## Math 210C. The dual root system and the Q-structure on root systems

By our definition, for a root system $(V, \Phi)$ we assume $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over some field $k$ of characteristic 0 . In practice, the only cases of interest are $k=\mathbf{Q}$ (for "algebraic" aspects) and $k=\mathbf{R}$ (for geometric arguments with Weyl chambers later, as well as for applications to compact Lie groups). In this handout, we explain how the general case reduces to the case with $k=\mathbf{Q}$. Along the way, we introduce and use the notion of the dual root system.

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: V \times V^{*} \rightarrow k$ be the evaluation pairing. For each $a \in \Phi$, the uniquely determined reflection $r_{a}: V \simeq V$ has the form

$$
r_{a}(v)=v-\left\langle v, a^{v}\right\rangle a
$$

for a unique $a^{\vee} \in V^{*}$ (the coroot associated to $a$ ) that is required to satisfy the integrality condition $a^{\vee}(\Phi) \subset \mathbf{Z} \subset k$. The condition $r_{a}(a)=-a$ forces $\left\langle a, a^{\vee}\right\rangle=2$; in particular, $a^{\vee} \neq 0$. We saw in class that $a^{\vee}$ uniquely determines $a$, so the set $\Phi^{\vee} \subset V^{*}-\{0\}$ of coroots is in bijection with $\Phi$ via $a \mapsto a^{\vee}$. We define the reflection $r_{a \vee}=\left(r_{a}\right)^{*}: V^{*} \simeq V^{*}$ to be dual to $r_{a}$ (i.e., $r_{a \vee}(\ell)=\ell \circ r_{a}$ ); this is a reflection since it is dual to a reflection. More specifically:

$$
r_{a^{\vee}}\left(v^{*}\right)=v^{*}-\left\langle a, v^{*}\right\rangle a^{\vee}
$$

since evaluating the left side on $v^{\prime} \in V$ gives

$$
v^{*}\left(r_{a}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)=v^{*}\left(v^{\prime}-\left\langle v^{\prime}, a^{\vee}\right\rangle a\right)=v^{*}\left(v^{\prime}\right)-\left\langle v^{\prime}, a^{\vee} v^{*}(a)=v^{*}\left(v^{\prime}\right)-\left\langle a, v^{*}\right\rangle a^{\vee}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right.
$$

which is the right side evaluated on $v^{\prime}$.
We aim to show that ( $V^{*}, \Phi^{\vee}$ ) equipped with these dual reflections is a root system (called the dual root system). This requires establishing two properties: $\Phi^{\vee}$ spans $V^{*}$ over $k$, and $r_{a^{\vee}}\left(\Phi^{\vee}\right)=\Phi^{\vee}$ for all $a \in \Phi$. For this latter equality, we will actually prove the more precise result that $r_{a^{\vee}}\left(b^{\vee}\right)=r_{a}(b)^{\vee}$ for all $a, b \in \Phi$. The spanning property turns out to lie a bit deeper for general $k$, and is tied up with proving that root systems have canonical Q-structures.

## 1. Coroot reflections and spanning over $\mathbf{Q}$

Let's first show that $r_{a^{\vee}}\left(\Phi^{\vee}\right)=\Phi^{\vee}$ for all $a \in \Phi$, or more precisely:
Proposition 1.1. For all $a, b \in \Phi, r_{a \vee}\left(b^{\vee}\right)=r_{a}(b)^{\vee}$.
Proof. By the unique characterization of the coroot associated to a root, we want to show that the linear form $r_{a^{\vee}}\left(b^{\vee}\right) \in V^{*}$ satisfies the condition that

$$
r_{r_{a}(b)}(x)=x-\left\langle x, r_{a^{\vee}}\left(b^{\vee}\right)\right\rangle r_{a}(b)
$$

for all $x \in V$. To do this, we seek another expression for $r_{r_{a}(b)}$.
Let $\Gamma$ be the finite subgroup of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ that preserve the finite spanning set $\Phi$, so all reflections $r_{c}$ lie in $\Gamma(c \in \Phi)$. Inside $\Gamma$ there is at most one reflection negating a given line (since $\Gamma$ is finite and $k$ has characteristic 0 ), so since $r_{b} \in \Gamma$ is uniquely determined by the property that it is a reflection negating the line $k b$, it follows that $r_{a} r_{b} r_{a}^{-1} \in \Gamma$ is uniquely determined as being a reflection that negates $r_{a}(k b)=k r_{a}(b)$. But $r_{r_{a}(b)} \in \Gamma$ is also such an element, so we conclude that

$$
\underset{r_{a}(b)}{ }=r_{a} r_{b} r_{a}^{-1}=r_{a} r_{b} r_{a} .
$$

Evaluating on $v \in V$, we get that

$$
v-\left\langle v, r_{a}(b)^{\vee}\right\rangle r_{a}(b)=r_{a}\left(r_{b}\left(r_{a}(v)\right)\right) .
$$

