## Homework 8

## Ha Pham

## November 20, 2008

**Problem 1** (Chapter 6 - ex 24). Find a polynomial  $q \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$p(\frac{1}{2}) = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x) \, dx(*)$$

for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Use G-S on the basis  $(1, x, x^2)$  to obtain an orthonormal basis denoted by  $(1, p_1, p_2)$ , then

$$q = \langle q, 1 \rangle 1 + \langle q, p_1 \rangle p_1 + \langle q, p_2 \rangle p_2$$

From the requirement, we have

$$\langle q, 1 \rangle = 1; \langle q, p_1 \rangle = p_1(\frac{1}{2}); \langle q, p_2 \rangle = p_2(\frac{1}{2})$$

Thus choose q as

$$q = 1 + p_1(\frac{1}{2})p_1 + p_2(\frac{1}{2})p_2$$

We then have (\*) established for the basis elements. Since both sides are linear operators and they agree on the basis elements, they agree on all elements. Hence we have equality (\*) for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ 

**Problem 2** (Chapter 6 - ex 27). Suppose n is a positive integer. Define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n)$  by

$$T(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=(0,z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})$$

Find a formular for  $T^*(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ 

*Proof.* Since the standard basis is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , we find  $T^*$  by finding the matrix rep for T with respect to the standard basis then take the conjugate transpose.  $\Box$ 

With respect to the standard basis, the matrix rep M for T is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $T^*(z_1, ..., z_n) = (z_2, ..., z_n, 0)$ 

**Problem 3** (Chapter 6 - ex 29). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and U is a subspace of V. Prove U is invariant under T if and only if  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under  $T^*$ 

Proof.

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, T^*v \rangle \, (*)$$

Suppose U is invariant under T. If  $v \in U^{\perp}$  then by (\*)  $\langle u, T^*v \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , hence  $T^*v \in U^{\perp}$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under  $T^*$ . If  $u \in U$  then by  $(*) \langle Tu, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in U^{\perp}$ , hence  $Tu \in (U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U$ .

**Problem 4** (Chapter 6 - ex 30). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that

- 1. T is injective if and only if  $T^*$  is surjective;
- 2. T is surjective if and only if  $T^*$  is injective.

Proof.  $T: V \to W; T^*: W \to V$ 

1. We show that  $(\text{Ker } T)^{\perp} = \text{Range } T^*$ . Since  $\langle v, T^*w \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle$ ,  $\langle v, T^*w \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in \text{Ker } T$  and  $w \in W$ , is Range  $T^* \subset$ 

Also

 $(\operatorname{Ker} T)^{\perp}$ .

dim Range  $T^*$  = dim Range T = dim V - dim ker T = dim (Ker T)<sup> $\perp$ </sup>  $\Rightarrow$  (Ker T)<sup> $\perp$ </sup> = Range  $T^*$ 

Hence we have :

 $T^*$  is surjective  $\Leftrightarrow$  Range  $T^* = V \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Ker} T)^{\perp} = V \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} T = 0 \Leftrightarrow T$  is injective

2. Replace T by  $T^*$  we have  $(\operatorname{Ker} T^*)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Range}(T^*)^* = \operatorname{Range} T$ 

Thus

T is surjective  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Range} T = V \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Ker} T^*)^{\perp} = V \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} T^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow T^*$  is injective

**Problem 5** (Chapter 7 - ex 1). Consider the inner product space  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$  with the inner product

$$\langle p,q\rangle = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)\,dx$$

Define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  by

$$T(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) = a_1x$$

- 1. Show that T is not self-adjoint.
- 2. Denote M to be the matrix rep of T with respect to the basis  $(1, x, x^2)$  thus M is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix equals its conjugate transpose, even though T is not self-adjoint. Explain why this is not a contradiction.

Proof.

