

Math 120 HW 4 Solutions

Xiaoyu He, with Questions 5A/5B/5C by Prof. Church

April 27, 2018

4.2.8

Prove that if H has finite index n then there is a normal subgroup K of G with $K \leq H$ and $|G : K| \leq n!$.

Consider the action of G by left-multiplication on left cosets of H , which corresponds to a homomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(G//H)$. We claim that $K = \ker(\alpha)$ is the desired subgroup. First, we check that $K \leq H$. An element $k \in G$ belongs to K if and only if

$$k \cdot (gH) = gH \quad \text{for all } gH \in G//H.$$

In particular, if $k \in K$ then $k \cdot H = H$. This is true if and only if $k \in H$, so this shows $k \in K \implies k \in H$, i.e. $K \leq H$.

It remains to show that $[G : K] \leq n!$. By the first isomorphism theorem, we have $G/\ker(\alpha) \cong \text{im}(\alpha)$, which is a subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G//H)$. Since $[G : H] = n$, the coset space $G//H$ is a set with n elements, so $\text{Perm}(G//H)$ has cardinality $n!$. Therefore

$$[G : K] = |G/K| = |G/\ker(\alpha)| \leq |\text{Perm}(G//H)| = n!.$$

4.3.10*

Let σ be the 5-cycle (12345) in S_5 . In each of (a) to (c) find and explicit element $\tau \in S_5$ which accomplishes the specified conjugation:

- (a) $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^2$.
 $\tau = 13524$.
- (b) $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}$.
 $\tau = 15432$.
- (c) $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-2}$.
 $\tau = 14253$.

4.3.11*

In each of (a)-(d) determine whether σ_1 and σ_2 are conjugate. If they are, give an explicit permutation τ such that $\tau\sigma_1\tau^{-1} = \sigma_2$.

- (a) $\sigma_1 = (12)(345)$ and $\sigma_2 = (123)(45)$.
 $\tau = 45123$.
- (b) $\sigma_1 = (15)(372)(10\ 6\ 8\ 11)$ and $\sigma_2 = (3\ 7\ 5\ 10)(49)(13\ 11\ 2)$.
 $\tau = 4\ 2\ 13\ 1\ 9\ 7\ 11\ 5\ 6\ 3\ 10\ 12\ 8$.
- (c) $\sigma_1 = (15)(372)(10\ 6\ 8\ 11)$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^3$.
Not conjugate.
- (d) $\sigma_1 = (13)(246)$ and $\sigma_2 = (35)(24)(16)$.
Not conjugate.

5.1.1*

Show that the center of a direct product is the direct product of centers:

$$Z(G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n) = Z(G_1) \times Z(G_2) \times \cdots \times Z(G_n).$$

Deduce that a direct product of groups is abelian if and only if each of the factors is abelian.

Check that if $x, y \in G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$, then $xy = yx$ iff $x_i y_i = y_i x_i$ in each coordinate $i \leq n$. Thus, a fixed x lies in $Z(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n)$ iff each coordinate x_i lies in the center $Z(G_i)$.

A group G is abelian iff $Z(G) = G$, so this implies the second claim.

5.1.5*

Exhibit a normal subgroup of $Q_8 \times Z_4$ (note that every subgroup of each factor is normal).

Any product $H \times K$ of subgroups $H \leq Q_8$ and $K \leq Z_4$ is normal, for example $Q_8 \times Z_2$.

Question 1

Recall that F_n denotes a free group on n elements.

In at most two sentences, prove that F_2 is not isomorphic to F_3 .

The number of homomorphisms from $F_2 \rightarrow Z_2$ is 4 but the number of homomorphisms from $F_3 \rightarrow Z_2$ is 8, so F_2 and F_3 can't be isomorphic.