Applying $r_{a}=r_{a}^{-1}$ to both sides, we get

$$
r_{a}(v)-\left\langle v, r_{a}(b)^{\vee}\right\rangle b=r_{b}\left(r_{a}(v)\right)=r_{a}(v)-\left\langle r_{a}(v), b^{\vee}\right\rangle b
$$

Hence, $\left\langle v, r_{a}(b)^{\vee}\right\rangle=\left\langle r_{a}(v), b^{\vee}\right\rangle=\left\langle v, r_{a^{\vee}}\left(b^{\vee}\right)\right\rangle$ by definition of the dual reflection $r_{a \vee}:=\left(r_{a}\right)^{*}$. This holds for all $v \in V$, so $r_{a^{\vee}}\left(b^{\vee}\right)=r_{a}(b)^{\vee}$.

To prove that $\Phi^{\vee}$ spans $V^{*}$, we will first give an argument that works when $k=\mathbf{Q}$, and then we will bootstrap that to the general case.
Proposition 1.2. If $k=\mathbf{Q}$ then $\Phi^{\vee}$ spans $V^{*}$. In particular, $\left(V^{*}, \Phi^{\vee}\right)$ is a root system when $k=\mathbf{Q}$.

The proof we give works verbatim over $\mathbf{R}$, or any ordered field at all. (The special roles of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ is that they admit unique order structures as fields.)

Proof. Choose a positive-definite quadratic form $q: V \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$, and by averaging this over the finite Weyl group $W=W(\Phi)$ we arrive at a positive-definite $q$ that is $W$-invariant. Hence, the associated symmetric bilinear form $B=B_{q}$ is $W$-invariant in the sense that $B_{q}\left(w . v, w . v^{\prime}\right)=B_{q}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ for all $v, v^{\prime} \in V$ and $w \in W$, and it is non-degenerate since $B_{q}(v, v)=2 q(v)>0$ for $v \neq 0$. This bilinear form defines a $W$-equivariant isomorphism $V \simeq V^{*}$ via $v \mapsto B_{q}(v, \cdot)=B_{q}(\cdot, v)$.

For each root $a$, the reflection $r_{a}: V \simeq V$ induces negation on the line $L$ spanned by $a$, so it restricts to an automorphism of the $B_{q}$-orthogonal hyperplane $H=L^{\perp}$. But $L \cap L^{\perp}=0$ since $q$ is positive-definite, so addition $L \oplus L^{\perp} \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. Since $L^{\perp}$ is characterized in terms of $L$ and $B_{q}$, and $W$ leaves $B_{q}$ invariant, so $r_{a}$ leaves $B_{q}$ invariant, the $r_{a}$-stability of $L$ implies the same for $L^{\perp}$. But the eigenvalue -1 for $r_{a}$ is already accounted for on $L$, so the finite-order automorphism of $L^{\perp}$ arising from $r_{a}$ has only 1 as an eigenvalue, and hence $\left.r_{a}\right|_{L^{\perp}}$ must be the identity. Writing $v \in V$ as $v=v^{\prime}+c a$ for $v^{\prime} \in L^{\perp}$ and a scalar $c$,

$$
r_{a}(v)=v^{\prime}-c a=\left(v^{\prime}+c a\right)-2 c a=v-2 c a
$$

and $B_{q}(v, a)=B_{q}\left(v^{\prime}, a\right)+c B_{q}(a, a)=c B_{q}(a, a)$ with $B_{q}(a, a) \neq 0$.
We conclude that $c=B_{q}(v, a) / B_{q}(a, a)$, so

$$
r_{a}(v)=v-2 c a=v-\frac{2 B_{q}(v, a)}{B_{q}(a, a)} a=v-B_{q}\left(v, a^{\prime}\right) a
$$

where $a^{\prime}:=2 a / B_{q}(a, a)$. In other words, the identification of $V^{*}$ with $V$ via $B_{q}$ identifies $a^{\vee} \in V^{*}$ with $a^{\prime}=2 a / B_{q}(a, a) \in V$. This is traditionally written as:

$$
a^{\vee}=\frac{2 a}{(a \mid a)}
$$

with $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ denoting a positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on $V$ that is $W$-invariant (and the role of this choice of such positive-definite form in the identification of $V^{*}$ with $V$ has to be remembered when using that formula!).

