being exact sequences for all i. Verify such exactness.

(ii) The construction of the long exact sequence in homologies is "natural" in the sense that if we are given a commutative diagram of maps of complexes



with exact rows (i.e., exact for each fixed index), then the induced diagram of long exact sequences in homologies

commutes. Verify such commutativity at the squares involving the connecting maps d (the others are trivial).

4. (i) Formulate and prove a precise result asserting that the construction of the long exact sequence (especially the connecting map) in the snake lemma is compatible with localization at any multiplicative set.(ii) Do the same for the formation of the diagram of homologies in Exercise 3(ii).

5. Consider a commutative diagram of A-modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow M_4 \longrightarrow M_5 \\ f_1 & f_2 & & & \downarrow f_3 & & \downarrow f_4 & & \downarrow f_5 \\ N_1 \longrightarrow N_2 \longrightarrow N_3 \longrightarrow N_4 \longrightarrow N_5 \end{array}$$

The following is the "five lemma". (Do not memorize it! Rederive it every time you need it.)

(i) Prove that  $f_3$  is injective if  $f_1$  is surjective and  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  are injective.

(ii) Prove that  $f_3$  is surjective if  $f_5$  is injective and  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  are surjective (so as an important special case, if  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_4$ , and  $f_5$  are isomorphisms then so is  $f_3$ ).