MODULI SPACES AND DEFORMATION THEORY, CLASS 11

RAVI VAKIL

Contents

- 1. First order deformations of nonsingular varieties X/k
- 2. Artin rings

1 2

1. First order deformations of nonsingular varieties X/k

Definition. First-order deformations of $f: X \to k$ are precisely fibered diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \to & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & f \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} k & \to & \operatorname{Spec} k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \end{array}$$

where f is flat.

(Note that the left side is X/k; automorphisms of X don't come into it!)

Denote these Def(X/k). I'll define deformations (with no adjective) later today.

Exercise. Suppose we have some nice moduli stack, e.g. \mathcal{M}_g . Sow that there is a bijection between first-order deformations and the tangent space to the Deligne-Mumford stack.

Note that the tangent space to a Deligne-Mumford stack has a natural k-vector space structure, but it isn't clear that these diagrams do!

Theorem. Def(X/k) is naturally in bijection with $H^1(X, T_X)$.

(Note that the right side also has a vector space structure!)

Proof in a few minutes.

Exercise. If X is a nonsingular curve of genus at least 2, then $h^1(X, T_X) = 3g - 3$.

We'll later see that this means that \mathcal{M}_g is smooth.

Date: Tuesday, October 17, 2000.

Remark. Note that the automorphism group of the curve acts on $H^1(X, T_X)$. (Make geometric comment about M_q .)

Flatness lemma. (Eisenbud Cor. 6.2, p. 163 — this is one of the five basic things one should know about flatness.) If M is a $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -module, then M is flat iff

$$M/\epsilon M \stackrel{\epsilon \times}{\to} \epsilon M$$

is an isomorphism. (Clearly it is surjective. This states that multiplication doesn't kill any more than necessary.)

Using the above, we see that first-order deformations of X are precisely given by infinitesimals extensions of X by \mathcal{O}_X , completing the proof of the theorem.

2. Artin rings

Motivation. Show that \mathcal{M}_g is nonsingular. By way of: \mathcal{M}_g is nonsingular at a point $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$. Infinitesimal lifting property (and finite type) gives it to us.

More generally, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is nonsingular, and boundary divisors intersect transversely. We'll show this by understanding the "deformation space of a node". (Sketch.)

Definition. An Artin ring is a ring satisfying the ascending chain condition. For rings over a field k, this precisely those rings that are finite-dimensional vector spaces. (Draw picture.) A local Artin ring is an Artin ring with only one maximal ideal, e.g. $k|x, y, z|/(x^2, y^3, z^4 - x - y)$.

Example: The *n*th-order formal neighborhood of a *k*-valued point of a scheme X. Locally, it looks like (A, \mathfrak{m}) ; the neighborhood is A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} .

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of local Artin rings over k, with residue field k. In other words, the objects are (A, \mathfrak{m}) with residue field k, and morphisms induce isomorphim of the residue field.

Non-example. We've lost some Artin rings. For example, the second-order formal neighborhood of (p) in Spec \mathbb{Z} is (Spec of) \mathbb{Z}/p^2 , which is not a \mathbb{Z}/p -algebra.

Universal example. These are precisely the nth order formal neighbourhoods of schemes over k that are locally of finite type (or even locally of finite presentation).

Let \hat{C} be the category of *complete* Noetherian local k-algebras, with residue field k, for which A/\mathfrak{m}^n is in C for all n. Notice that C is a full subcategory of C.

Example. A formal neighborhood of a k-valued point of a scheme over k, i.e. the inverse limit of its nth order rings. Usually denoted Spf rather than Spec, to remind you of the limit, and the topology involved.

Denote t_A^* by $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$; the Zariski cotangent space of Spec A.

Here are some basic facts about Artin rings.

I forgot to mention (but will next day): Algebra exercise. A morphism $B \to A$ in \mathcal{C} is surjective if and only if the induced map $t_B^* \to t_A^*$ is surjective. \mathcal{C} replaced by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

We can also check when a morphism C is (formally) smooth. (I've put formally in brackets, as quasicompactness is automatic.)

Definition. Suppose $G \to F$, in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Then we need to check if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Spf} A & \to & \operatorname{Spf} F \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spf} B & \to & \operatorname{Spf} G \end{array}$$

where B is an extension of A by a square-zero ideal, and B and A are in C. Then we say $\operatorname{Spec} F \to \operatorname{Spec} G$ is smooth .

Don't be scared by Spf; just do this on rings. I've written Spf so as to keep the arrows going in the geometric direction.

Remove square-zero! Replace by surjection $B \to A$, again, to check this, just need to check on tangent spaces.

Similarly, you can define *etale* (exists exactly one) and *unramified* (at most one).

Thus $\operatorname{Spf} F \to \operatorname{Spf} G$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is smooth (etale, unr) if for all $\operatorname{Spf} A \to \operatorname{Spf} B$ in \mathcal{C} , where $B \to A$ is surjective,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F,B) \to \operatorname{Hom}(F,A) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}_{G,A}} \operatorname{Hom}(G,B)$$

as sets, is surjective, (bijective, injective).

Exercise. It is equivalent to require that B and A are in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. (Sketch why.)

Exercise. (a) Spf $F \to \text{Spf } G$ is smooth iff F is a power series ring over G. (Etale: isomorphism; unramified: closed immersion.)

- (b) A composition of smooth morphisms is smooth. (Etale, unr.)
- (c) If $u: \mathrm{Spf}\, F \to \mathrm{Spf}\, G$ and $v: \mathrm{Spf}\, G \to \mathrm{Spf}\, H$ and u is surjective and vu is smooth, then v is smooth.
 - (d) Smoothness is preserved by base change. (Etale, unr.)

We talked at length about Spf.