

MATH 210C. THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION

Let G be a Lie group. One of the most basic tools in the investigation of the structure of G is the conjugation action of G on itself: for $g \in G$ we define $c_g : G \rightarrow G$ to be the C^∞ automorphism $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$. (This is not interesting when G is commutative, but we will see later that *connected* commutative Lie groups have a rather simple form in general.)

The *adjoint representation* of G on its tangent space $\mathfrak{g} = T_e(G)$ at the identity is the homomorphism

$$\text{Ad}_G : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by $\text{Ad}_G(g) = dc_g(e)$. This is a homomorphism due to the Chain Rule: since $c_{g'} \circ c_g = c_{g'g}$ and $c_g(e) = e$, we have

$$\text{Ad}_G(g'g) = dc_{g'}(e) \circ dc_g(e) = \text{Ad}_G(g') \circ \text{Ad}_G(g).$$

In this handout we prove the smoothness of Ad_G (which the course text seems to have overlooked), compute the derivative

$$d(\text{Ad}_G)(e) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_1(\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$$

at the identity, and use Ad_G to establish a very useful formula relating the Lie bracket rather directly to the group law on G near e .

1. SMOOTHNESS AND EXAMPLES

To get a feeling for the adjoint representation, let's consider the case $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$ for $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbf{F})$, a parametric curve in G through the identity with velocity vector X at $t = 0$ is $\alpha_X(t) := \exp(tX)$. Thus, the differential $\text{Ad}_G(g) = dc_g(e)$ sends $X = \alpha'_X(0)$ to the velocity at $t = 0$ of the parametric curve

$$c_g \circ \alpha_X : t \mapsto g \exp(tX) g^{-1} = 1 + gtXg^{-1} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{t^j}{j!} gX^j g^{-1},$$

so clearly this has velocity gXg^{-1} at $t = 0$. In other words, $\text{Ad}_G(g)$ is g -conjugation on $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbf{F})$. This is visibly smooth in g .

We can use a similar parametric curve method to compute $d(\text{Ad}_G)(e)$ for $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$, as follows. Choose $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, so $\alpha_X(t) := \exp(tX)$ is a parametric curve in G with $\alpha'_X(0) = X$. Hence, $d(\text{Ad}_G)(e)(X)$ is the velocity at $t = 0$ of the parametric curve $\text{Ad}_G(\exp(tX)) \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. In other words, it is the derivative at $t = 0$ of the parametric curve $c_{\exp(tX)} \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. For $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\exp(tX) \circ Y \circ \exp(-tX) = (1+tX+t^2(\cdot)) \circ Y \circ (1-tX+t^2(\cdot)) = (Y+tXY+t^2(\cdot)) \circ (1-tX+t^2(\cdot)),$$

and this is equal to $Y + t(XY - YX) + t^2(\dots)$, so its $\text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ -valued velocity vector at $t = 0$ is the usual commutator $XY - YX$ that we know to be the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} .

Next we take up the proof of smoothness in general. First, we localize the problem near the identity using the elementary:

Lemma 1.1. *Let G and H be Lie groups. A homomorphism of groups $f : G \rightarrow H$ is continuous if it is continuous at the identity, and it is C^∞ if it is C^∞ near the identity.*

Proof. The left-translation $\ell_g : G \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism carrying e to g , and likewise $\ell_{f(g)} : H \rightarrow H$ is a homeomorphism. Since

$$(1.1) \quad f \circ \ell_g = \ell_{f(g)} \circ f$$

(as f is a homomorphism), continuity of f at g is equivalent to continuity of f at e . This settles the continuity aspect. In a similar manner, since left translations are diffeomorphisms and ℓ_g carries an open neighborhood of e onto one around g (and similarly for $\ell_{f(g)}$ on H), if f is C^∞ on an open U around e then f is also C^∞ on the open $\ell_g(U)$ around g due to (1.1). Since the C^∞ -property is local on G , it holds for f if it does so on an open set around every point. \blacksquare

Finally, we prove smoothness of Ad_G . Since the conjugation-action map $c : G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by $(g, g') \mapsto gg'g^{-1}$ is C^∞ and $c(e, e) = e$, we can choose a open coordinate domains $U \subset U' \subset G$ around e so that $c(U \times U) \subset U'$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a coordinate system on U' with $x_i(e) = 0$, and define $f_i = x_i \circ c : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as a function on $U \times U \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ denote the resulting product coordinate system on $U \times U$.

Each f_i is smooth and $c_g : U \rightarrow U'$ has i th component function $f_i(g, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with $g \in U$. Thus, the matrix $\text{Ad}_G(g) = d(c_g)(e) \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbf{R})$ has ij -entry equal to $(\partial f_i / \partial z_j)(0)$. Hence, smoothness of Ad_G on U reduces to the evident smoothness of each $\partial f_i / \partial z_j$ in the first n coordinates y_1, \dots, y_n on $U \times U$ (after specializing the second factor U to e). By the preceding Lemma, this smoothness on U propagates to smoothness for Ad_G on the entirety of G since Ad_G is a homomorphism.

