

Librarian: levy@msri.org

Ravi Vakil

Why should people care about Deformation Theory?

We will be working over \mathbb{C} . And we take any kind of object and consider one, X . The question is, imprecisely, how can we deform X . Infinitesimally generally means roughly power series.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ nice} \\
\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \text{Spec } A
\end{array}$$

In algebraic geometry, nice means flat, at the least.

Sample application: Suppose scheme \mathcal{M} which parametrizes your objects. "fine moduli space" means that "every time there is a nice family of objects, then that corresponds to a map to \mathcal{M} , and vice versa" and we also need this to be a functor so that we get base change right.

If you aren't so lucky as to have a fine moduli space, then look for a coarse moduli space, which isn't perfect, but in a precise sense, it is the closest you can get. Otherwise, Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Questions with moduli spaces: pick a point (object) and look near that point. Find the formal nbhd, is it smooth? unibranch?

Helpful thing is then that if you can prove something about an object X_0 and show that it holds under deformation, then you have it for many other objects.

Example(Deligne-Mumford '69): The moduli space of genus g curves is irreducible. The space is called \mathcal{M}_g . The method used is to prove that it is connected and smooth. They solved the "harder" problem of compactifying the space.

So now, we take a moduli space which is a scheme, and let X be an object of the sort with (R, \mathfrak{m}) its local ring. We want to look at \hat{R} and we build it level by level.

Example: Take $\mathcal{M} = V(y^2 - x^3)$. So $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$. We get for the Tangent Space $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x, y)^2 \leftarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle (x, y)^3, y^2 \rangle \leftarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle (x, y)^4, y^2 - x^3 \rangle$. There is an obstruction space which, if zero, then the space is smooth.

There are three relevant spaces: aut/def/ob, and so if X is a smooth variety, $\text{Def} = H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$, $\text{ob} = H^2(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ is aut.

Exercise: \mathcal{M}_g for $g \geq 2$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$. What if X is singular? $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{def}$, $\text{ob} = \text{Ext}^2$ and $\text{aut} = H^0$. Fix X and deform E a vector bundle on X . Aut, the infinitesimal deformations, are $\text{hom}(E, E)$.

(Note: Derived Categories are lurking here)

Example: Manifold in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, assume $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is an embedding of a nonsingular projective variety. $\text{def}(X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n) = H^0(X, \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n})$, and to do things with it, we get the normal bundle sequence: $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0$, and we get the long exact sequence on homology.

$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\pi^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow H^1(\pi^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) \rightarrow \dots$ which is also $0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{def}\pi(\text{deform the embedding}) \rightarrow \text{def}(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n) \rightarrow \text{def}(X) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\pi) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n) \rightarrow \dots$

This generalizes, we can replace X by something singular and \mathbb{P}^n by Y .

Max Lieblich

What is a Moduli Space?

Well, objects seem to come in types

1. Varieties
2. Curves of genus g
3. Line Bundles on X
4. Maps between X and Y
5. Closed Subschemes of X (often $X = \mathbb{P}^n$)

6. Subspaces of a vector space

So $\{\text{pts of } \mathcal{M}_i\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{objects of flavor } i\}$
 Q: How should a scheme be described?

1. "Absolute", ie, give affine charts and glue them together
2. "Relative"

Analogy: Functions. Question: How should an element $f \in C^\infty([0, 1])$ be described.

There is the absolute method of just saying what f is at each point. The relative way uses the pairing $g \in C^\infty$ and takes $\int_0^1 fg \in \mathbb{R}$. So this gives $L_f : C^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g \mapsto \int fg$, and there is a theorem $L : C^\infty \rightarrow \text{hom}(C^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is an injective linear transformation.

We can do the same thing in any category \mathcal{C} . We take the pairing $X, Y \mapsto \text{hom}(X, Y)$, a set. So $Y \mapsto \text{hom}(Y, X)$ called $h_X(Y)$, gives us a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}^\circ, \text{Sets})$, and injectivity corresponds to being fully faithful.

