

MATH 210A. BASES OF SYMMETRIC AND EXTERIOR POWERS

Let  $V$  be a finite free module of rank  $d > 0$  over a nonzero commutative ring  $F$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n$ th symmetric and exterior powers  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  and  $\wedge^n(V)$  were made as quotients of  $V^{\otimes n}$  that “universally linearize” symmetric and alternating multilinear mappings  $V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$ . Our aim here is to find bases of these modules in terms of bases of  $V$ . We fix an ordered basis  $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  of  $V$ .

1. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

Let  $\mu : V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$  be a multilinear mapping to an arbitrary  $F$ -module  $W$ . For any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ , say  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij}e_i$ , multilinearity gives

$$(1) \quad \mu(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (a_{i_1,1} \cdots a_{i_n,n}) \mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$$

with  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d$ . Conversely, for arbitrary  $w_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in W$  we can *define*

$$\mu(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (a_{i_1,1} \cdots a_{i_n,n}) w_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$$

for  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij}e_i$  to get a multilinear mapping (check!) satisfying  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = w_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ . In other words, to give a multilinear  $\mu$  is “the same” as to give elements  $w_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in W$  indexed by ordered  $n$ -tuples of integers between 1 and  $d = \text{rank}(V)$ . This correspondence depends on  $\mathbf{e}$ , and it is a restatement of the fact that the  $d^n$  elementary tensors  $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}$  are a basis of  $V^{\otimes n}$ . Hence, it is natural to expect that properties of  $\mu$  may be read off from these  $n^d$  values of  $\mu$ . We claim that if the values of  $\mu$  on the  $n$ -tuples from the basis satisfy the symmetry or skew-symmetry conditions, then the same holds for  $\mu$  in general. That is:

**Lemma 1.1.** *The multilinear  $\mu$  is symmetric if and only if the value  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$  is always invariant under switching  $i_j$  and  $i_{j'}$  for any distinct  $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$ , and  $\mu$  is skew-symmetric if this value always negates upon switching  $i_j$  and  $i_{j'}$  for any distinct  $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$ . Finally,  $\mu$  is alternating if and only if it is skew-symmetric and  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$  vanishes whenever  $i_j = i_{j'}$  for any distinct  $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$ .*

Note that in the criterion in this lemma, we allow the  $n$ -tuples of  $i_j$ 's to range over all possibilities; in particular, we allow repetitions. Taking  $V = F^2$  and  $\mu((x, y), (x', y')) = xy'$  gives an example with  $\mu(e_1, e_1) = \mu(e_2, e_2) = 0$  (for  $e_1 = (1, 0)$  and  $e_2 = (0, 1)$ ) but  $\mu$  is not alternating. Indeed,  $\mu(e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2) = 1$ . Thus, the alternating property cannot be detected just from looking for the vanishing condition of values of  $\mu$  on vectors from a basis. (In the preceding example, note that  $\mu$  is not skew-symmetric:  $\mu((1, 0), (0, 1)) = 1$  but  $\mu((0, 1), (1, 0)) = 0$ .)

*Proof.* In each case, the necessity of the asserted property of the behavior of the values  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$  is a special case of the general property for  $\mu$  to be symmetric, skew-symmetric, or alternating. For the converse, look at (1). If we switch  $v_k$  and  $v_{k'}$  then we simply switch  $a_{ik}$  and  $a_{ik'}$ . Thus, the coefficient of the term for  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is modified by replacing  $a_{i_k, k}$  and  $a_{i_{k'}, k'}$  with  $a_{i_k, k'}$  and  $a_{i_{k'}, k}$  respectively. This is, if  $k < k'$ , then the coefficients against  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$  and  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{k'}}, \dots, e_{i_k}, \dots, e_{i_n})$  are swapped. But these  $\mu$ -values are equal (resp. negatives of each other) under the hypothesis of symmetry (resp. skew-symmetry) for  $\mu$  on ordered  $n$ -tuples of basis vectors! Hence, under such a condition of symmetry (resp. skew-symmetry) for  $\mu$  on ordered  $n$ -tuples from the basis, we deduce the property of symmetry (resp. skew-symmetry) for  $\mu$  in general.

