

DEFORMATION THEORY WORKSHOP: OLSSON 6

ROUGH NOTES BY RAVI VAKIL

Recall that we are talking about Picard stacks. I'll remind you of the most salient points. T is a topological space. We have $(\mathcal{P}, +, \sigma, \tau)$. We don't have commutativity and associativity of $+$ "on the nose", and σ and τ keep track of the isomorphisms that used to be equalities for usual addition.

Today, we'll consider a two-term complex $K^\bullet \in C^{[-1,0]}(T)$, where that superscript $[-1,0]$ corresponds to the fact that our two terms are considered to be in gradings -1 and 0 , i.e. our two-term complex is $K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0$.

We define $\text{pch}(K^\bullet)$ ("pre-stack = pre-champs") as follows.

$\text{pch}(K^\bullet)_U$ is a category. Objects are $x \in K^0(U)$, and morphisms $x \rightarrow y$ is an element $z \in K^{-1}(U)$ such that $dz = y - x$.

You can "stackify" this to get $\text{ch}(K^\bullet)$.

If \mathcal{P} is a Picard stack, then $\text{HOM}(\text{ch}(K^\bullet), \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{HOM}(\text{pch}(K^\bullet), \mathcal{P})$ is an isomorphism. (HOM refers to the *category* of homomorphisms.)

Remark. $\text{pch}(K^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{ch}(K^\bullet)$ is fully faithful: stackifying just introduces new objects and morphisms.

Remarks. i) $f : K_1^\bullet \rightarrow K_2^\bullet$ induces a morphism of Picard stacks $\text{ch}(f) : \text{ch}(K_1) \rightarrow \text{ch}(K_2)$.

ii) Suppose $f_1, f_2 : K_1^\bullet \rightarrow K_2^\bullet$ and a homotopy h between f_1 and f_2 .

$h : K_1^0 \rightarrow K_2^{-1}$ such that for all $x \in K_1^0$, $f_1(x) - f_2(x) = dh(x)$ and $f_1^{-1} - f_2^{-1} = hd$.

Then we get an isomorphism of morphisms $\text{ch}(h) : \text{ch}(f_1) \rightarrow \text{ch}(f_2)$, i.e. for all $x \in \text{pch}(K_1)$, we get an isomorphism $\text{ch}(f_1)(x) \rightarrow \text{ch}(f_2)(x)$.

$x \in K_1^0$, there exists $z \in K_2^{-1}$ such that $dz = f_2(x) - f_1(x)$. (That needs to be patched slightly.)

Lemma. If K^{-1} is flasque, then $\text{pch}(K^\bullet)$ is a stack (i.e. it doesn't need to be stackified).

Proof. $\pi : \text{pch}(K^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{ch}(K^\bullet)$.

Let $U \subset T$ open and $x \in \text{ch}(K^\bullet)_U$.

Date: Tuesday July 31, 2007.

Let \mathcal{L} be the sheaf on U which to any open V associates the set of pairs $(y, \iota), y \in K^0(V)$, and

$$\iota : \pi(y) \rightarrow x|_V$$

in $\text{ch}(K^\bullet)_V$.

Claim. \mathcal{L} is a $K^{-1}|_U$ -torsor.

Remark. $(y', \iota') \in \mathcal{L}$. $\pi(y) \xrightarrow{\iota} x|_V \xrightarrow{\iota'^{-1}} \pi(y')$. Now my functor is fully faithful, so this comes from a unique element in my prestack, so this gives some element $z \in K^{-1}$.

\mathcal{L} is classified by an element $[\mathcal{L}] \in H^1(U, K^{-1}|_U) = 0$. Question: why does \mathcal{L} locally have a section?

Observations. a) The sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \text{the set of isomorphism classes of } \text{ch}(K^\bullet)_U$$

The answer is $\mathcal{H}^0(K^\bullet) := K^0 / \text{im}(K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0)$.

b) What is the automorphism group of an object $x \in \text{ch}(K^\bullet)_U$? Answer: $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K^\bullet) = \ker(K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0)$.

Idea: Consider the pre-stack. $x \in K^0(U)$, $\text{Aut}(x) = \{z \in K^{-1}(U) : dz = x - x = 0\}$.