Remarks: in general, the number of homomorphisms $n(F_n, G)$ from the free group on n elements to any finite group G will be $|G|^n$, by exactly the same argument as in HW3, Problem 6. Also, we used the following fact [you did not need to write any of this]:

Fact 1. *If $A \cong B$ are two isomorphic groups, then $n(A, G) = n(B, G)$ for any third group G .*

Proof. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism from A to B . Then, ϕ induces a bijection between $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ and $\text{Hom}(B, G)$. Given any $f : B \rightarrow G$, precomposition with ϕ gives $f \circ \phi : A \rightarrow G$. Similarly, in the reverse direction any $f' : A \rightarrow G$ can be turned into a map $f' \circ \phi^{-1} : B \rightarrow G$. It is easy to check that this is a bijection. \square

Question 2

Given a group G , the *center* of G is the subgroup

$$Z(G) = \{z \in G \mid zg = gz \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

of elements that commute with every element of G . The center $Z(G)$ is an abelian subgroup of G . (You may assume this without proof, but you should understand why it is true.)

(a) Prove that $Z(G)$ is a normal subgroup of G .

If $h \in Z(G)$ then for any $g \in G$, $gh = hg$, so

$$\begin{aligned} ghg^{-1} &= hgg^{-1} \\ &= h, \end{aligned}$$

whence $ghg^{-1} \in Z(G)$ as well. Thus $Z(G)$ is a normal subgroup.

(b) Prove that if the quotient group $G/Z(G)$ is cyclic, then G is abelian.

Let $xZ(G)$ be a generator for the cyclic group $G/Z(G)$, and suppose $g, h \in G$ are any two elements. Then, g, h each lie in some coset $x^i Z(G)$, so there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $g \in x^i Z(G)$ and $h \in x^j Z(G)$. Write $g = x^i g'$ and $h = x^j h'$.

Moreover, since (1 2) and (2 3) generate S_3 [as you can easily check by hand], we know that $\varphi: G \rightarrow S_3$ is *surjective*. To finish the proof, we will show that $|G| \leq 6$; since it surjects to a set of size 6, this will show that actually $|G| = 6$ and that φ is an isomorphism.

To show that $|G| \leq 6$, we check that every element of G is equal to one of the six elements $1, a, b, ab, ba, aba$. Indeed, since $a^2 = 1$ and $b^2 = 1$ in G , and also $a = a^{-1}$ and $b = b^{-1}$, any word of G can be reduced to an alternating product consisting only of a 's and b 's: $abababab \dots$ or $bababab \dots$. Right-multiplying $ababab = 1$ by ba , we find that $abab = ba$. Similarly, $baba = ab$. This shows that every alternating word of length at least 4 can be reduced further in length. It follows that all distinct words of G are one of $1, a, b, ab, ba, aba, bab$. But we have one duplicate here: $aba = bab$ (from right-multiplying the last relation by bab). Thus, we have the desired conclusion that any group generated by S satisfying $a^2 = 1, b^2 = 1$ and $ababab = 1$ has order at most 6.

(Double Optional.) What additional relation(s) do you need to add to the following to get a presentation of S_4 ?

$$S_4 \cong \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = 1, c^2 = 1, ababab = 1, bcbcbc = 1, _____\rangle$$

One way is to add the relation $acac = 1$.

Question 5A

a) The homomorphism $\varphi: F_2 \rightarrow Z_2 \times Z_2$ takes a word $w = a^{n_1}b^{m_1} \dots a^{n_k}b^{m_k}$ in F_2 to $(x^{\sum_i n_i}, x^{\sum_i m_i}) \in Z_2 \times Z_2$. Hence we conclude that the kernel is

$$K = \left\{ \text{words } w = a^{n_1}b^{m_1} \dots a^{n_k}b^{m_k} \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^k n_i, \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \text{ are both even} \right\}.$$

b) We start by choosing a set of coset representatives for K in F_2 :

$$C = \{1, a, b, ab\}.$$

We define the function $f: F_2 \rightarrow C$ so that $\varphi(g) = \varphi(f(g))$; in other words, $f(g)$ is the representative of the coset Kg . Since $f(g)$ and g map to the same element of $Z_2 \times Z_2$, the element $g \cdot f(g)^{-1}$ lies in the kernel K for any $g \in F_2$.

Lemma 1. The set

$$X = \{cl \cdot f(cl)^{-1} \mid c \in C, l \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}\}$$

is a set of generators for K .