Finally, we check the sufficiency of the asserted criterion for  $\mu$  to be alternating. The case  $n = 1$  is trivial, so we can assume  $n \geq 2$ . In (1), suppose  $v_k = v_{k'}$  for some  $k \neq k'$ , so  $a_{ik} = a_{ik'}$  for all  $i$ . The terms on the right side of (1) with a repetition among the  $i_j$ 's all vanish by hypothesis, so we can restrict attention to the summation over  $n$ -tuples of  $i_j$ 's without repetition. Any such tuple contributes a term that is negative to the one associated to the  $n$ -tuple for which the roles of  $i_k$  and  $i_{k'}$  are swapped (because  $a_{i_k, k} = a_{i_k, k'}$  and  $a_{i_{k'}, k'} = a_{i_{k'}, k}$ ). By swapping the entries in positions  $k$  and  $k'$  in such  $n$ -tuples without repetition we decompose the set of these  $n$ -tuples into pairs, with each pair just shown to contribute terms that add to 0. Hence, the entire sum is 0 if  $v_k = v_{k'}$  for some  $k \neq k'$ , so  $\mu$  is alternating as desired. ■

In general, suppose  $\mu : V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$  is a multilinear mapping that is symmetric (resp. alternating). By (1), the multilinearity ensures that to uniquely determine  $\mu$ , we just need to specify the values

$$\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \in W$$

for  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d$ , and that these may be specified *arbitrarily*. If  $\mu$  is to be symmetric, then this list has redundancies: for any ordered  $n$ -tuple of  $i_j$ 's, the assigned value must equal the one assigned to the order  $n$ -tuple obtained by rearranging the  $i_j$ 's in monotonically increasing order. That is, for symmetric  $\mu$  we only need to restrict attention to specifying values as above in the special case  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq d$ .

If  $\mu$  is to be alternating, or more generally skew-symmetric, then we may again rearrange the  $i_j$ 's to be in monotonically increasing order, say via some permutation  $\sigma$  of the  $j$ 's (i.e., we replace  $i_j$  with  $i_{\sigma(j)}$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ). The value of  $\mu$  prior to shuffling around the  $e_{i_j}$ 's is related to the value after the  $i_j$ 's are arranged in monotonically increasing order via a factor of the sign of  $\sigma$ . If the  $i_j$ 's are pairwise distinct then this permutation  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined (as there is a unique way to shuffle the  $j$ 's to put the  $i_j$ 's in strictly increasing order). For alternating  $\mu$ , we lose nothing by restricting attention to the case of pairwise distinct  $i_j$ 's, as in all other cases the value of  $\mu$  has to be zero. Thus, for alternating  $\mu$  we only need to specify the values

$$\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$$

for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq d$ . (In particular, if  $n > d$  then no such strictly increasing sequence exists, which is to say that there is *always* a repetition of some  $i_j$ 's, and hence necessarily  $\mu = 0$ : that is, if  $n > \text{rank}(V)$  then an alternating mapping  $\mu : V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$  is automatically zero.)

We are now motivated to ask if, upon specifying the values  $\mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \in W$  for all monotone increasing (resp. strictly increasing) sequences of  $i_j$ 's between 1 and  $d$ , there actually exists a symmetric (resp. alternating)  $\mu : V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$  realizing these specified values. The preceding shows that such a  $\mu$  is unique, and in the language of symmetric and exterior powers of  $V$  the problem is precisely that of determining if a linear map  $\text{Sym}^n(V) \rightarrow W$  (resp.  $\wedge^n(V) \rightarrow W$ ) may be constructed by arbitrarily specifying its values on  $n$ -fold products  $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}$  (resp.  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$ ) of basis vectors  $e_{i_j}$  with the  $i_j$ 's monotone increasing (resp. strictly increasing). In other words, do such elementary products provide a basis of the  $n$ th symmetric (resp. exterior) power of  $V$ ? The answer is yes:

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ , a basis of  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  is given by the  $n$ -fold products  $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq d$ . For  $n > d$  the space  $\wedge^n(V)$  vanishes and if  $1 \leq n \leq d$  then  $\wedge^n(V)$  has a basis given by the  $n$ -fold products  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq d$ .*

The vanishing of  $\wedge^n(V)$  for  $n > \text{rank}(V)$  has been shown above: for such  $n$ , any alternating multilinear map  $\mu : V^{\times n} \rightarrow W$  has been proved to be zero, and so it uniquely factors through the vanishing map to the zero module. Hence, the unique characterization of the exterior power via its

mapping property thereby ensures  $\wedge^n(V) = 0$  in such cases. Thus, in the proof of Theorem 1.2 for the case of exterior powers we may (and do) restrict attention to the case  $1 \leq n \leq d$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