Corollary. If $f : K_1^\bullet \rightarrow K_2^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $\text{ch}(f) : \text{ch}(K_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{ch}(K_2^\bullet)$ is an equivalence of categories.

There is something to check here of course.

Let $\tilde{C}^{[-1,0]}(T) \subset C^{[-1,0]}(T)$ be the full subcategory of complexes $K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0$ with K^{-1} injective. (This is nontraditional notation.)

Theorem. This ch construction induces an equivalence of 2-categories $\tilde{C}^{[-1,0]}(T) \rightarrow (\text{Picard stacks over } T)$.

You certainly shouldn't know what an equivalence of 2-categories is, but in the course of the proof, when we say what we need to prove, it will become clear.

Lemma. Suppose $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of stacks, and $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow Y$ is the corresponding map on sheaves of isomorphism classes. Assume \bar{f} is an equivalence and for all $U \subset T$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}_U$ the map of sheaves $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x))$ is an isomorphism then f is an isomorphism.

Sketch of proof (that you should feel free to ignore). Given $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_U$ we want

$$\underline{\text{Isom}}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Isom}}_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x), f(y))$$

to be an isomorphism. We may as well show that the map on sheaves is an isomorphism. So we'll need to show injectivity and surjectivity.

First, injectivity: given $\alpha, \beta : x \rightarrow y$. $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$, $f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$. $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta \in \ker(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x)))$. This implies $\alpha = \beta$.

Next we deal with surjectivity. This is a bit trickier, and we'll use injectivity. $\sigma : f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$. By injectivity, it is enough to show that σ is in the image locally. Now x, y map to the same thing in X . So locally there exists $\tau : x \rightarrow y$, $\sigma^{-1} \circ f(\tau) : f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Essential surjectivity: $y \in \mathcal{Y}_T$. There exists a covering $T = \cup_i U_i$ and (x_i, l_i) with $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_{U_i}$, $l_i : f(x_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} y|_{U_i}$ in \mathcal{Y}_{U_i} . Then on U_{ij} , there exists a *unique* isomorphism $\sigma_{ij} : x_i|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow x_j|_{U_{ij}}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(x_i)|_{U_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{f(\sigma_{ij})} & f(x_j)|_{U_{ij}} \\ \downarrow l_i & \swarrow l_j & \\ y|_{U_{ij}} & & \end{array}$$

This ends the sketch of the proof of the lemma.

Let's now try to prove that theorem about this equivalence of 2-categories.

But first, a philosophical remark: why am I doing all this? Most of our deformation problems come to us as Picard stacks. That means that there is some two-term complex around. That's the idea.

Lemma. Suppose \mathcal{P} is a Picard stack over T , and $\{U_i\}$ is a collection of open subsets, $k_i \in \mathcal{P}(U_i)$ for all i . Let me define $K = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{U_i}$. (Recall that \mathbb{Z}_{U_i} is the extension by 0 of the constant sheaf on U_i , i.e. $j_* \mathbb{Z}$ where $j : U \hookrightarrow T$.) Then there is a morphism $F : \text{ch}(0 \rightarrow K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and isomorphisms $\sigma_i : F(1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{U_i}(U_i)) \rightarrow k_i$ and any choice is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Example. $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow 0)$.

Proof. Choose data

a) $\{U_i \subset T\}_{i \in I}$

b) for all i , $k_i \in \mathcal{P}(U_i)$, $K^0 = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{U_i}$

such that for all $V \subset T$, $k \in \mathcal{P}_V$, there exists a covering $V = \cup V_j$ such that $k|_{V_j} \cong k_i$ some i with $V_j \subset U_i$.

$F : \text{ch}(0 \rightarrow K^0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

$K^{-1}(V) = \{(x, l), x \in K^0(V), l : F(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(x)\}$, $K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0$ given by $(x, l) \mapsto x$.

Let's figure out addition.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(0) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F(0) + F(0) & \xrightarrow{l+l'} & F(x) + F(x') \\ & \searrow ? & & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & & & F(x + x') \end{array}$$

The rest I'll leave for you to think through.

E-mail address: `vakil@math.stanford.edu`