

FOUNDATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY CLASS 45

RAVI VAKIL

CONTENTS

1. Hyperelliptic curves	1
2. Curves of genus 3	3

1. HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES

A curve C of genus at least 2 is **hyperelliptic** if it admits a degree 2 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . This map is often called the **hyperelliptic map**.

1.A. EXERCISE. Verify that a curve C of genus at least 1 admits a degree 2 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 if and only if it admits a degree 2 invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} with $h^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = 2$. Possibly in the course of doing this, verify that if C is a curve, and \mathcal{L} has a degree 2 invertible sheaf with at least 2 (linearly independent) sections, then \mathcal{L} has precisely two sections, and that this \mathcal{L} is base-point free and gives a hyperelliptic map.

The degree 2 map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a degree 2 extension of function fields $\text{FF}(C)$ over $\text{FF}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong k(t)$. If the characteristic is not 2, this extension is necessarily Galois, and the involution on C induces (via the equivalence of various categories of curves, Class 42 Theorem 1.1) an involution on C is called the **hyperelliptic involution**.

1.1. Proposition. — *If \mathcal{L} corresponds to a hyperelliptic cover $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(g-1)} \cong \mathcal{K}_C$.*

Proof. Compose the hyperelliptic map with the $(g-1)$ th Veronese map:

$$C \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(g-1)} \mathbb{P}^{g-1}.$$

The composition corresponds to $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(g-1)}$. This invertible sheaf has degree $2g-2$, and the image is nondegenerate in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} , and hence has at least g sections. But by Exercise 1.C of Class 44, the only invertible sheaf of degree $2g-2$ with (at least) g sections is the canonical sheaf. \square

Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2008.

1.2. Proposition. — Any curve C of genus at least 2 admits at most one double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . In other words, a curve can be in “only one way”.

Proof. If C is hyperelliptic, then we can recover the hyperelliptic map by considering the canonical linear system given by \mathcal{K} (the *canonical map*, which we’ll use again soon): it is a double cover of a degree $g - 1$ rational normal curve (by the previous Proposition), and this double cover is the hyperelliptic cover (also by the proof of the previous Proposition). \square

Next, we invoke the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. In order to do so, we need to assume $\text{char } k = 0$, and $k = \bar{k}$. However, when we actually discuss differentials, and prove the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, we will see that we can just require $\text{char } k \neq 2$ (and $k = \bar{k}$).

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that hyperelliptic covers have precisely $2g + 2$ (distinct) branch points. These branch points determine the cover:

1.3. Claim. — Assume $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and $k = \bar{k}$. Given n distinct points $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$, there is precisely one cover branched at precisely these points if n is even, and none if n is odd.

Proof. The result when n is odd is immediate from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, so assume n is even.

Pick a point of \mathbb{P}^1 distinct from the n branch points, so all n branch points are in the “complementary” \mathbb{A}^1 . Suppose we have a double cover of \mathbb{A}^1 , $C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, where x is the coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1 . This induces a quadratic field extension K over $k(x)$. As $\text{char } k \neq 2$, this extension is Galois. Let σ be the hyperelliptic involution. Let y be an element of K such that $\sigma(y) = -y$, so 1 and y form a basis for K over the field $k(x)$, and are eigenvectors of σ . Now $\sigma(y^2) = y^2$, so $y^2 \in k(x)$. We can replace y by an appropriate $k(x)$ -multiple so that y^2 is a polynomial, with no repeated factors, and monic. (This is where we use the hypothesis that k is algebraically closed, to get leading coefficient 1.)

Thus $y^2 = x^N + a_{N-1}x^{N-1} + \dots + a_0$, where the polynomial on the right (call it $f(x)$) has no repeated roots. The Jacobian criterion implies that this curve C' in \mathbb{A}^2 (with co-ordinates x and y) is nonsingular. then C' is normal and has the same function field as C — but so is C . Thus C' and C are both normalizations of \mathbb{A}^1 in the finite field extension generated by y , and hence are isomorphic. Thus we have identified C in terms of an explicit equation!

The branch points correspond to those values of x for which there is exactly one value of y , i.e. the roots of $f(x)$. In particular, $N = n$, and $f(x) = (x - r_1) \cdots (x - r_n)$ (where the r_i are interpreted as elements of \bar{k}).

Having mastered the situation over \mathbb{A}^1 , we return to the situation over \mathbb{P}^1 . We have identified the function field extension K of $\text{FF}(\mathbb{P}^1) = k(x)$ corresponding to any C double-covering \mathbb{P}^1 over the n points — there is only one up to isomorphism, given by adjoining y with $y^2 = (x - r_1) \cdots (x - r_n)$. There is a unique curve branched over r_1, \dots, r_n : the

normalization of \mathbb{P}^1 in the field extension $K/k(x)$. (You might fear that we haven't accidentally acquired a branch point at the missing point $\infty = \mathbb{P}^1 - \mathbb{A}^1$. But the total number of branch points is even, and we already have an even number of points, so there is no branching at ∞ .) \square

We can now extract a lot of useful information.

1.4. Curves of every genus. For the first time, we see that there are curves of every genus $g \geq 0$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0: to get a curve of genus g , consider the branched cover branched over $2g+2$ distinct points. The unique genus 0 curve is of this form, and we have seen above that every genus 2 curve is of this form. We'll soon see that every genus 1 curve is too. But it is too much to hope that all curves are of this form, and in Exercise 2.A we'll see that there are genus 3 curves that are *not* hyperelliptic, and we'll get heuristic evidence that "most" genus 3 curves are not hyperelliptic. We'll later get heuristic evidence that "most" genus g curves are not hyperelliptic if $g > 2$.