Proof. Let $w = l_1 \dots l_n$ be a word in F_2 , with $l_i \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$. Define $c_0 = 1$ and $c_k = f(l_1 \dots l_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. We can write $f(w)$ as a telescoping product

$$f(w) = wc_n^{-1} = (c_0 l_1 c_1^{-1}) (c_1 l_2 c_2^{-1}) \dots (c_{n-1} l_n c_n^{-1}).$$

Now notice that by definition,

$$c_k = f(l_1 \dots l_k) = f(f(l_1 \dots l_{k-1}) l_k) = f(c_{k-1} l_k) \in C.$$

This means that each of the parenthesized terms

$$c_{k-1} l_k c_k^{-1} = c_{k-1} l_k f(c_{k-1} l_k)^{-1}$$

is one of the generators in X !

This shows that for *any* w in F_2 , the element wc_n^{-1} is in the subgroup $\langle X \rangle$ generated by X . In particular, if $w \in K$, then $c_n = f(l_1 \dots l_n) = f(w) = 1$, so the previous sentence says that $w \in \langle X \rangle$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2. The subset

$$S = \{cl \cdot f(cl)^{-1} \mid c \in C, l \in \{a, b\}\}$$

still generates K .

Proof. We will show that each generator $x = cl \cdot f(cl)^{-1} \in X$ for $c \in C$ and $l \in \{a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is the inverse of some generator in S . This shows that $\langle S \rangle = \langle X \rangle$, and the previous lemma showed that $\langle X \rangle = K$.

Suppose for instance $l = a^{-1}$ and consider $g = f(ca^{-1}) \in C$. The generator $ca^{-1} \cdot f(ca^{-1})^{-1} \in X$ is equal to $ca^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \in K$, so call this element k . We obtain

$$a^{-1}g^{-1} = c^{-1}k$$

and inverting both sides

$$ga = k^{-1}c.$$

Taking the coset representative yields then

$$f(ga) = f(k^{-1}c) = f(c) = c$$

since $c \in C$. In particular we get

$$x = ca^{-1} \cdot f(ca^{-1})^{-1} = ca^{-1}g^{-1} = (gac^{-1})^{-1} = (ga \cdot f(ga)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Therefore x^{-1} is the generator $ga \cdot f(ga)^{-1}$ in S , as claimed. The proof is identical for b^{-1} in place of a^{-1} . \square

The preceding gives an **upper bound** of 5 generators.

We now illustrate how you could show that the nontrivial elements of S generate K freely (to get a **lower bound** and show that K can't be generated by fewer than 5 elements). Let's start by making these elements explicit: in the following two tables we put the c 's into rows, and the letters l 's into columns. We first describe $f(cl)^{-1}$:

	a	b
1	a^{-1}	b^{-1}
a	1	$(ab)^{-1}$
b	$(ab)^{-1}$	1
ab	b^{-1}	a^{-1}

and then the corresponding generator $cl \cdot f(cl)^{-1}$:

	a	b
1	1	1
a	a^2	1
b	$ba(ab)^{-1}$	b^2
ab	$abab^{-1}$	$abba^{-1}$

Getting rid of the trivial elements, we obtain that S consists of the five elements

$$S = \{a^2, ba(ab)^{-1}, b^2, aba^{-1}, abba^{-1}\}.$$

Notice in particular that all these generators are already in reduced form as written, and that no two generators are each other's inverses.

We saw in the proof of the second lemma that X consists of the elements of S together with their inverses; therefore

$$X = \{a^2, ba(ab)^{-1}, b^2, aba^{-1}, abba^{-1}, a^{-2}, b^{-2}, ab^{-1}(ab)^{-1}, ba^{-1}(ab)^{-1}, aba^{-1}b^{-1}\}.$$

Since the extended generators obtained are all distinct, each of the element in X uniquely pinpoints the pair $(c, l) \in C \times \{a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}\}$ which gave rise to it. For each element $s \in X$, we call the element l in this pair the " l -factor of s ".

Let now $s_1, s_2 \in X$. Suppose that some cancellation between the l -factors of s_1 and s_2 happens. Then it can be checked (either formally or by a case-by-case analysis) that $s_1 = s_2^{-1}$: in particular, one and only one among s_1 and s_2 belongs to S (the other belongs to $X \setminus S$).

Therefore, when we consider a word $w = s_1 \dots s_n$ over the generators of S , we cannot have any cancellation of the relevant l -factors: in particular, none of these words cancel trivially to 1. This proves that S is a set of free generators.

