## MATH 154. HOMEWORK 2

1. (i) By using arithmetic in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (i.e., unique factorization and knowledge of units), show  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  has the form  $x^2 + y^2$  if and only if each prime factor  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  of n occurs with even multiplicity. Use arithmetic in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  to show for p a positive prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  that if  $p = x^2 + 2y^2$  then (x, y) is unique up to signs.

(*ii*) Using unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ , prove for any prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  that

$$\pm p = x^2 - 2y^2$$
 with  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \Leftrightarrow 2 = \Box \mod p, \ \pm p = x^2 - 3y^2$  with  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \Leftrightarrow 3 = \Box \mod p$ 

where we mean that at least one of p or -p has the desired form. For  $p \neq 2, 3$ , convert the right side of each equivalence into congruence conditions on p by using quadratic reciprocity.

(*iii*) For the unit  $1 + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , note that  $N(1 + \sqrt{2}) = -1$ . Using this, show the sign on p can be dropped in the first equivalence in (*ii*). But show -1 is not a norm from  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ , and correspondingly show by example that the sign cannot be dropped in the second equivalence. (Beware that in general -1 can fail to be a norm from  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  yet be a norm from  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ :  $\pm 33$  are norms from  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{34}]$ , so their ratio -1 is a norm from  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{34})$ , but it can be shown that -1 is *not* a norm from  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{34}]$ .)

2. Let  $\zeta = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2 \in K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , so  $\zeta$  is a primitive cube root of unity:  $\zeta^3 = 1$  but  $\zeta \neq 1$  (i.e.,  $\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = 0$ ). Note this is not  $(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2 = 1 + \zeta = -\zeta^2$ , which is a primitive 6th root of unity! The ring  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$  is the ring of integers of K, called the *Eisenstein integers*. It contains  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z} \cdot 2\zeta$  as an additive subgroup of index 2. Formulas for the norm N :  $K \to \mathbf{Q}$  relative to the respective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -bases  $\{1, \sqrt{-3}\}$  and  $\{1, \zeta\}$  are N $(x + y\sqrt{-3}) = x^2 + 3y^2$  and N $(a + b\zeta) = a^2 - ab + b^2$  with  $x, y, a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

(i) Using the second norm formula, prove that the group of units in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$  is  $\{\pm 1, \pm \zeta, \pm \zeta^2\}$ .

(*ii*) Show that  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$  is Euclidean using this norm, so it is a UFD (unlike  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ ).

(*iii*) Although  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is a proper subring of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , show the norms  $N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \to \mathbb{Z}$  have the same image, so for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can write  $a^2 - ab + b^2$  in the form  $x^2 + 3y^2$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Hint: Look at the norm of  $(a + b\zeta)u$  for  $u = 1, \zeta, \zeta^2$ .)

(*iv*) Show a prime p > 3 has the form  $x^2 + 3y^2$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $-3 \equiv \Box \mod p$ ; convert this into a congruence on p by quadratic reciprocity. (This is what we'd expect if  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is a UFD, but it isn't!)

3. Let K be a number field and choose  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

(i) By working in a Galois closure of K over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , show that the minimal polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$  for  $\alpha$  has coefficients that are algebraic integers, and so deduce that  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ .

(*ii*) Rigorously prove that the natural map of rings  $\mathbf{Z}[X]/(f) \to \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  defined by  $X \mapsto \alpha$  is an isomorphism. (Hint: For a nonzero commutative ring R and  $f \in R[X]$  a *monic* polynomial of degree n > 0, prove R[X]/(f) is a free R-module with basis given by the residue classes of  $1, X, \ldots, X^{n-1}$ .)

4. Let  $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{>1}$  be squarefree. *Pell's equation* concerns solutions to  $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  with x, y > 0; i.e., up to signs one seeks elements of  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}] - \{\pm 1\}$  with norm 1. Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  and let  $\mathscr{O}$  be its ring of integers. *Dirichlet's unit theorem*, proved later, implies  $\mathscr{O}^{\times}$  is infinite cyclic up to a sign. A fundamental unit of K is  $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}^{\times}$  such that  $\mathscr{O}^{\times} = \langle -1 \rangle \times \varepsilon^{\mathbf{Z}}$  (so the fundamental units are  $\pm \varepsilon$  and  $\pm 1/\varepsilon$ ). If an embedding  $j: K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is chosen, the unique fundamental unit > 1 is often called "the" fundamental unit (relative to j).

(i) Show  $x^2 - dy^2 \neq -1$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $d \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . For  $d \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$  such that  $-1 \equiv \Box \mod d$ , the only known way to show  $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  has no Z-solution is to check if a fundamental unit has norm 1.

The link between Pell's equation and fundamental units is explained in §4.6 of the text beneath Proposition 1, where it is explained how to find a fundamental unit. Read that discussion (which implicitly uses one of the two embeddings of K into **R** to make sense of inequalities in K). Note that (i) a fundamental unit may have norm -1 (e.g.,  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ ), and (ii)  $\mathcal{O}$  may be larger than  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  (if  $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ), so the fundamental units may not lie in  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ ; e.g.,  $\varepsilon = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  for d = 5 and  $\varepsilon = (3 + \sqrt{13})/2$  for d = 13.

(*ii*) Prove by parity considerations that if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O} - \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  then  $\alpha^2 \notin \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]!$  This is as bad as it gets: using  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^2 - X + (1-d)/4) \simeq \mathcal{O}_K$  defined by  $X \mapsto (1+\sqrt{d})/2$  (Exercise 3), reduce mod 2 to infer  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{F}_4$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2$ ) as rings when  $d \equiv 5 \mod 8$  (resp.  $d \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ). Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O}$ , conclude via the structure of  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^{\times}$  that  $d \equiv 1 \mod 8 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  and  $d \equiv 5 \mod 8 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{O}^{\times})^3 \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ . This is a more conceptual explanation for the end of §4.6 where Samuel uses some messy calculations.