We can also classify hyperelliptic curves. Hyperelliptic curves of genus g correspond to precisely $2g + 2$ points on \mathbb{P}^1 modulo S_{2g+2} , and modulo automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus "the space of hyperelliptic curves" has dimension

$$2g + 2 - \dim \text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^1 = 2g - 1.$$

This is not a well-defined statement, because we haven't rigorously defined "the space of hyperelliptic curves" — and example of a *moduli space*. For now, take it as a plausibility statement. It is also plausible that this space is irreducible and reduced — it is the image of something irreducible and reduced.

1.5. Genus 2 in particular. In particular, if $g = 2$, we see that we have a "three-dimensional space of genus 2 curves". This isn't rigorous, but we can certainly show that there are an infinite number of non-isomorphic genus 2 curves.

1.B. EXERCISE. Fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Show that there are an infinite number of (pairwise) non-isomorphic genus 2 curves k .

1.6. If k is not algebraically closed. If k is not algebraically closed (but of characteristic not 2), the above argument shows that if we have a double cover of \mathbb{A}^1 , then it is of the form $y^2 = af(x)$, where f is monic, and $a \in k^*/(k^*)^2$. You may be able to use this to show that (assuming the $k^* \neq (k^*)^2$) a double cover is *not* determined by its branch points. Moreover, see that this failure is classified by $k^*/(k^*)^2$. Thus we have lots of curves that are not isomorphic over k , but become isomorphic over \bar{k} . These are often called *twists* of each other.

(In particular, even though haven't talked about elliptic curves yet, we definitely have two elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with the same j -invariant, that are not isomorphic.)

2. CURVES OF GENUS 3

Suppose C is a curve of genus 3. Then \mathcal{K} has degree $2g - 2 = 4$, and has $g = 3$ sections.

2.1. Claim. — \mathcal{K} is base-point-free, and hence gives a map to \mathbb{P}^2 .

Proof. We check base-point-freeness by working over the algebraic closure \bar{k} . For any point p , by Riemann-Roch,

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{K}(-p)) - h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(p)) = \deg(\mathcal{K}(-p)) - g + 1 = 3 - 3 + 1 = 1.$$

But $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(p)) = 1$ by Claim 2.3 of Class 44, so

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{K}(-p)) = 2 = h^0(C, \mathcal{K}) - 1.$$

Thus p is not a base-point of \mathcal{K} for any p , so by Criterion 1.4 of Class 44 for base-point-freeness, \mathcal{K} is base-point-free. \square

The next natural question is: Is this a closed immersion? Again, we can check over algebraic closure. We use our “closed immersion test” (again, see our useful facts). If it *isn't* a closed immersion, then we can find two points p and q (possibly identical) such that

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{K}) - h^0(C, \mathcal{K}(-p - q)) = 2,$$

i.e. $h^0(C, \mathcal{K}(-p - q)) = 2$. But by Serre duality, this means that $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(p + q)) = 2$. We have found a degree 2 divisor with 2 sections, so C is hyperelliptic. (Indeed, I could have skipped that sentence, and made this observation about $\mathcal{K}(-p - q)$, but I've done it this way in order to generalize to higher genus.) Conversely, if C is hyperelliptic, then we already know that \mathcal{K} gives a double cover of a nonsingular conic in \mathbb{P}^2 (also known as a rational normal curve of degree 2), and hence \mathcal{K} does not give a closed immersion.

Thus we conclude that if (and only if) C is not hyperelliptic, then the canonical map describes C as a degree 4 curve in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Conversely, any quartic plane curve is canonically embedded. Reason: the curve has genus 3 (we can compute this — see our discussion of Hilbert functions), and is mapped by an invertible sheaf of degree 4 with 3 sections. But by Exercise 1.C of Class 44, the only invertible sheaf of degree $2g - 2$ with g sections is \mathcal{K} .

In particular, each non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curve can be described as a quartic plane curve in only one way (up to automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2).

In conclusion, there is a bijection between non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves, and plane quartics up to projective linear transformations.

2.A. EXERCISE. Show that there are non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves.

2.B. EXERCISE. Give a heuristic (non-rigorous) argument that the nonhyperelliptic curves of genus 3 form a family of dimension 6. (Hint: Count the dimension of the family of nonsingular quartics, and quotient by $\text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^2 = \text{PGL}(3)$.)

The genus 3 curves thus seem to come in two families: the hyperelliptic curves (a family of dimension 5), and the nonhyperelliptic curves (a family of dimension 6). This is misleading — they actually come in a single family of dimension 6.

In fact, hyperelliptic curves are naturally limits of nonhyperelliptic curves. We can write down an explicit family. (This explanation necessarily requires some hand-waving, as it involves topics we haven't seen yet.) Suppose we have a hyperelliptic curve branched over $2g + 2 = 8$ points of \mathbb{P}^1 . Choose an isomorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 with a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 . There is a nonsingular quartic meeting the conic at precisely those 8 points. (This requires Bertini's theorem, so I'll skip that argument.) Then if f is the equation of the conic, and g is the equation of the quartic, then $f^2 + t^2g$ is a family of quartics that are nonsingular for most t (nonsingular is an open condition as we will see). The $t = 0$ case is a double conic. Then it is a fact that if you normalize the family, the central fiber (above $t = 0$) turns into our hyperelliptic curve. Thus we have expressed our hyperelliptic curve as a limit of nonhyperelliptic curves.

I then discussed the 28 bitangents to any smooth quartic curve, and their relationship to other interesting geometry, for example the 6 branch points of a genus 2 hyperelliptic cover (both are examples of theta characteristics) and the 27 lines on any smooth cubic surface (and the link to the Weyl groups of E_7 and E_6). I likely won't type those up in these notes.

E-mail address: `vakil@math.stanford.edu`