

MATH 210A. HOMEWORK 10

1. (i) Do Exercise 27 in Chapter XX. (Allow the coefficient ring to be associative.)
- (ii) Here is an alternative, and more intrinsic, approach to the same thing: applying  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, N)$  to the given short exact sequence to get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, N) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}^1(M, N).$$

Prove  $\text{id}_N \in \text{Hom}(N, N)$  is carried by  $\delta$  to the *same* element of  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$ . (A more interesting challenge, not assigned, is to describe the additive and inversion structure on the group  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$  entirely in the language of extension classes. This is useful. It is called *Baer sum*.)

2. Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group (not necessarily finite), and consider linear representations (not necessarily of finite dimension) over an arbitrary field  $k$ .

(i) If  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are representation spaces, prove that  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  has a unique  $k$ -linear  $G$ -action such that  $g.(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = g(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes g(v_n)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $v_i \in V_i$ . Using functoriality considerations, explain why  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq V_2 \otimes V_1$  and the “associativity” of tensor products with  $n = 3$  are  $G$ -equivariant.

(ii) Verify that if  $(W, \rho)$  is a representation space, then so is its linear dual  $W^*$  via  $g.\ell = \ell \circ \rho(g^{-1})$ . Prove that this is the unique action that makes the evaluation mapping  $W \otimes W^* \rightarrow k$  be  $G$ -equivariant when using the trivial  $G$ -action on  $k$ .

(iii) Let  $B : W \times W' \rightarrow k$  be a bilinear pairing between representation spaces. Prove that  $B$  is  $G$ -invariant in the sense that  $B(gw, gw') = B(w, w')$  for all  $w, w', g$  if and only if the induced linear map  $W \otimes W' \rightarrow k$  is  $G$ -equivariant (using the trivial action on  $k$ ), and also if and only if the induced linear map  $W' \rightarrow W^*$  (via  $w' \mapsto B(\cdot, w')$ ) is  $G$ -equivariant. (For example, by (ii),  $W \rightarrow W^{**}$  is  $G$ -equivariant.)

(iv) Construct natural  $G$ -actions on  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  and  $\wedge^n(V)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and if  $\dim V$  is finite prove that the natural duality isomorphism  $\wedge^n(V^*) \simeq (\wedge^n V)^*$  and its tensor product analogue are  $G$ -equivariant.

3. Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group and  $(V, \rho)$  and  $(V', \rho')$  be representation spaces (possibly of infinite dimension) over an arbitrary field  $k$ . Define a  $G$ -action on the  $k$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}_k(V, V')$  by  $g.T = \rho'(g) \circ T \circ \rho(g^{-1})$ .

(i) Prove this really is a  $G$ -linear representation structure (in particular, a *left*  $G$ -action) on the  $k$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}(V, V')$ , with  $\text{Hom}(V, V')^G = \text{Hom}_{k[G]}(V, V')$ .

(ii) If  $\dim V$  is finite, prove the linear isomorphism  $V' \otimes V^* \simeq \text{Hom}_k(V, V')$  is  $G$ -equivariant.

4. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional representation over any field  $k$  for an arbitrary group  $G$ .

(i) On the category of (left)  $k[G]$ -modules, identify  $\text{Hom}_{k[G]}(V, \cdot)$  and  $(V^* \otimes_k \cdot)^G$ . (Use Exercise 3.)

(ii) Let  $H_k^\bullet(G, \cdot)$  denote the derived functor of  $M \rightsquigarrow M^G$  on the category of left  $k[G]$ -modules. (It can be shown that this agrees with the restriction of  $H^\bullet(G, \cdot)$  computed on the category of left  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules, but we omit that here.) Use universal  $\delta$ -functoriality to naturally identify  $\text{Ext}_{k[G]}^i(V, V')$  and  $H_k^i(G, V^* \otimes V')$  for all  $i$ . (This is especially useful for  $i = 1$ , due to Exercise 1, when generalized to allow  $k$  beyond fields.)

5. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be finite groups, and  $(V_i, \rho_i)$  a finite-dimensional representation of  $G_i$  over a field  $k$  with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .

(i) Prove that  $V = V_1 \otimes_k V_2$  is a representation of  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  via  $(g_1, g_2).(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (g_1.v_1) \otimes (g_2.v_2)$ . (Don't confuse this with the setup in Exercise 2(i), where there was just one group!)

(ii) Let  $\chi_i = \chi_{\rho_i}$  as a character of  $G_i$  and  $\chi = \chi_V$  as a character of  $G$ . Prove that

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle_G = \langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle_{G_1} \cdot \langle \chi_2, \chi_2 \rangle_{G_2}.$$

When  $k$  is algebraically closed, deduce that  $\chi$  is irreducible for  $G$  if and only if each  $\chi_i$  is irreducible for  $G_i$ . Give a counterexample to “if” with  $k = \mathbf{R}$  (hint: consider cyclic  $G_i$  and  $\dim V_i = 2$  with  $V^G \neq 0$ ).

(iii) Assume  $k$  is algebraically closed. Prove that  $\chi$  determines the  $\chi_i$ 's, and that every irreducible representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  over  $k$  arises in this way. (Hint: View a representation space for  $G_1 \times G_2$  as one for each  $G_i$  separately. For the second part, if  $V_1$  is an irreducible constituent of  $V$  for  $G_1$  then show  $\text{Hom}_{k[G_1]}(V_1, V)$  is naturally a nonzero  $G_2$ -representation space. Let  $V_2$  be an irreducible constituent and consider the evaluation map  $V_1 \otimes_k V_2 \rightarrow V$ ; is it  $G$ -equivariant and nonzero?)