Summing up, $K \cong F_5$ is a free group on five generators, and a choice of generators is given by

$$S = \{a^2, ba(ab)^{-1}, b^2, aba^{-1}, abba^{-1}\}.$$

Question 5B

Denote as usual by a and b the free generators of F_2 . Consider the homomorphism $\varphi: F_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ sending $a \mapsto (x, 1)$ and $b \mapsto (1, x)$, where we write $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, x^{-2}, x^{-1}, 1, x, x^2, \dots\}$.

Lemma 3. The kernel of φ is the commutator subgroup N .

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is abelian, we know that $\varphi(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = \varphi(x)^{-1}\varphi(y)^{-1}\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for any $x, y \in F_2$. This shows that every commutator is contained in $\ker \varphi$, so $N \subset \ker \varphi$. For the other direction, you could look to Question 3, where we showed that $\ker \varphi = \langle\langle a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \rangle\rangle$, so to show that $\ker \varphi \subset N$ we just need to check that $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \in N$ which is true by definition. Or, you can argue as follows (which is essentially just repeating the proof of Question 3).

Temporarily denote $A = F_2/N$. Since $N \subset \ker \varphi$, the map $\varphi: F_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ descends to a map $\bar{\varphi}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ sending $\bar{a} \mapsto (x, 1)$ and $\bar{b} \mapsto (1, x)$. The kernel of $\bar{\varphi}$ is isomorphic to $\ker \varphi/N$, so our goal is to prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is injective. Assume for a contradiction that $\ker \bar{\varphi}$ contains a nontrivial element k . The group A is abelian since $\overline{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}} = \overline{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}} = \bar{1}$ for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in A$. Since A is generated by \bar{x} and \bar{y} , we can write $k = \bar{x}^a \bar{y}^b$ where either a or b is nonzero. But then $\bar{\varphi}(k) = \varphi(x^a y^b) = (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ which is not the identity. This contradiction shows that $\ker \varphi = N$, as claimed. \square

Consider the function Etch-A-Sketch from words $w \in F_2$ to *finite subsets* of \mathbb{Z}^2 , defined as follows. Given a reduced word $w \in F_2$, write it as $w = l_1 \cdots l_n$ where $l_i \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$. For each $k = 1, \dots, n$, consider the element $\varphi(l_1 \cdots l_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Finally, the subset Etch-A-Sketch(w) is defined to be the set of all such elements:

$$\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(w) = \{\varphi(l_1 \cdots l_k)\}_{k=1}^n$$

The key observation is that

$$x \in N \text{ and } w = xy \in F_2 \implies \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(w) \subset \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(x) \cup \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(y). \quad (*)$$

To see this, first write $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$ and $y = y_1 \cdots y_m$. If we did *not* reduce the concatenation xy , then the terms appearing would be

$$\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_1 x_2), \dots, \varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n), \varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n y_1), \dots, \varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n y_1 \cdots y_m).$$

However, we have assumed that $x \in N$, so $\varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)$ is the identity. Therefore the terms appearing can be rewritten as

$$\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_1 x_2), \dots, \varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n), \varphi(y_1), \dots, \varphi(y_1 \cdots y_m),$$

or in other words $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(x) \cup \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(y)$. To obtain w from the concatenation xy we simply cancel adjacent terms; this has the effect of possibly removing some terms from $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(w)$, which is why we have $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(w) \subset \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(x) \cup \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(y)$.

Now assume for a contradiction that N is generated by a finite set $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$. Let X be the finite set $X = \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g_k)$. The generators $g = g_1, \dots, g_k$ all have the property that $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g) \subset X$ by definition. But the key observation (*) shows that this property is *preserved under multiplication*. Therefore every element g of the subgroup $\langle S \rangle$ generated by S has $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g) \subset X$.

