

### Lieblich

We will now return to Moduli problems. Recall:

1. Curves of genus  $g$
2. Line Bundles on  $X$
3. Maps between  $X$  and  $Y$
4. Closed Subschemes of  $X$  (often  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ )
5. Subspaces of a vector space

We even wrote down what we expected the functors of points to be. So do we get Sheaves?

Look at  $h_{\mathcal{M}_3}(T) = \text{hom}_T(X_T, Y_T) = \text{hom}(X \times T, Y)$ . We proved that  $Y$  is a sheaf implies that  $h_{\mathcal{M}_3}$  is an fppf sheaf.

How about  $h_{\mathcal{M}_4}(T) = \{Z \hookrightarrow X \times T \text{ closed, } Z \text{ is } T\text{-flat}\} / \simeq$  (note, isomorphisms are unique if they exist).

$Z \hookrightarrow X \times T$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times T}$ , so the sheaf condition corresponds to descent data on the inclusion  $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times T}$ . fppf descent is effective for quasi-coherent sheaves, so these things glue, so  $h_{\mathcal{M}_4}$  is a sheaf.

$\{T_i \rightarrow T\}$  gives  $h_{\mathcal{M}_4}(T) \rightarrow \prod h_{\mathcal{M}_4}(T_i) \rightrightarrows \prod h_{\mathcal{M}_4}(T_i \times_T T_j)$  the products are of isomorphism classes. The uniqueness of isomorphisms tells us that it is harmless to choose classes.

We know that  $\mathcal{I}_Z|_{T_i}$  is  $T_i$ -flat for all  $i$ . So we conclude that  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is  $T_i$ -flat.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  is faithfully flat. A quasicohereant sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is  $X$ -flat, respectively of finite presentation, etc, iff  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is.*

$h_{\mathcal{M}_5}(T) = \{W \subset \mathcal{O}_T \otimes V \text{ with locally free cokernel}\}$ . Again, the isomorphisms are unique if they exist, so the same descent argument applies.

So  $h_{\mathcal{M}_3}, h_{\mathcal{M}_4}, h_{\mathcal{M}_5}$  are all sheaves.

$h_{\mathcal{M}_2} = \{\mathcal{L} \text{ on } X \times T\} / \simeq$ , which is  $\text{Pic}(X \times T)$ . The sheaf condition takes  $\{T_i \rightarrow T\}$  and we want to check exactness for  $\text{Pic}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \prod \text{Pic}(X \times T_i) \rightrightarrows \text{Pic}(X \times T_i \times_T T_j)$ .

But this is not exact at all!

Claim: Exactness ALWAYS fails on the left.

*Proof.* Choose  $T$  such that  $\text{Pic}(T) \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nontrivial invertible sheaf on  $T$ . Then  $p_2^*\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(X \times T)$  for  $p_2 : X \times T \rightarrow T$ .

Choose an open covering  $T = \cup T_i$  such that  $\mathcal{M}|_{T_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T_i}$ . So we take  $\text{Pic}(X \times T) \rightarrow \prod \text{Pic}(X \times T_i)$  by  $p_2^*\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{X \times T_i}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times T} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{X \times T_i}$ .  $\square$

Claim: Exactness fails in the middle (in general)

*Proof.*  $X/\mathbb{R}$  given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ . We know that  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  but  $X \not\simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ . Thus there are no divisors of degree 1 (by Riemann-Roch).

Consider the covering  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$ . This gives  $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightrightarrows \text{Pic}(X \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ .

This is  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . so both of the maps to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  take  $1 \mapsto (1, 1)$ , but  $1$  is not in the image.  $\square$

So Descent here fails because of the local nature of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X \times T'$ , that  $p_1^*\mathcal{L} \simeq p_2^*\mathcal{L}$  on  $X \times T''$  and  $\varphi_{jk} \circ \varphi_{ij} \neq \varphi_{ik}$ , because there are too many isomorphisms.

We eventually realize we have one big problem: we are thinking of sets, rather than categories.

We fix this by shifting our thinking.

**Definition 1** (Groupoid). *A groupoid is a category where every morphism is invertible.*

**Definition 2** (Discrete Groupoid). *A groupoid  $\mathcal{C}$  is discrete if  $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}, \text{Aut}(x) = \{\text{id}\}$ .*

**Definition 3** (Connected Groupoid). *A group is connected if any two objects are isomorphic.*

A group  $G$  is a groupoid with one object with  $\text{Aut}(x) = G$ , this is an example of a connected groupoid. A discrete groupoid is like a set, via  $\chi : \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$  which takes a set  $S$  to a category with object set  $S$  and just identity maps.