To see the spanning aspect, one can use the universal properties of symmetric and exterior powers much as in our analogous argument for why elementary tensors in spanning sets (such as bases, if they exist) span a tensor product. However, we can also give a direct proof as follows. By construction, the symmetric and exterior powers are quotients of tensor powers, and under this quotient map an elementary tensor of an ordered  $n$ -tuple of elements in  $V$  is mapped to the corresponding elementary  $n$ -fold symmetric or wedge product of the same ordered set of elements of  $V$ . Thus, since spanning sets of a module map to spanning sets in a quotient module, we conclude that  $n$ -fold symmetric (resp. wedge) products of  $e_i$ 's span the  $n$ th symmetric (resp. exterior) power of  $V$  since their elementary  $n$ -fold tensors span  $V^{\otimes n}$ . This gives the spanning result using  $e_{i_j}$ 's without any ordering restriction on the  $i_j$ 's. However, the symmetry (resp. skew-symmetry) of the mapping  $V^{\times n} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(V)$  (resp.  $V^{\times n} \rightarrow \wedge^n(V)$ ) allows us to rearrange the  $i_j$ 's in monotone-increasing order at the possible expense of some signs (which is harmless for the purposes of being a spanning set). Thus, we get the spanning result using just  $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n$  in the case of symmetric powers, and for exterior powers we get the spanning result using  $i_1 < \dots < i_n$  because this only ignores the cases when there is a repetition amongst the  $i_j$ 's and in such cases the wedge product of the  $e_{i_j}$ 's vanishes. This concludes the proof that the asserted bases for the symmetric and exterior powers of  $V$  are at least spanning sets.

Now we have to prove linear independence. We imitate the method for tensor products: the aim is to construct linear functionals on the symmetric and exterior powers that kill all but exactly one of the elements of the proposed basis, with this distinguished element allowed to be specified arbitrarily in advance. Applying such functionals to any potential linear relation would force the corresponding coefficients in the linear relation to vanish, and hence all coefficients would have to vanish (as desired).

First we handle the alternating case (as this turns out to be slightly easier). Suppose  $n \leq d$  and fix an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq d$ . Define  $B_I : V^{\times n} \rightarrow F$  to be the multilinear form whose value on  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is the determinant of the  $n \times n$  submatrix using rows  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  in the  $d \times n$  matrix formed by the  $v_j$ 's viewed in  $F^d$  via  $\mathbf{e}$ -coordinates. That is, if  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij} e_i$  then define

$$\mu_I(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(a_{i_r, j})_{1 \leq r, j \leq n} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^n e_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^*(v_j)$$

where  $e_1^*, \dots, e_d^*$  is the dual basis to  $e_1, \dots, e_d$ . By the definition, one checks that  $\mu_I$  is indeed multilinear and alternating. Thus, it uniquely factors through a linear functional  $T_I : \wedge^n(V) \rightarrow F$  with

$$T_I(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \mu_I(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

If  $I' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_n)$  is an ordered  $n$ -tuple with  $1 \leq i'_1 < \dots < i'_n \leq d$  then the strict monotonicity implies that when  $I' \neq I$  some  $i'_{j_0}$  must be distinct from all of the  $i_j$ 's and hence  $\mu_I(e_{i'_1}, \dots, e_{i'_n})$  vanishes (because the relevant submatrix must have an entire  $j_0$ th column of zeros, or alternatively each product in the big summation formula has a factor of zero for  $j = j_0$ ). Thus,

$$T_I(e_{i'_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i'_n}) = 0$$

when  $I' \neq I$ , and clearly the value is 1 when  $I' = I$  (the determinant is for the “identity matrix”). If there is a linear relation

$$\sum_{i'_1 < \dots < i'_n} c_{i'_1, \dots, i'_n} e_{i'_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i'_n} = 0$$

in  $\wedge^n(V)$  then applying the *linear* functional  $T_I$  kills all but precisely the  $I$ th term, giving

$$c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = 0.$$

Varying  $I$ , we get that all coefficients vanish. This is the desired linear independence in  $\wedge^n(V)$ .

Now we turn to the case of symmetric powers. In this case there is an analogue of  $\mu_I$  but some factorials arise when there is a repetition among the  $i_j$ 's and so in order to handle the possibility of rings  $F$  in which some of the factorials may vanish we have to be more cunning in our definition of the analogue of  $\mu_I$ . Here is an initial attempt at an analogous construction. For  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq d$  and any  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij} e_i \in V$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) we define

$$\mu'_I(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{j=1}^n e_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^*(v_j);$$

this is like  $\mu_I$  except that we have removed the signs. The removal of the signs makes this expression multilinear and symmetric (check!). If we let  $T'_I : \text{Sym}^n(V) \rightarrow F$  be the resulting linear functional, so

$$T'_I(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \mu'_I(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

then when  $I' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_n)$  is a monotonically increasing sequence with  $I' \neq I$  we must have some  $i'_{j_0}$  that is distinct from *all*  $i_j \in I$  (as a monotone sequence can be made monotone in exactly one way), so  $T'_I(e_{i'_1} \cdots e_{i'_n}) = 0$  when  $I' \neq I$ .