$Z \rightarrow Y$ gives $h_X(Y) \rightarrow h_X(Z)$ by composition. h_X is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to sets, or a covariant functor from \mathcal{C}° to Sets.

Example: $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, ie, all schemes. $h_{\mathbb{A}^1}(Y) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$ gives the pullback map on functions. $h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(Y) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*)$ and $h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(Y) = \{\mathcal{O}_Y^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ surjective, with } \mathcal{L} \text{ invertible on } Y\} / \simeq$.

Now, Func is a category, with objects being functors and morphisms being natural transformations. Analogue of Bumps is

Lemma 1 (Yoneda's Lemma). $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}^\circ, \text{Sets})$ is fully faithful.

eg, $h_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow h_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ will always come from a unique map of schemes $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proof. Faithful: Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , then $h_f, h_g : h_X \rightarrow h_Y$. Show that $h_f = h_g$ implies $f = g$. If $h_f(T) : h_X(T) \rightarrow h_Y(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{C}$ and $h_f(X) = h_X(X) \rightarrow h_Y(X)$, that is $\text{id}_X \mapsto f$.

$h_g(X) : h_X(X) \rightarrow h_Y(X)$ takes $\text{id}_X \mapsto g$.

Full: Given $F : h_X \rightarrow h_Y$, show that $F = h_f$ for some $f : X \rightarrow Y$, take $\phi : T \rightarrow X$. Then $h_X(X) \rightarrow h_X(T)$ takes $\text{id}_X \rightarrow \phi$.

DIAGRAM CHASE □

h_X is called the functor of points of X .

Example: $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ by $x \mapsto x^2$ works on all points and describes a natural transformation $h_{\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow h_{\mathbb{G}_m}$.

Philosophy: Functor=generalized space and schemes are a distinguished class of spaces.

Instead of $F : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ think of F and take its internal structure as $F(T)$.

Exercise of Yoneda Type: \exists a natural bijection $\text{hom}(h_T, \mathcal{F}) \simeq F(T)$

Definition 1 (Representable Functor). A functor F is representable if there exists $X \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $F \simeq h_X$.

Anything we can do with sets, we can do with functors to sets.

eg: $F, G \rightarrow H$ lets us create fiber product $F \times_H G(T) = F(T) \times_{H(T)} G(T)$. This works!

Q: What is the functor of points of M_i ?

Look at $M_0(T) = \{X \rightarrow T | \text{finite presentation, flat, geometrically integral fibers}\} / \simeq$.

$M_1(T) = \{C \rightarrow T | \text{proper, smooth, finite presentation, fibers are smooth of genus } g\} / \simeq$.

$M_2(T) = \{\mathcal{L} \text{ invertible on } X \times T\} / \simeq$.

$M_3(T) = \{\text{hom}_T(X \times T, Y \times T)\}$

$M_4(T) = \{Z \rightarrow X \times T \text{ over } T, Z \text{ is } T\text{-flat closed immersion of } X \times T, \text{ finite presentation}\} / \simeq$.

$M_5(T) = \{W \subset V \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \text{ such that coker is locally free}\}$

Martin Olsson

Goal for first week is examples, second week is to get to cotangent complexes.

Motivation: $k = \bar{k}$, X/k is a scheme of finite type over k , and $x \in X(k)$.

Definition 2 (Tangent Space). The tangent space of X at x is the dual of the k -vector space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ where $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ is the maximal ideal.

Dual Numbers. Let R be a ring, I an R -module, and $R[I]$ the ring of dual numbers. As a group, $R[I] = R \oplus I$ with $(r, i)(r', i') = (rr', r'i + ri')$

We have the inclusion of $R \rightarrow R[I]$ followed by the projection equal to id.

Remark 1: $R[I]$ is functorial in I . $g : I \rightarrow J$ induces a map $R[I] \rightarrow R[J]$ over R .

