

## Spring 2006 Qual, Part I: 1, 2, 3, 5; Part II: 1, 2

**I.1**

1. Let  $f_n \in L^p([0, 1])$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$  and moreover that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  for a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Prove that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^p$ .
2. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_N$  be a finite sequence of unit vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that there exists a number  $a \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \leq -a, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Find an upper bound for  $N$  in terms of  $a$ .

3. Let  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and assume that  $h(t) \neq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . Prove that the subspace

$$V = \{P(t)h(t) : P \text{ a trigonometric polynomial}\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$$

is dense.

1. To show that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^p$ , it is enough to show that  $\int_0^1 f_n g \rightarrow 0$  for each  $g \in L^q([0, 1])$ , as  $L^q$  is the dual of  $L^p$ .

Let  $g \in L^q$  and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Recall from measure theory that there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \subset [0, 1]$  has  $m(A) < \delta$ , then

$$\left( \int_A |g|^q \right)^{1/q} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

$f_n \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere, so by Lusin's theorem there is a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  with  $m(A) < \delta$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $[0, 1] \setminus A$ . In other words, there is some  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|g\|_q} \text{ on } [0, 1] \setminus A.$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f_n, g \rangle| &\leq \int_A |f_n g| + \int_{[0,1] \setminus A} |f_n g| \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p \left( \int_A |g|^q \right)^{1/q} + \left( \int_{[0,1] \setminus A} |f_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \|g\|_q \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\|g\|_q} \cdot \|g\|_q = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider the element  $v = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle v, v \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N v_i + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &\leq N - 2 \binom{N}{2} a = N - N(N-1)a, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $N(N-1)a \leq N$ . In other words,  $(N-1)a \leq 1$ , or

$$N \leq 1 + \frac{1}{a} = \frac{a+1}{a}.$$

3. To show that  $V$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , we will use the fact that the trigonometric polynomials are dense here. We proceed by showing that if  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is orthogonal to  $V$ , then  $f = 0$ . Suppose that  $f \perp V$ , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} P(x)h(x)\overline{f(x)}dx = 0$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $P$ . In particular, we have that  $h\bar{f}$  is orthogonal to the space of trigonometric polynomials. These are dense, so we must have that  $h\bar{f} = 0$ .  $h(x) \neq 0$  almost everywhere, so we can conclude that  $f = 0$  almost everywhere, i.e.  $f = 0$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . ■

**I.2** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of real-valued functions defined on  $[-1, 1]$  such that

$$|f_k(x) - f_k(y)| \leq \sqrt{|x - y|} + \frac{1}{k}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in [-1, 1]$ . suppose also that each  $f_k(0) = 0$ . Prove that some subsequence of the the  $f_k$  converges uniformly to a continuous function  $f$  on  $[-1, 1]$ .

First note that the  $f_k$  are uniformly bounded by 2. We will construct a convergent subsequence of the  $f_k$  via a diagonalization process analogous to a hands-on proof of Arzela-Ascoli on an interval.

The sequence  $\{f_k(1)\}$  is bounded, so we may choose a convergent subsequence. In fact, we may choose a subsequence  $f_{k_0(j)}$  such that the values of  $f_{k_0(j)}(x)$  converge at the points  $x = -1, 0, 1$ . Now, given a subsequence  $f_{k_{n-1}(j)}$ , we may choose a subsequence of this subsequence, call it  $f_{k_n(j)}$  such that its values at

$$\left\{ \frac{j}{2^n} : j \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2^n\} \right\}$$

converge. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the  $r$ -th element of the  $r$ -th subsequence,  $f_{k_r(r)}$  satisfies

$$\left| f_{k_r(r)}\left(\frac{j}{2^r}\right) - \text{its limit} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^r}$$

for all  $j \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2^n\}$ . By a standard diagonalization argument, we obtain a subsequence (which, in an abuse of notation, we denote  $f_k$ ) whose values converge at each dyadic rational. (To be more precise, the first element of our sequence is  $f_{k_1(1)}$ , and the  $r$ -th element is  $f_{k_r(\cdot)}$ .)

We now claim that in fact  $f_k$  must converge uniformly to a continuous function. To do this we will first show that  $f_k$  is uniformly Cauchy to obtain a limiting function  $f$ . We will then show that this  $f$  must be continuous.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N$  be such that  $1/N < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$  and  $2^{(1-N)/2} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . We claim that if  $n, m \geq N$ , then for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon$ . Indeed, there is an integer  $j$  such that  $|x - \frac{j}{2^N}| \leq \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| &\leq \left| f_n(x) - f_n\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) \right| + \left| f_n\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) - f_m\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) \right| + \left| f_m\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) - f_m(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{2N+1}}} + \frac{2}{N} + \left| f_n\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) - f_m\left(\frac{j}{2^N}\right) \right| \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1-N}{2}} + \frac{2}{N} + 2 \cdot 2^{1-N} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

To rephrase the above in words, we may ensure that  $f_k$  are uniformly Cauchy on the dyadic rationals, and then the assumption about the  $f_k$  from the problem and the denseness of the dyadic rationals implies that the  $f_k$  are uniformly Cauchy. This gives us a limiting function  $f$ .