Now we're ready to show $\Phi^{\vee}$ spans $V^{*}$. If not, its span is contained in some hyperplane in $V^{*}$, and a hyperplane in $V^{*}$ is nothing more or less than the set of linear forms that kill a specified nonzero $v \in V$. Hence, there would exist some nonzero $v \in V$ such that $\left\langle v, a^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$ for all $a \in \Phi$. The identification of $V^{*}$ with $V$ via $B_{q}$ carries the evaluation pairing between $V^{*}$ and $V$ over to the symmetric bilinear form $B_{q}$, so the coroot $a^{\vee}$ is brought to $a^{\prime}=2 a / B_{q}(a, a)$. Thus,

$$
0=\left\langle v, a^{\vee}\right\rangle=B_{q}\left(v, a^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 B_{q}(v, a)}{B_{q}(a, a)}
$$

for all $a \in \Phi$. In other words, $v$ is $B_{q}$-orthogonal to all $a \in \Phi$. But $\Phi$ spans $V$ (!), so $v$ is $B_{q}$-orthogonal to the entirety of $V$, a contradiction since $v \neq 0$ and $B_{q}$ is non-degenerate.

## 2. The spanning property over general $k$

The verification of the root system properties for $\left(V^{*}, \Phi^{\vee}\right)$ when $k$ is general shall now be deduced from the settled case $k=\mathbf{Q}$. The trick is to introduce an auxiliary $\mathbf{Q}$-structure, apply the result over $\mathbf{Q}$ there, and then return to the situation over $k$. To that end, let $V_{0}=\mathbf{Q} \Phi$ denote the $\mathbf{Q}$-span of $\Phi$ inside $V$, and write $a_{0}$ to denote $a$ viewed inside $V_{0}$. Also write $\Phi_{0} \subset V_{0}$ to denote $\Phi$ viewed inside $V_{0}$.

Note that since $r_{a}(\Phi)=\Phi$ for all $a$, we see that $r_{a}\left(V_{0}\right)=V_{0}$ for all $a$. Likewise, by the integrality hypothesis, $a^{\vee}(\Phi) \subset \mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Q}$ for all $a$, so $a^{\vee}\left(V_{0}\right) \subset \mathbf{Q}$ for all $a$. Hence, we get Q-linear form $a_{0}^{\vee}: V_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ that is the restriction of $a^{\vee}$, and for all $v_{0} \in V_{0}$ we have

$$
r_{a}\left(v_{0}\right)=v_{0}-\left\langle v_{0}, a^{\vee}\right\rangle a_{0}=v_{0}-\left\langle v_{0}, a_{0}^{\vee}\right\rangle a_{0}
$$

Thus, $\left(V_{0}, \Phi_{0}\right)$ is a root system over $\mathbf{Q}$ with associated reflections $r_{a_{0}}=\left.r_{a}\right|_{V_{0}}$ for all $a_{0} \in$ $\Phi_{0}=\Phi$, so the associated coroot is $a_{0}^{\vee}$. It follows from the settled case over $\mathbf{Q}$ that we have a dual root system $\left(V_{0}^{*}, \Phi_{0}^{\vee}\right)$ where $V_{0}^{*}$ denotes the $\mathbf{Q}$-dual of $V_{0}$ and $\Phi_{0}^{\vee}$ is the set of $\mathbf{Q}$-linear forms $a_{0}^{\vee}$. In particular, the elements $a_{0}^{\vee} \in V_{0}^{*}$ are a spanning set over $\mathbf{Q}$ by the settled case over $\mathbf{Q}$ !

Consider the natural $k$-linear map $f: k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{0} \rightarrow V$. This carries $1 \otimes a_{0}$ to $a$ for all $a \in \Phi$, so it is surjective (since $\Phi$ spans $V$ over $k$ ). Moreover, the $k$-linear form $a^{\vee}: V \rightarrow k$ is compatible with the scalar extension of $a_{0}^{\vee}: V_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ under this surjection since we can compare against the sets $\Phi_{0}$ and $\Phi$ that compatibly span $V$ over $k$ and $V_{0}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ respectively. Once we show that $f$ is also injective, it follows that $a^{\vee}$ is identified with the scalar extension of $a_{0}^{\vee}$, so in fact the initial root system $(V, \Phi)$ is obtained by scalar extension from the root system $\left(V_{0}, \Phi_{0}\right)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. (The notion of scalar extension for root systems is defined in an evident manner.) In this sense, every root system will have a canonical $\mathbf{Q}$-structure. This is why the case $k=\mathbf{Q}$ is essentially the "general" case (though it is very convenient to perform certain later arguments after scalar extension from $\mathbf{Q}$ to $\mathbf{R}$ ).

Why is $f$ also injective? It is equivalent to show that the dual $k$-linear map $f^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow$ $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{0}^{*}$ is surjective. In other words, we seek a spanning set in $V^{*}$ over $k$ that is carried to a spanning set for $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{0}^{*}$ over $k$. Well, $f^{*}\left(a^{\vee}\right)=a^{\vee} \circ f=1 \otimes a_{0}^{\vee}$ (the compatibility of $a^{\vee}$ and $a_{0}^{\vee}$ that has already been noted), so it remains to recall that the coroots $a_{0}^{\vee}$ in $V_{0}^{*}$ are a spanning set over $\mathbf{Q}$ !