2. KEY FORMULA FOR THE LIE BRACKET

For our Lie group G , choose $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In class we mentioned the fact (to be proved next time) that there is a unique Lie group homomorphism $\alpha_X : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow G$ satisfying $\alpha'_X(0) = X$. The automorphism $\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))$ of \mathfrak{g} therefore makes sense and as a point in the open subset $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ it depends smoothly on t (since Ad_G is smooth). Evaluation on Y for this matrix-valued path defines a smooth path

$$t \mapsto \text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))(Y)$$

valued in \mathfrak{g} . We claim that the velocity of this latter path at $t = 0$ is $[X, Y]$. In other words:

Theorem 2.1. *For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$,*

$$[X, Y] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))(Y)).$$

Observe that the left side uses the construction of *global* left-invariant differential operators whereas the right side is defined in a much more localized manner near e . The “usual” proof of this theorem uses the notion of Lie derivative, but the approach we use avoids that.

Proof. Since Y is the velocity at $s = 0$ of the parametric curve $\alpha_Y(s)$, for any $g \in G$ the vector $\text{Ad}_G(g)(Y) = d(c_g)(e)(Y) \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the velocity at $s = 0$ of the parametric curve $c_g(\alpha_Y(s))$. Thus, for any t , $\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))(Y)$ is the velocity at $s = 0$ of

$$a(t, s) := c_{\alpha_X(t)}(\alpha_Y(s)) = \alpha_X(t)\alpha_Y(s)\alpha_X(-t).$$

Note that $a(t, 0) = e$ for all t , so for each t the velocity to $s \mapsto a(t, s) \in G$ lies in $T_e(G) = \mathfrak{g}$; this velocity is nothing other than $\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))(Y)$, but we shall suggestively denote it as $\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}a(t, s)$. Since this is a parametric curve valued in \mathfrak{g} , we can recast our problem as proving the identity

$$[X, Y] \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} a(t, s)$$

where $a(t, s) = \alpha_X(t)\alpha_Y(s)\alpha_X(-t)$. We shall compute each side as a point-derivation at e on a smooth function φ on G and get the same result.

For the right side, an exercise to appear in HW3 (Exercise 9 in I.2) shows that its value on φ is the ordinary 2nd-order multivariable calculus derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{(0,0)} \varphi(a(t, s))$$

of the smooth function $\varphi \circ a : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. By a clever application of the Chain Rule, it is shown in the course text (on page 19, up to swapping the roles of the letters s and t) that this 2nd-order partial derivative is equal to the difference

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{(0,0)} \varphi(\alpha_X(t)\alpha_Y(s)) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{(0,0)} \varphi(\alpha_Y(s)\alpha_X(t)).$$

Letting \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} respectively denote the left-invariant vector fields extending X and Y at e , we want this difference of 2nd-order partial derivatives to equal the value $[X, Y](\varphi) = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}](\varphi)(e)$ at e of $\tilde{X}(\tilde{Y}(\varphi)) - \tilde{Y}(\tilde{X}(\varphi))$, so it suffices to prove in general that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{(0,0)} \varphi(\alpha_X(t)\alpha_Y(s)) = X(\tilde{Y}\varphi)$$

(and then apply this with the roles of X and Y swapped).

In our study next time of the construction of 1-parameter subgroups we will see that for any $g \in G$, $(\tilde{Y}\varphi)(g) = (\partial_s|_{s=0})(\varphi(g\alpha_Y(s)))$. Thus, setting $g = \alpha_X(t)$, for any t the s -partial at $s = 0$ of $\varphi(\alpha_X(t)\alpha_Y(s))$ is equal to $(\tilde{Y}\varphi)(\alpha_X(t))$. By the same reasoning now applied to X instead of Y , passing to the t -derivative at $t = 0$ yields $(\tilde{X}(\tilde{Y}\varphi))(e) = X(\tilde{Y}(\varphi))$. ■

3. DIFFERENTIAL OF ADJOINT

Finally, we connect the Lie bracket to the adjoint representation of G :

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a Lie group, and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Then $d(\text{Ad}_G)(e) \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In other words, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d(\text{Ad}_G)(e)(X) = [X, \cdot]$.*

Proof. Choose $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, so $\alpha_X(t)$ is a parametric curve in G with velocity X at $t = 0$. Consequently, $d(\text{Ad}_G)(e)(X)$ is the velocity vector at $t = 0$ to the parametric curve $\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))$ valued in the open subset $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Rather generally, if $L : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a parametric curve whose value at $t = 0$ is the identity then for any $v \in V$ the velocity to $t \mapsto L(t)(v)$ at $t = 0$ is $L'(0)(v)$. Indeed, the second-order Taylor expression $L(t) = 1 + tA + t^2B(t)$ for a smooth parametric curve $B(t)$ valued in $\text{End}(V)$ implies that $L(t)(v) = v + tA(v) + t^2B(t)(v)$, so this latter curve valued in V has velocity $A(v)$. But clearly $A = L'(0)$, so our general velocity identity is proved.

Setting $L = \text{Ad}_G \circ \alpha_X$ and $v = Y$, we conclude that $\text{Ad}_G(\alpha_X(t))(Y)$ has velocity at $t = 0$ equal to the evaluation at Y of the velocity at $t = 0$ of the parametric curve $\text{Ad}_G \circ \alpha_X$ valued in $\text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. But by the Chain Rule this latter velocity is equal to

$$d(\text{Ad}_G)(\alpha_X(0)) \circ \alpha'_X(0) = d(\text{Ad}_G(e))(X),$$

so $d(\text{Ad}_G(e))(X)$ carries Y to the velocity at $t = 0$ that equals $[X, Y]$ in Theorem 2.1. ■