We now must show that  $f$  is continuous. Indeed, note that we must have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |f(x) - f_k(x)| + |f_k(x) - f_k(y)| + |f_k(y) - f(y)| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \sqrt{|x - y|} + \frac{1}{k} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sqrt{|x - y|} + \frac{1}{k} + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limits, we see that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sqrt{|x - y|}$  and so must be continuous. ■

### I.3

1. Construct a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of positive continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_n(x)$  is bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  when  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , but  $f_n(x)$  is unbounded for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .
2. Prove that there is no sequence  $\{g_n\}$  of positive continuous functions such that  $g_n(x)$  is bounded when  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , but  $g_n(x)$  is unbounded when  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

1. The idea here is to construct a sequence of functions  $f_n$  with very large spikes so that for any rational number  $x$ ,  $f_n(x)$  is eventually 0. A picture here is very helpful, I think.

We start by letting

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2 - 2x & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases},$$

extended to be periodic with period 1. Now, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let

$$f_n(x) = (n+1)h(n! \cdot x).$$

We then have that  $f_n(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $n! \cdot x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, if  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have that  $f_n(x) = 0$  for all large enough  $x$ , and so  $f_n(x)$  is bounded for  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

It remains to show only that  $f_n(x)$  is unbounded when  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Indeed, consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} B_M &= \{x : f_n(x) \text{ eventually bounded by } M\} \\ &= \bigcup_k \bigcap_{n \geq k} \left( \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \frac{j}{n!} - \frac{M}{2(n+1)!}, \frac{j}{n!} + \frac{M}{2(n+1)!} \right] \right) \\ &= \bigcup_k S_{M,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the sets  $S_{M,k}$  are finite; indeed, it is easy to check that

$$S_{M,k} = \left\{ \frac{j}{k!} : j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

This tells us that

$$B_M = \bigcup_k S_{M,k} = \mathbb{Q},$$

and so

$$\{x : f_n(x) \text{ is bounded}\} = \bigcup_M B_M = \mathbb{Q}.$$

Thus  $f_n(x)$  is unbounded for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

2. We just showed that the set of points where  $g_n(x)$  is bounded is

$$\bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_k \bigcap_{n \geq k} \{x : g_n(x) \leq M\}.$$

$g_n(x)$  is continuous, so this is a countable union of closed sets, and so is a  $F_\sigma$  set.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is not an  $F_\sigma$  set, so we cannot have such a sequence.

Here is a quick proof that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is not an  $F_\sigma$ . If it were, then  $\mathbb{Q}$  would be a  $G_\delta$  set. Both  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  would then both be dense  $G_\delta$  sets, so the Baire category theorem tells us that their intersection should be dense. But this is impossible because they have empty intersection. ■

**I.5** Let  $C$  be a closed convex set in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Prove that  $C$  contains a unique element of minimal norm.

Let

$$\eta = \inf_{z \in C} \|z\|.$$

If  $\eta = 0$ , then there is a sequence  $z_j \in C$ ,  $\|z_j\| \rightarrow 0$ , so  $z_j \rightarrow 0$ .  $C$  is closed, so  $0 \in C$ , and then  $0$  is the unique element of minimal norm. We may thus assume that  $\eta > 0$ .

We start by claiming that  $C$  contains an element of minimal norm. Indeed, take  $z_j \in C$  such that  $\|z_j\| \rightarrow \eta$ . The convexity of  $C$  implies that  $\frac{z_j + z_k}{2} \in C$ , so that

$$\|z_j + z_k\|^2 = 4 \left\| \frac{z_j + z_k}{2} \right\|^2 \geq 4\eta^2.$$

Recall now the parallelogram law for a Hilbert space:

$$\|x - y\|^2 + \|x + y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

Applying this we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_j - z_k\|^2 &= 2(\|z_k\|^2 + \|z_j\|^2) - \|z_j + z_k\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\|z_k\|^2 + \|z_j\|^2) - 4\eta^2 \rightarrow 4\eta^2 - 4\eta^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $j, k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the  $z_j$  are a Cauchy sequence and so converge to some  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $C$  is closed, so  $z \in C$ , and the norm function is continuous, so  $\|z\| = \eta$ , so that  $C$  contains an element of minimal norm.

The uniqueness of this element also follows from the parallelogram law and the convexity of  $C$ . If  $x, y$  are two such elements, then by the above we must have

$$\|x + y\|^2 \geq 4\eta^2,$$

and so the parallelogram law implies that

$$\|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 - \|x + y\|^2 \leq 4\eta^2 - 4\eta^2 = 0,$$

and so  $x = y$ . ■

**II.1** Let  $f \in C^0([\alpha, \beta])$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , define

$$P_n(x) = \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(u)[1 - (u - x)^2]^n du}{\int_{-1}^1 (1 - u^2)^n du}.$$

Show that  $P_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $2n$  and that for any closed subinterval  $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $P_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly.