Remark 2: $I = R$, we write $R[\epsilon]$ for $R[I]$ (really should be $R[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$)

Remark 3: Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{O} is a sheaf of rings on X and I is an \mathcal{O} -module. We can define $\mathcal{O}[I]$.

In particular, if X is a scheme and I is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module, then we get a ringed space $X[I]$ given by $(|X|, \mathcal{O}_X[I])$.

Exercise: Show that $X[I]$ is a scheme.

Relationship with Derivations:

Let $A \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism and M and R -module. An A -derivation from R to M is an A -linear map $\partial : R \rightarrow M$ such that $\partial(xy) = \partial(x)y + x\partial(y)$. This gives an R -module $\text{Der}_A(R, M)$.

We look at A -algebras over R , that is, the category of pairs (C, f) where C is an A -algebra and $f : C \rightarrow R$ is a map of A -algebras.

Lemma 2. For any A -derivation $\partial : R \rightarrow I$ the induced map $R \rightarrow R[I]$, $x \mapsto x + \partial(x)$ is a morphism in $A\text{-alg}/R$ and the induced map $\text{Der}_A(R, I) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{A\text{-alg}/R}(R, R[I])$ is a bijection.

Proof. $s : R \rightarrow R[I]$ in $A\text{-alg}/R$ by $x \mapsto (x, \partial(x))$. A map of A -algebras corresponds to $\delta(x) = 0$ if x is in the image of A .

Composition with multiplication corresponds to $(xy, \delta(xy)) = (x, \delta(x))(y, \delta(y)) = (xy, x\delta(y) + y\delta(x))$ says that δ is a derivation. \square

Remark: $f : C \rightarrow R$ surj in $A\text{-alg}/R$ and that $I = \ker f$ is square-zero. Then any section $s : R \rightarrow C$ induces an isomorphism $R[I] \simeq C$ by the short 5-lemma.

Special Case: $C = R \otimes_A R/J^2$ where J is $\ker(R \otimes_A R \rightarrow R)$ maps to R via f . If $I = J/J^2 = \Omega_{R/A}^1$ then $s : R \rightarrow C$ by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ induces an isomorphism $R \otimes_A R/J^2 \simeq R[\Omega_{R/A}^1]$.

This implies that $\text{Der}_A(R, \Omega_{R/A}^1) \rightarrow$ sections of the diagonal map $R \otimes_A R/J^2 \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism.

So what is the universal derivation $R \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{R/A}^1$?

We have $\Omega_{R/A}^1 = J/J^2$ and $d : R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/A}^1 = J/J^2$ given by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$ causes us to get the dual number $(x, 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1)$

??? Exercise: Work This Out.

The Tangent Space of a Functor: Take Mod_R to be the category of finitely generated R -modules and $H : \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Set}$ a functor which commutes with finite products. IE, $H(I \times J) = H(I) \times H(J)$.

Proposition 1. H factors canonically through the category of R -modules as $\text{Mod}_R \rightarrow R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ with the last being a forgetful functor.

Proof. $I \times I \rightarrow I$ by $(i, j) \mapsto i + j$ gives an additive structure by $H(I) \times H(I) \simeq H(I \times I) \rightarrow H(I)$.

Thus we get a multiplicative structure $f \in R, \cdot f : H(I) \xrightarrow{H(\cdot)} H(I)$.

$A\text{-alg}/R$ has finite products, so $f : C \rightarrow R$ and $f' : C' \rightarrow R$ is given by $(C, f) \times (C', f') = (C \times_R C', (x, y) \mapsto f(x) = f'(y))$

Lemma 3. The functor $\text{Mod}_R \rightarrow A\text{-alg}/R$ by $I \mapsto (R[I], \pi : R[I] \rightarrow R)$ commutes with finite products

Proof. Let $I, J \in \text{Mod}_R$. Then $R[I \times J] \rightarrow R[I] \times_R R[J]$ is an isomorphism over R . \square

Corollary 1. $F : A\text{-alg}/R \rightarrow \text{Set}$ such that $I, J \in \text{Mod}_R$ the map $F(R[I] \times_R R[J]) \rightarrow F(R[I]) \times F(R[J])$ is an isomorphism, then for all $I \in \text{Mod}_R$ the set $F(R[I])$ has a canonical R -module structure.