1. With respect to an orthonormal basis, the matrix representation of  $T^*$  is the conjugate transpose of that of T. By G-S process, we obtain an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$\{1, p_2(x) = 2\sqrt{3}(x - \frac{1}{2}), p_3(x)\}$$

where  $p_3$  is a quadratic polynomial. Since T(1) = 0,  $T(p_2(x)) = 2\sqrt{3}x = p_2(x) - p_2(0)$ , the matrix representation for T is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_2(0) & a_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the matrix representation for  $T^*$  with respect to the same orthonormal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -p_2(0) & 1 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $p_2(0) \neq 0$ ,  $T^*(1) \neq 0$  while T(1) = 0. Hence T is not self-adjoint

2. This is not a contradiction because basis  $(1, x, x^2)$  is not orthonormal with respect to the given inner product. Thus the matrix representation of  $T^*$  with respect to this basis is not necessarily the conjugate transpose of M. As we saw above,  $T^*(1) \neq 0$ , while  $\overline{M}^t(1) = 0$ .

## **Problem 6** (Chapter 7 - ex 2). Prove or counterexample

*Proof.* Pick two self-adjoint operators that do not commute. In the case of matrices, any matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis is self-adjoint.

Hence consider the following 2 matrices in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ , these two matrices do not commute since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta & -\cos\theta \\ \cos\theta & \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

while

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta & \cos\theta\\ -\cos\theta & \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

**Problem 7** (Chapter 7 - ex 3b). Show that if V is a complex inner-product space, then the set of self-adjoint operators on V is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose T is a self-adjoint operator on V. Pick an orthonormal basis for V.

Denote M to the matrix rep for T with respect to this basis . Since  $T = T^*$ , we have  $M = \overline{M}^t$ .

Consider iT. Then with respect to this orthonormal basis, the matrix rep for iT is iM. Note that  $\overline{iM}^t = -i\overline{M}^t = -iM \neq iM$ . Hence iT is not self-adjoint. Thus the set of self-adjoint operators is not closed under scalar multiplication, hence not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

**Problem 8** (Chapter 7 - ex 11). Suppose V is a complex inner-product space. Prove that every normal operator on V has a square root.

*Proof.* Suppose T is a normal operator on V, ie  $TT^* = T^*T$ . By the complex Spectral Theorem, V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of T. Hence with respect to this basis, the matrix rep for T is

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 e^{i\theta_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & r_n e^{i\theta_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $r_i \leq 0$ .

Define an operator S on V by specifing its matrix rep  $\tilde{M}$  with respect to this orthonormal basis by

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r_1} e^{i\theta_1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{r_2} e^{i\theta_2/2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \sqrt{r_n} e^{i\theta_n/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $\tilde{M}^2 = M$ . Thus  $S^2 = T$ , ie S is a square root of T.

**Problem 9** (Chapter 7 - Ex 14). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Prove that if there exists  $v \in V$  such that ||v|| = 1, and  $||Tv - \lambda v|| < \epsilon$ , then T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda'$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda'| < \epsilon$ 

*Proof.* T is self-adjoint thus there exists an orthonormal basis containing eigenvectors for T, say  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ . Denote M to be the matrix rep for T with respect to this basis, thus M is a diagonal matrix.

Denote  $w = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , where  $a_i = \langle v, v_i \rangle$ . Hence  $||w||_{\mathbb{F}^n} = 1$  since

$$1 = \|v\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle v, v_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 = \|w\|_{\mathbb{F}^n}^2$$

Also  $||Tv - \lambda v||_V = ||Mw - \lambda w||_{\mathbb{F}^n}$ 

Suppose all eigenvalues of T are such that  $|\lambda_i - \lambda| > \epsilon$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ , then

$$||Mw - \lambda w||_{\mathbb{F}^n}^2 = ||((\lambda_1 - \lambda)a_i, \dots, (\lambda_n - \lambda)a_n)||_{\mathbb{F}^n}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \lambda|^2 |a_i|^2$$

With the assumption above,

$$||Tv - \lambda v||_V^2 = ||Mw - \lambda w||_{\mathbb{F}^n}^2 \ge \epsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 = \epsilon$$

which contradicts the given hypothesis.

Hence T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda'$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda'| < \epsilon$