This is adequate to complete the proof of linear independence, much as in the alternating case, provided that

$$T_I(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}) \in F^\times.$$

We shall now compute this value, and we will see that when some nonzero integers vanish in  $F$  then this value might vanish (let alone be a non-unit). Suppose there are  $N \leq n$  distinct values  $i_j$  as  $j$  varies (so  $N = n$  if there are no repetitions, which is to say that  $i_j \neq i_{j'}$  whenever  $j \neq j'$ ). Consider the partitioning of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into pairwise disjoint subsets  $J_1, \dots, J_N$  of indices  $j$  for which the  $i_j$ 's have a common value. (By the monotonicity of the  $i_j$ 's, this partitioning has elements of  $J_r$  less than those of  $J_s$  whenever  $r < s$ .) If there are no repetitions then  $J_r = \{r\}$ . Note that  $N$  and the  $J_r$ 's depend on  $I$ , but  $I$  is fixed for now.

Let  $n_r = \#J_r$  denote the size of  $J_r$ . There are  $n_r!$  permutations of the elements of  $J_r$ , and as we let  $\sigma$  vary over all  $\prod_{r=1}^N (n_r!)$  permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that permute each of the  $J_r$ 's, the rearrangements of  $I$  again return  $I$ . Consequently, the sum

$$T'_I(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}) = \mu(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$$

has exactly  $\prod_{r=1}^N (n_r!)$  terms equal to 1 and all others equal to 0. Thus, the value is this product of factorials considered in  $F$ . Hence, we are done if  $F$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra, but otherwise for some  $I$  one of these factorials may be a non-unit or even vanish in  $F$  and so  $T'_I$  may be a non-unit or even vanish. Hence, to get the linear independence result for any  $F$  we need to build a symmetric multilinear form that works better than  $\mu'_I$ .

The trick is to introduce the subgroup  $H \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$  consisting of those permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(J_r) = J_r$  for all  $r$ ; that is,  $\sigma$  permutes the  $J_r$ 's. Note that  $H$  depends on our fixed choice of  $I$  (as the  $J_r$ 's do). The elements of  $H$  are exactly the  $\sigma$ 's such that the ordered  $n$ -tuple

$(i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)})$  is equal to  $I$ , since  $\sigma \in H$  precisely when  $h(m) \in J_r$  if and only if  $m \in J_r$ , which is to say (by the definition of the  $J_r$ 's) that  $i_{h(m)} = i_m$  for all  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . In particular,  $H$  may be identified with the product of the permutation groups of the  $J_r$ 's, and so  $H$  has size  $\prod_{r=1}^N (n_r!)$ .

For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ , the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^n e_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^*(v_j) \in F$$

only depends on the left coset  $H\sigma$  since for any  $h \in H$  we have  $i_{(h\sigma)(j)} = i_{h(\sigma(j))} = i_{\sigma(j)}$ . Writing  $H \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n$  to denote the set of left  $H$ -cosets, to an element  $\bar{\sigma} \in H \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n$  we may associate the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^n e_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^*(v_j) \in F$$

using *any* representative  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  for the coset  $\bar{\sigma}$ . Thus, we consider the modified mapping  $M_I : V^{\times n} \rightarrow F$  defined by

$$M_I(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in H \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{j=1}^n e_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^*(v_j) \in F.$$

Each product involves a linear functional evaluated on each of the  $v_j$ 's exactly once, and so  $M_I$  is a multilinear mapping. Moreover, it is readily check that it is symmetric (essentially because  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  has a well-defined *right* multiplication action on the set of left cosets  $H \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n$ ).

Roughly speaking,  $M_I$  improves  $\mu'_I$  by eliminating the “ $H$ -fold” repetition in the sum defining  $\mu'_I$ . The exact same argument as for  $T'_I$  shows that the linear functional  $\ell_I$  on  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  induced by  $M_I$  kills the symmetric product  $e_{i'_1} \cdots e_{i'_n}$  whenever  $I' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_n)$  is a monotone ordered  $n$ -tuple distinct from  $I$  (as each individual term of the sum defining  $M_I(e_{i'_1}, \dots, e_{i'_n})$  vanishes). But now in the case  $I' = I$  we win because the value on  $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}$  is

$$M_I(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = 1.$$

The point is that cutting down on the number of terms in the sum by means of left  $H$ -cosets exactly removes the multiplicity of  $\#H = \prod_{r=1}^N (n_r!)$  in the earlier calculation, and so gives the value of 1.

The multilinear symmetric  $M_I$ 's provide linear functionals  $\ell_I$  on  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  that permit us to prove the desired linear independence exactly as in the alternating case: each  $\ell_I$  kills  $e_{i'_1} \cdots e_{i'_n}$  whenever the monotone ordered  $n$ -tuple  $I' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_n)$  is distinct from  $I$ , and it has the value 1 in the case  $I' = I$ . Thus, applying the  $\ell_I$ 's to any potential linear dependence relation among these elementary symmetric products forces each coefficient in such a relation in  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  to vanish.