Reason: $F(R[I])$ is the image of I under $I \mapsto R[I]$ so $\text{Mod}_R \rightarrow A\text{-alg}/R \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ commutes with finite products.

Definition 3 (Tangent Space of a Functor). Let $F : A\text{-alg}/R \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be a functor satisfying the condition in the corollary. Then the tangent space of F denoted T_F is the R -module $F(R[\epsilon])$.

Remark: Enough that F is defined on full subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset A\text{-alg}/R$ closed under finite products and containing $R[[t]]$'s. \square

Brian Osserman

Functors of Artin Rings: Representability and Schlessinger's Criterion.

We've seen that a scheme X can be completely recovered from its functor of points (Yoneda) and under mild hypotheses, the tangent space is recovered by looking at maps $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \rightarrow X$.

We'll look at something in between: $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ (with image some $x \in X$)

From a Moduli perspective, we're studying families over $\text{Spec } A$ (one point) with a fixed restriction to $\text{Spec } k$. These are called infinitesimal thickenings and the data obtained is the complete local ring of the moduli space at the chosen point.

Recovering Complete Local Rings: Temporary Notation: $\text{Art}(k)$ is the category of local Artin rings with residue field k (morphisms compatible with map to k). Given X a locally Noetherian scheme and $x \in X$, with $k = k(x)$. Let $F_{X,x} : \text{Art}(k) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ given by $(A \rightarrow k) \mapsto \{f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X \mid \text{such that } [\text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{x} X] = f \circ [\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } A]\}$.

Proposition 2. *Given X locally Noetherian, $x \in X$, then the canonical map $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow X$ induces $F_{\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}, X} \simeq F_{X,x}$, and any complete local Noetherian ring R (with residue field k) with $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow X$ inducing a bijection is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$*

Note: The last part anticipates prorepresentability

Proof. The first statement is equivalent to saying that any map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ with image x factors uniquely $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow X$. It's an easy exercise that it factors uniquely through $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow X$ (indeed, this is true for any local ring). So we need $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow A$ factors uniquely through $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$. But since A is an Artin ring, so some power \mathfrak{m}_x^n maps to 0 in A . IE $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow A$ factors through some $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^n$. So we get a factorization through $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$.

The second part is that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^n$ and R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n both give Artin rings for all n . Using a Yoneda style trick, construct compatible maps $R \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n$, we construct ??? \square

Remarks: What data is in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$?

1. Dimension of X at x
2. Singularity type of X at x , something similar to a local ring of an analytic space
3. eg: Cohen then X is smooth over k of dimension n we have $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.
4. eg: $y^2 = t^3 - t^2$ is a nodal cubic, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq k[[u, v]]/(uv)$.
5. eg: $y^2 = t^3$ is a cuspidal cubic, get $k[[x, y]]/(y^2 - x^3) \not\simeq k[[s]]$ even though homeomorphic.

The functors of interest.

We work in the relative setting. We'll fix Λ a complete local Noetherian ring with residue field k , we'll consider $\text{Art}(\Lambda, k)$ of Artin local Λ -algebras with residue field k .

Nonstandard terminology: A predeformation functor is a functor $F : \text{Art}(\Lambda, k) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ such that $F(k)$ is the one-point set.

Roughly, these arise by considering families over $\text{Spec } A$ restricting to a fixed object over $\text{Spec } k$. Starting with a global moduli functor, can obtain a predeformation functor by choosing an object over k and restricting attention to Artin rings (not necessarily a good idea).