Note: Groupoids do form a category, with arrows being functors.

**Lemma 2.** *The essential image of  $\chi$  is the discrete groupoids.*

More good things:  $\mathcal{M}_2(T)$  is a groupoid of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X \times T$ . So  $S \xrightarrow{f} T$  gives  $\mathcal{M}_2(T) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_X f)^*} \mathcal{M}_2(S)$  functor takes  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X \times T$  to  $(\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{L}$  on  $X \times S$ . We guess that this gives a functor  $\text{Sch}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$

But composition gets funny, and is no longer on the nose.

$T'' \xrightarrow{g} T' \xrightarrow{f} T$  then there exists an isomorphism  $g^* f^* \simeq (fg)^*$ . This is the universal property of the pullback, which is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Exercise: What does all this MEAN?

Take  $T''' \xrightarrow{h} T'' \xrightarrow{g} T' \xrightarrow{f} T$ , and we get a commutative diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & h^*(fg)^* & \\
 \simeq \nearrow & & \searrow \simeq \\
 h^*g^*f^* & & (fgh)^* \\
 \searrow \simeq & & \simeq \nearrow \\
 & (gh)^*f^* & 
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 4** (Fibered Category with Clivage (Pseudofunctor)). *A Fibered Category with Clivage, or Pseudofunctor, over a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is*

1. For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , a groupoid  $F(c)$
2. For each arrow  $f : c \rightarrow d$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , a functor  $f^* : F(d) \rightarrow F(c)$
3. For each pair of arrows  $c \xrightarrow{f} d \xrightarrow{g} e$ , an isomorphism  $\nu_{f,g} : f^* g^* \rightarrow (gf)^*$  such that the diagram above commutes with the isomorphisms being the  $\nu$ 's.

Olsson

Let  $A' \rightarrow A$  be a surjective map of rings with square zero kernel  $J$ , and  $P' \rightarrow \text{Spec } A'$  smooth scheme with reduction  $P \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ .

And  $j : X \rightarrow P$  an inclusion with  $X$  smooth over  $\text{Spec } A$ .

Problem: Understand how we can lift the picture  $j : X \rightarrow P$  to a diagram  $X' \hookrightarrow P'$  with  $X'$  smooth over  $\text{Spec } A'$ .

Why? This would include lifting a variety with it's embedding into Projective Space.

We want  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$  on  $|X|$  satisfying the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 J \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{P'} & \longrightarrow & j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_P \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 J & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & A
 \end{array}$$

Define  $\mathcal{L}$  to be a sheaf on  $|X|$  which, to any open  $U \subset X$ , associates the set of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \hookrightarrow & U' \\
j \downarrow & & j' \downarrow \\
P & \xrightarrow{i} & P' \\
& \searrow & \searrow \\
& \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow \text{Spec } A'
\end{array}$$

What are the global sections?

The set of arrows  $U' \rightarrow P'$  filling in this diagram form a torsor under  $\text{hom}((i \circ j)^* \Omega_{P'/A}^1, J \otimes \mathcal{O}_U) = \text{hom}(j^* \Omega_{P/A}^1, J \otimes \mathcal{O}_U) = j^* T_{P/A} \otimes_A J$ . There is an action of  $j^* T_{P/A} \otimes J$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$  is the ideal of  $X$ , then we look at the conormal bundle  $j^* I = I/I^2 = \mathcal{N}^\vee$ . We get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \xrightarrow{d} j^* \Omega_{P/A}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/A}^1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow T_{X/A} \rightarrow j^* T_{P/A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$ . We tensor the second with  $J$  and we claim that  $T_{X/A} \otimes J$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

A section  $\partial \in T_{X/A} \otimes J(U)$  corresponds to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & U' \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow \partial \\
U & \hookrightarrow & U' \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow j' \\
P & \hookrightarrow & P' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } A & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec } A'
\end{array}$$

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathcal{L}$  is a torsor under  $\mathcal{N} \otimes J$ .

Torsor:

1.  $\forall U \subset X$ , there exists a covering  $U = \cup U_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(U_i) \neq \emptyset$
2. For all  $U \subset X$  either  $\mathcal{L}(U) = \emptyset$  or the action of  $\mathcal{N} \otimes J(U)$  on  $\mathcal{L}(U)$  is simply transitive.