Consider first the polynomials

$$k_n(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)^n}{\int_{-1}^1 (1-u^2)^n du}.$$

Observe that  $\int_{-1}^1 k_n(t) dt = 1$ , and that  $k_n(t) \geq 0$ . We claim that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $k_n(t)$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $[-1, 1] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)$ .

We'll start by computing a formula for

$$A_n = \int_{-1}^1 (1-u^2)^n du.$$

Indeed, observe that by integrating by parts, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 t^2(1-t^2)^n dt &= -\frac{t}{2(n+1)}(1-t^2)^{n+1} \Big|_{-1}^1 + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{n+1} dt \\ &= 0 + \frac{A_{n+1}}{2(n+1)} = \frac{A_{n+1}}{2n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have the recurrence relation

$$A_{n+1} = \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{n+1} dt = A_n - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} A_{n+1},$$

i.e.

$$A_{n+1} = \frac{2n+2}{2n+3} A_n.$$

We can easily verify that

$$A_1 = \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2) dt = \frac{4}{3},$$

so we have

$$A_n = 2 \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{2j}{2j+1}.$$

Now fix  $\delta > 0$ . If  $n$  is large enough, then  $\frac{2n+2}{2n+3} \geq (1-\delta^2)^{1/2}$ , and so

$$k_{n+1}(\delta) = \frac{(1-\delta^2)A_n}{A_{n+1}} k_n(\delta) \leq (1-\delta^2)^{1/2} k_n(\delta).$$

In particular, we have that if  $N$  is large, then for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$k_n(\delta) \leq (1-\delta^2)^{(n-N)/2} k_N(\delta).$$

$k_n(t)$  is decreasing for  $t > 0$  and is also an even function, so this tells us that for all  $x \in [-1, 1] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)$ ,

$$k_n(x) \leq (1-\delta^2)^{(n-N)/2} k_N(\delta),$$

so we have shown the desired uniform convergence.

Now that we know these properties of  $k_n$ , we wish to show that  $P_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . We can write

$$P_n(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(u)k_n(x-u)du = \int_{-1}^1 f(u)\chi(u)k_n(x-u)du = \int_{-1}^1 f(x-u)\chi(x-u)k_n(u)du,$$

where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $|b - \beta| < \delta$ ,  $|a - \alpha| < \delta$ , and that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Let  $N$  be such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|k_n(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4\|f\|_{C^0}}$$

whenever  $x \in [-1, 1] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)$ . We then use that  $\int_{-1}^1 k_n = 1$  to write

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 (f(x-u)\chi(x-u) - f(x)\chi(x))k_n(u)du \right| \\ &\leq \int_{[-1,1] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)} |f(x)\chi(x) - f(x-u)\chi(x-u)|k_n(u)du \\ &\quad + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-u)\chi(x-u) - f(x)\chi(x)|k_n(u)du \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{C^0} \int_{[-1,1] \setminus (-\delta, \delta)} k_n(u)du + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{-1}^1 k_n(u)du \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

because  $|x - (x-u)| = |u| < \delta$ .

That  $P_n$  are polynomials of degree at most  $2n$  follows from the fact that we may write  $k_n(x-u) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} g_{n,i}(u)x^i$ , where  $g_{n,i}$  are polynomials in  $u$ , and then

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(u)g_{n,i}(u)du.$$

■

**II.2** Let  $W$  be any vector space, and suppose that  $u, v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linear functionals on  $W$ . Endow  $W$  with the weakest topology such that the functionals  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are continuous. Suppose that  $u$  is continuous in this topology. Prove that  $u$  is a linear combination of the  $v_j$ .

We first claim that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker v_j \subset \ker u.$$

Indeed, we know that a basis for the topology of  $W$  at 0 consists of the sets

$$U_\epsilon = \{w \in W : |v_j(w)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

$u$  is continuous with respect to this topology, so there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$U_\epsilon \subset \{w \in W : |u(w)| < 1\},$$

and so for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$U_{\delta\epsilon} \subset \{w \in W : |u(w)| < \delta\}.$$

We know that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker v_j \subset U_\epsilon$$

for all  $\epsilon$ , and so

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker v_j \subset \{w \in W : |u(w)| < \delta\}$$

for all  $\delta$ . Thus if  $x \in \bigcap \ker v_j$ , then  $u(x) = 0$ , i.e.  $x \in \ker u$ .

Now we claim that if

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker v_j \subset \ker u,$$

then  $u$  must be a linear combination of the  $v_j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the  $v_j$  are linearly independent. Consider the map  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  given by

$$w \mapsto (v_1(w), \dots, v_k(w), u(w)).$$

We know that  $\bigcap \ker v_j \subset \ker u$ , so  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  is not in the image of this map. There is thus some non-zero vector  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \alpha)$  orthogonal (by the standard dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ ) to the image of this map. This tells us that for all  $w \in W$ ,

$$\alpha u(w) + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j v_j(w) = 0.$$

In other words,  $\alpha u + \sum \beta_j v_j = 0$ . The  $v_j$  were linearly independent, so  $\alpha \neq 0$  or else this would give a linear dependence among the  $v_j$ . Thus

$$u = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j v_j,$$

so that  $u$  is a linear combination of the  $v_j$ . ■