

Selected Homework Solutions

Section 15.3 of Edwards and Penny:

30. Let $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j})/(x^2 + y^2)$ for x and y not both zero. Calculate the values of

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds$$

along the both the top and the lower halves of the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ from $(1, 0)$ to $(-1, 0)$. Is there a function $f = f(x, y)$ for x and y not both zero such that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$? Why or why not?

Solution. Parametrize the upper arc as follows:

$$x = \cos(t), \quad y = \sin(t),$$

so if $\mathbf{r} = x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j}$ then

$$d\mathbf{r} = dx\mathbf{i} + dy\mathbf{j} = -\sin(t) dt \mathbf{i} + \cos(t) dt \mathbf{j}.$$

On the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, so

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}}{x^2 + y^2} = -\sin(t)\mathbf{i} + \cos(t)\mathbf{j}$$

and

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = (\sin^2(t) + \cos^2(t)) dt = dt.$$

Thus

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \int_0^\pi dt = \pi.$$

This is the integral over the top arc.

Parametrize the bottom arc by:

$$x = \cos(t), \quad y = -\sin(t).$$

In this case

$$d\mathbf{r} = dx \mathbf{i} + dy \mathbf{j} = -\sin(t) dt \mathbf{i} - \cos(t) dt \mathbf{j}.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}}{x^2 + y^2} = \sin(t)\mathbf{i} - \cos(t)\mathbf{j}$$

and we have

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = (-\sin^2(t) - \cos^2(t)) dt = -dt.$$

This integral is

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \int_0^\pi -dt = -\pi.$$

There cannot be a function f such that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$, because if there was, the integral over either arc would equal $f(-1, 0) - f(1, 0)$ by the fundamental theorem for line integrals. They would *both* equal this which is impossible since the two line integrals are different.

31. Show that if the force field $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$ is conservative then $\partial P/\partial y = \partial Q/\partial x$. Show that the force field of problem 30 satisfies the condition $\partial P/\partial y = \partial Q/\partial x$ but nevertheless is not conservative.

Solution. You could show $\partial P/\partial y = \partial Q/\partial x$ by quoting Theorem 3, but a better answer would be to show this as follows. Since \mathbf{F} is conservative, $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ for some scalar field f , and this means that $P = \partial f/\partial x$ and $Q = \partial f/\partial y$. Now

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

while

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}.$$

These are equal by a basic property of partial derivatives, the *equality of mixed partials*.

For the vector field of problem 30, we have

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right) = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$

and $\partial P/\partial y$ is the same. But it is shown in problem 30 that the vector field is not conservative.

32. it Suppose that the force field $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} + R\mathbf{k}$ is conservative. Show that

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial y}.$$

Solution. Since \mathbf{F} is conservative, $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ for some f , so $P = \partial f/\partial x$, $Q = \partial f/\partial y$ and $R = \partial f/\partial z$. Now we proceed as in problem 31:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x},$$

and similarly for the other two parts.

35. (a) Let $f(x, y) = \arctan(y/x)$, which if $x > 0$ equals the polar angle θ for the point (x, y) . Show that

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla f = \frac{-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

(b) Suppose that $A(x_1, y_1) = (r_1, \theta_1)$ and $B(x_2, y_2) = (r_2, \theta_2)$ are two points in the right half plane $x > 0$ and that C is a smooth curve from A to B . Explain why it follows from the fundamental theorem for line integrals that $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \theta_2 - \theta_1$.

(c) Suppose that C_1 is the upper half of the unit circle from $(1, 0)$ to $(-1, 0)$ and that C_2 is the lower half, oriented also from $(1, 0)$ to $(-1, 0)$. Show that

$$\int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = \pi, \quad \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = -\pi.$$

Why does this not contradict the fundamental theorem?

Solution. (a) Recalling that $d \arctan(t)/dt = 1/(1 + t^2)$, it follows from the chain rule, taking $t = y/x$ that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \arctan(y/x) = \frac{dt}{dx} \frac{d}{dt} \arctan t = \frac{-y}{x^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2},$$

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \arctan(y/x) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Hence the gradient ∇f , where $f = \arctan(y/x)$ is

$$\frac{-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

(b) Evidently it is intended that the curve C stays in the right half plane $x > 0$, although the problem as worded doesn't explicitly say this. In that case, we can apply the fundamental theorem with $f = \arctan(y/x)$, and

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} ds = f(B) - f(A) = \theta_2 - \theta_1.$$

(c) The computation of the two line integrals was done in problem 30. The fundamental theorem is not contradicted because the vector field is not conservative—there is no function f defined in the whole plane such that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$. The function $f = \arctan(y/x)$ from (a) was only defined if $x > 0$, and if we try to extend it to the whole plane, we are not able to do so in a consistent manner.