Sketch of proof: Check that if  $U$  is affine, then the action of  $\mathcal{N} \otimes J(U)$  on  $\mathcal{L}(U)$  is simply transitive.

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X/A} \otimes J(U) \rightarrow j^* T_{P/A} \otimes J(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes J(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

General Fact: If  $G$  is a sheaf of abelian groups, then the set of isomorphism classes of  $G$ -torsors on  $|X|$  are in bijection with  $H^1(X, G)$ .

In our case, we choose a covering of  $X = \cup_i U_i$  with  $U_i$  affine and  $s_i \in \mathcal{L}(U_i)$ .

On  $U_i \cap U_j$ , we get two sections  $s_i|_{U_{ij}}$  and  $s_j|_{U_{ij}}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(U_{ij})$ .

The action of  $\mathcal{N} \otimes J(U)$  on  $\mathcal{L}(U_{ij})$  is simply transitive, and this implies that there exists a unique  $x_{ij} \in \mathcal{N} \otimes J(U_{ij})$  such that  $x_{ij} * s_i|_{U_{ij}} = s_j|_{U_{ij}}$ . Now you check that  $\{x_{ij}\}$  is a Čech 1-cocycle, so we get a class in  $H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J)$ .

So now  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial iff  $\mathcal{L}(X) \neq \emptyset$  iff  $[\mathcal{L}] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J)$  is zero.

Summary:

1. There exists a canonical obstruction  $o(j) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J)$  whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a lifting of  $j$ .
2. The set of liftings  $j'$  of  $j$  form a torsor under  $H^0(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J)$  if  $o(j) = 0$ .

Reminder:  $0 \rightarrow T_{X/A} \rightarrow j^*T_{P/A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$  induces

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J) \rightarrow H^1(X, T_{X/A} \otimes J) \rightarrow H^1(X, j^*T_{P/A} \otimes J) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, T_{X/A} \otimes J)$$

. What is  $\delta(o(j))$ ? It's  $o(g)$  where  $g$  is the composition of  $j$  with the structure map  $P \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ .

Example: Let  $P$  be a smooth proper surface over  $k$  and  $X \subset P$  a smooth rational curve with  $X.X = -1$ . By Hartshorne V.1.4.1, we get that  $\deg \mathcal{N} = -1$ . So  $H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J) = 0$ ,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes J) = 0$ .

So  $H^1 = 0$  says that there is no obstruction to  $X$  being lifted onto  $P[\epsilon]$ , and  $H^0 = 0$  says that it is unique, and so it is  $X[\epsilon]$ .

Osserman

The Proof of Schlessinger's Theorem

(\*) is  $F(A' \times_A A'') \rightarrow F(A') \times_{F(A)} F(A'')$

H1 - (\*) is surjective if  $A'' \rightarrow A$  is a small thickening

H2 - (\*) is bijective if  $A'' = k[\epsilon]$  and  $A = k$

H3 -  $T_F$  is finite dimensional

H4 - (\*) is bijective if  $A' = A''$  and  $A' \rightarrow A$  is a small thickening

**Theorem 1** (Schlessinger). *Let  $F$  be a predeformation functor. Then  $F$  has a hull iff (H1), (H2), (H3) are satisfied.*

*$F$  is prorepresentable iff all four are satisfied.*

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $F$  be a deformation functor and  $A' \rightarrow A$  a small thickening with kernel  $I$ . For every  $\eta \in F(A)$ , when the set of  $\eta' \in F(A')$  restricting to  $\eta$  is nonempty, it has a transitive action of  $T_F \otimes_k I$ . This action commutes with any morphism  $F' \rightarrow F$  of deformation functors (H4) is satisfied iff for all  $A' \rightarrow A$  small thickenings, and all  $\eta \in F(A)$ , this morphism is free (whenever the set is nonempty).*

**Definition 5** (Essential). *A surjection  $p : A' \rightarrow A$  in  $\text{Art}(\Lambda, k)$  is essential if  $\forall q : A'' \rightarrow A$ ; such that  $pq$  is surjective, then  $q$  is surjective.*

**Lemma 3.** *If  $p$  is a small thickening,  $p$  is not essential iff  $p$  has a section.*

Example:  $k[\epsilon] \rightarrow k$  is not essential, but  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  is.