Therefore to obtain a contradiction, we just need to show that for every finite subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, there are elements $g \in N$ for which $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g) \not\subset X$. This is pretty easy. For example, let M be the cardinality of X and choose $g = a^M b a^{-M} b^{-1}$. The finite subset $\text{Etch-A-Sketch}(g)$ is equal to

$$\{(1, 1), (x, 1), \dots, (x^M, 1), (x^M, x), \dots, (x, x), (1, x)\}$$

which has cardinality $2M$, so it cannot be contained in X . \square

Question 5C

There are various fancy ways to prove elements generate a free group, but in this case there is a concrete argument that you could have discovered by experimentation: when you multiply these generators together, the entries of the matrices always get larger! So there's no way to end up back at the identity matrix. That's the argument we use in the proof below.

By construction, G is the image of a surjective homomorphism $F_2 \rightarrow G$ from the free group on the two generators; we need to prove that this homomorphism is injective, so it is an isomorphism $F_2 \simeq G$. It suffices to prove that the kernel is trivial; in other words, that any nontrivial reduced word in the generators x and y represents a matrix that is not the identity matrix.

Assume for a contradiction that there is a nontrivial word w in the kernel. We can assume that w starts with x or x^{-1} (because if it does not, we can consider instead the word xwx^{-1} , which is still in the kernel and does start with x).

Therefore we can write $w = x^{n_1}y^{n_2} \dots x^{n_{m-1}}y^{n_m}$ or $w = x^{n_1}y^{n_2} \dots x^{n_m}$ where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $n_i \neq 0$ (depending on whether w ends with y^\pm or x^\pm) for some $m \geq 1$.

Let the matrices $M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m$ be the running products

$$M_0 = I, \quad M_1 = x^{n_1}, \quad M_2 = x^{n_1}y^{n_2}, \dots, \quad M_i = x^{n_1}y^{n_2} \dots z^{n_i}$$

(where z would be x or y depending on whether i is even or odd). Write $M_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix}$.

When i is even we have $M_{i+1} = M_i x^{n_{i+1}}$, so

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{i+1} & b_{i+1} \\ c_{i+1} & d_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2n_{i+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & 2n_{i+1} \cdot a_i + b_i \\ c_i & 2n_{i+1} \cdot c_i + d_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

When i is odd we have $M_{i+1} = M_i y^{n_{i+1}}$, so

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{i+1} & b_{i+1} \\ c_{i+1} & d_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n_{i+1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{i+1} \cdot b_i + a_i & b_i \\ 2n_{i+1} \cdot d_i + c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix}$$

In other words, there are two sequences α_i and β_i such that

$$M_i = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i-1} & \alpha_i \\ \beta_{i-1} & \beta_i \end{bmatrix} \text{ when } i \text{ is odd} \quad M_i = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i & \alpha_{i-1} \\ \beta_i & \beta_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ when } i \text{ is even}$$

Notice that the matrix formulas above show that the sequence α_i satisfies the recursion

$$\alpha_{i+1} = 2n_{i+1}\alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1}$$

(starting with $\alpha_{-1} = 0$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$, since $M_0 = I$).

We now prove by induction that $|\alpha_i|$ is a strictly increasing sequence: $|\alpha_{i+1}| > |\alpha_i|$ for all $i \geq 0$. This is certainly true for $i = -1$, since $|\alpha_1| = 1 > |\alpha_0| = 0$. Applying the triangle inequality to the recursion above shows that

$$|\alpha_{i+1}| \geq |2n_{i+1}\alpha_i| - |\alpha_{i-1}|.$$

Since $n_{i+1} \neq 0$, we know that $|2n_{i+1}\alpha_i| \geq |2\alpha_i| = 2|\alpha_i|$. And by induction, we can assume that $|\alpha_{i-1}| < |\alpha_i|$. Therefore we conclude that

$$|\alpha_{i+1}| \geq |2n_{i+1}\alpha_i| - |\alpha_{i-1}| \geq 2|\alpha_i| - |\alpha_{i-1}| > 2|\alpha_i| - |\alpha_i| = |\alpha_i|.$$

Therefore $|\alpha_{i+1}| > |\alpha_i|$, which is precisely what we needed to continue the induction.

The end of the proof is now easy. The word w represents the matrix M_m , whose top row has entries α_{m-1} and α_m . Since the sequence $|\alpha_i|$ is strictly increasing and $m \geq 1$, we know that $|\alpha_m| > |\alpha_0| = 1$. Therefore the top row of M_m contains an entry with absolute value bigger than 1, so $w = M_m$ cannot be the identity.