**Proposition 3.** *If (H1)-(H3) are satisfied, then  $F$  has a hull*

*Proof.* We will proceed first by constructing a hull, then proving that it is one.

We'll construct  $(R, \xi)$  with  $R \in \hat{\text{Art}}(\Lambda, k)$  and  $\xi \in \hat{F}(R)$  such that  $\bar{h}_R \xrightarrow{\xi} F$  is smooth and induces  $T_R \simeq T_F$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\Lambda$ ,  $r = \dim T_F$  which is finite by (H3), then set  $S = \Lambda[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal of  $S$ .

We will construct  $R$  as  $S/J$  where  $J = \bigcap_{i \geq 2} J_i$  and the  $J_i$  are constructed inductively.

$J_2 = \mathfrak{m}^2 + \mathfrak{n}S$ , and  $S/J_2 = k[T_S^*] = k[T_F^*] = k[\epsilon]^r$ .

Set  $R_2 = S/J_2$ , and use (H2) to construct a  $\xi_2 \in F(R_2)$  inducing a bijection  $T_{R_2} \rightarrow T_F$ .

So suppose we have  $R_{i-1} = S/J_{i-1}$  and  $\xi_{i-1} \in F(R_{i-1})$ . We'll choose  $J_i$  to be minimal among  $J$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{m}J_{i-1} \subseteq J \subseteq J_{i-1}$  and  $\xi_{i-1}$  can be lifted to an element of  $F(R_i)$ .

The first is preserved under arbitrary intersection, we need to check the second condition too.

Note:  $J$  satisfying the first condition corresponds to vector subspaces of  $J_{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}J_{i-1}$ , which is finite dimensional. This implies that it is enough to check finite (and thus pairwise) intersections.

Suppose that  $J, K$  satisfy our conditions. Claim:  $J \cap K$  does too. Again using  $J_{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}J_{i-1}$ , we can replace  $K$  without changing  $J \cap K$  so that  $J + K = J_{i-1}$ . Then  $S/J \times_{S/J_{i-1}} S/K = S/(J \cap K)$ . So by (H1), we have some element of  $F(S/(J \cap K))$  restricting to  $\xi_{i-1}$ , which means  $J \cap K$  satisfies our conditions.

So we can set  $J_i$  to be the minimal ideal satisfying the conditions. As stated before, we define  $J = \bigcap_{i \geq 2} J_i$  and  $R = S/J$ .

If  $R_i = S/J_i$ , because  $\mathfrak{m}^i \subseteq J_i$ , we have  $R = \varprojlim R/J_i$  and furthermore, there is an element  $\xi$  which is the limit of the  $\xi_i$ .

So  $(R, \xi)$  is our prospective hull.  $T_R \simeq T_F$  is immediate from the choice of  $\xi_2$ , smoothness is harder. Fix  $p : A' \rightarrow A$  a small thickening,  $\eta' \in F(A')$  such that  $p(\eta') = \eta \in F(A)$  and  $u : R \rightarrow A$  such that  $u(\xi) = \eta$ . Want a lift  $u' : R \rightarrow A'$  such that  $u'(\xi) = \eta'$

First, construct any  $u'$  lifting  $u$ . Since  $A$  is an Artin ring, it factors through  $R \rightarrow R_i$  for some  $i$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R_{i+1} & & \\
 \uparrow & \dashrightarrow & \\
 R & \xrightarrow{u'} & A' \\
 \downarrow & \searrow u & \downarrow p \\
 R_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 S & \xrightarrow{w} & R_i \times_A A' \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p_1 \\
 R_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R_i
 \end{array}$$

with  $p_1$  a small thickening. If we have a section, then no problem. If not, then  $p_1$  is essential, so we choose  $w$  as above, must be surjective. Enough to show  $\ker w \supset J_{i+1}$ . This follows from (H1).

So we have some  $u'$ , we want to have  $u'(\xi) = \eta'$ . But we have compatible transitive actions of  $T_F \otimes I \simeq T_R \otimes I$  of  $F(p)^{-1}(\eta)$  and  $h_R(p)^{-1}(\eta) = R \rightarrow A'$  such that  $R \rightarrow A$  sends  $\xi$  to  $\eta$ .

So  $\exists \tau \in T_F \otimes I$  sending  $u'(\xi)$  to  $\eta'$ . Then we can modify  $u'$  by  $\tau$  and we'll have the desired  $u'$  lifting  $u$  and sending  $\xi$  to  $\eta$ .  $\square$